

Experimental Investigation on Sand Production during Non-Diagenetic Hydrate-Bearing Sediments Depressurization Production in Vertical Well with Crustal Stress

Jingsheng LU¹, Deqing Liang², Dongliang Li², Youming Xiong¹, and Jinan Guan²

¹State Key Laboratory of Oil & Gas Reservoir Geology and Exploitation

²Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion

November 24, 2022

Abstract

Sand production is the process in which formation sand and gravel would migrate into the wellbore by the flow of reservoir fluids. This is a problem that endangers the safety of hydrate exploitation. The aim of this study is to understand sand production in during hydrate exploitation. A novel experimental apparatus was constructed to examine sand production in the hydrate-bearing sediments by applying the depressurization method. The maximum pressure of apparatus is 30 MPa, the temperature could be controlled from -253.15 to 313.15 K. The pore pressure, crustal stress, production pressure, gas flow rate, water production volume and subsidence rates could be measured. The vertical wellbore comprised liner 1 ($\phi 32$ mm \times 135 mm, 3 mm holes, arrangement: 36°, holes row gap: 10 mm) and liner 3 ($\phi 24$ mm \times 135 mm, 2.5 mm holes, arrangement: 36°, hole row gap: 10 mm) with a sand screen. Besides gas in from top, the sediment chamber ($\phi 158$ mm \times 120 mm) had a middle mesh screen that allowed gas and water enter or vacuumize the chamber circumferentially around the sediments ($\phi 158$ mm \times 100 mm). Sand production situation was recorded by HD camera through the visual window on the gas-solid-liquid separator. Hydrate production was divided into three periods: water, gas with water drops, and gas. We found fine sand production in the first period and sand grains production in the second. The sand production behaviours through the different gas production rates in two production method - depletion gas production curve and stable gas production curve were discussed. The grain sizes of sand production were increasing in the production period with hydrate saturation decreasing. The temperature related characteristics of the hydrate layers differed during different stages of hydrate production. The unique sputtering occurring owing to the decomposition of the hydrate might have provided the driving force for sand migration, and water gas bubbles or gaseous water drops from the decomposed hydrate might have enhanced sand carrying capacity. The subsidence of hydrate-bearing sediments was influenced by sand production, whereas the maintenance of crustal stress possibly influenced the rate and magnitude of subsidence. The stimulation at late production in low hydrate saturation might conduct the subsidence and sand production increased dramatically.

Experimental Investigation on Sand Production during Non-Diagenetic Hydrate-Bearing Sediments Depressurization Production in Vertical Well with Crustal Stress

Jingsheng LU^{1,2}, Deqing LIANG^{1*}, Dongliang LI¹, Youming XIONG² and Jin'an GUAN¹,

1. Key Laboratory of Gas Hydrate, Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; 2. Southwest Petroleum University, China

1. Introduction

Nature gas hydrate mainly exists in non-diagenetic strata, and it is easy to appear the sand production and subsidence during the mining. It restricted the safe efficient and long-term production of gas hydrate.

Objective: Investigation on sand production during hydrate exploitation

Key factors: Crustal Stress, Hydrate Saturation, 3D Pore Pressure, 3D Temperature, Sand Production Mechanism, Water Production, Gas Production Types & Volume and Stimulation.

2. Experiment--Experimental Materials and Apparatus




Fig.1 (a) The Particles size of sand; (b) Top view and Flat view of sediment chamber; (c) Schematic of methane hydrate sand production and sand prevention facility.

Table 1. The Facility properties.

P	0 to 30 MPa, 3 layers Pore Pressure, Overburden Pressure, Accuracy 0.01 MPa
T	253.15 to 313.15 K, 3 layers* 4 directions temperature sensors (Accuracy 0.1 K)
Sample	φ159 mm×130 mm, wellbore: φ24-32 mm×135 mm, subsidence: -0 to -30 mm.
Sand Production	Record visual window by video, weighed dry Time-interleaved samples
Water Production	Weighed weight Time-interleaved samples
Gas Production	Monitored by gas flow meters

Table 2. The Experimental Details.

Periods	NO.	1	2	3	4	5
Dry sand/kg	2	2	2	2	2	2
Water/g	250	350	250	250	250	250
Water in Pore/%	11.11	14.89	11.11	11.11	11.11	11.11
Ideal Hydrate Saturation/%	46.71	65.40	46.71	46.71	46.71	46.71
Water injection /ml	806.68	855.31	725.55	653.86	673.86	
Max gas rates/scm	400	1000	1000	500	231	
Samples Time Point/min	1.1, 2.5, 1.75, 30.33, 85	0.82, 0.5, 6.2, 5.63, 3.1	0.5, 1.1, 8.2, 8.15, 16	0.5, 1.2, 7.1, 6.12	20.6, 58, 122	
Stimulation time/e/min	N	349	N	N	314	
Production Time/min	1100	400	179	288	347	
Produced Gas Volume/SL	72.9	113.2	78	77	50.9	
Hydrate Volume/mol	0.41	0.63	0.44	0.43	0.28	
Hydrate Saturation/%	61.84	95.86	67.01	65.32	43.18	
Wet Sand Production/g	640.87	682.58	905.13	887.39	527.42	
Dry Sand Production/g	1.14	7.91	7.05	6.63	6.21	
Subsidence/mm	-1.93	-2.28	-1.88	-1.96	-1.77	

Table 3. The time, T and subsidence details of Fig.4(i).

1	0 m, S=0%, 0.41	13 m, S=0.13%, 0.37 mol, Sh=56.38%	123 m, S=0.73%, 0.18 mol, Sh=27.99%	322 m, S=1.23%, 0.04 mol, Sh=5.83%	600 m, S=1.79%, 0.001 mol, Sh=0.09%
2	0 m, S=0%, 0.63	10 m, S=0.23%, 0.58 mol, Sh=92.23%	150 m, S=1.52%, 0.11 mol, Sh=16.97%	343 m, S=1.81%, 0.02 mol, Sh=3.39%	362 m, S=2.23%, 0.01 mol, Sh=0%
3	0 m, S=0%, 0.44	13 m, S=0.24%, 0.38 mol, Sh=67.01%	80 m, S=1.62%, 0.006 mol, Sh=0.83%	170 m, S=1.87%, 0 m, Sh=0%	189 m, S=1.88%, 0 m, Sh=0%
4	0 m, S=0%, 0.48	0.4 m, S=0.38%, 0.4 mol, Sh=61.09%	110.83 m, S=1.26%, 0.12 mol, Sh=18.66%	200 m, S=1.66%, 0.02 mol, Sh=2.49%	287.17 m, S=1.96%, 0 m, Sh=0%
5	0 m, S=0%, 0.28	25 m, S=0.27%, 0.4 mol, Sh=39.25%	312 m, S=1.58%, 0.04 mol, Sh=5.83%	339 m, S=1.75%, 0.01 mol, Sh=0.98%	355 m, S=1.76%, 0 m, Sh=0%




Fig.3 The water-sand samples in beakers by time

3. Results and Discussion





Fig.4 The experimental details of five experiments (d-h) and (i) 3D temperature distribution and subsidence (Here, T indicates temperature, 1-12 indicates the alignment of the temperature sensors; H, L and M mean the height of temperature sensors in layers H, M and L; Qs indicates the sand rates in produced water.)



4. Conclusions

- Three hydrate production stages were observed; depressurization by drainage gas production, high gas production carrying liquids, and low gas production. The sand production of hydrate reservoir during production were mainly in the first two stages. Production of whole fine sand and sand grains was noted in the first and second stages, respectively, and no significant amount of sand was produced in the third stages. The sand rates and sizes in water were gradually increased with production process. The concept of sand prevention by grades and stages was put forward.
- Sputtering unique to hydrate decomposition may provide the driving force for sand migration. The flowing gas bubbles and water from hydrate decomposition enhanced the sand carrying capacity and reduced the strength of hydrate-sand cementation and skeleton solids, and conducted the sand production increasing.
- Increasing the gas production rates in hydrate fine sand reservoir would bring more water and sand. Thus, the critical flow rate of sand grain migration by gas flow carrying water should be considered. Increasing the gas production rate would increase of temperature drop and the possibility of ice phase formation, which would increase the wellbore ice plugging and the risk of blockage by secondary hydrate formation.
- From the experiments, the subsidence of hydrate-bearing-sediments could be over 10% with sand production. Thus, higher sand production led to the higher subsidence. The loss of crustal stress may increase the subsidence. The dynamic subsidence of the hydrate layers and sand production alter the seepage characteristics, thermal properties and material balance of the hydrate layers. The subsidence process was stable in depletion gas production mode. The subsidence of hydrate bearing reservoir is significantly correlated with hydrate saturation in reservoir. The reservoir pressure and gas production rate had significant correlation with reservoir sedimentation in depletion gas production mode, however the correlation was not significant in stable gas production mode. After the gas production, the stratum would still have slow settlement, but settlement rate was low and gradually stabilized.
- The stimulation operation in middle and late stages of hydrate mining would increase the risk of reservoir sand production and reservoir subsidence, because the increase gas production rates would increase the water production which increased the sand carrying ability, thus conducted the sand production in the hydrate cementation weakening reservoirs. Based on the experiments, we made the further discussed on the speculation of sand production in Japan's First marine hydrate production test in 2013 and thought that the stimulation operation after 4 days production was the cause of large scale whole sand production. For stimulation methods such as heat injection method and huff-puff method, it is necessary to balance the relationship between production capacity and sand production near wellbore. According to characteristics of sand production in hydrate exploitation, it suggested the layered at different granularity in different production stages sand prevention could be applied in the hydrate reservoir.

Acknowledgment : This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFC0307305), Key Laboratory of Marine Mineral Resources, Ministry of Natural Resources (KLMMR-2018-B-05), National Natural Science Foundation of China (51661165011, 51474197 and 41473063), and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong (2017A030310448). Email: liangdq@ms.giec.ac.cn