Impact of field-realistic doses of glyphosate and nutritional stress on mosquito life history-traits and susceptibility to malaria parasite infection

Danaé Bataillard¹, Philippe Christe¹, and Romain Pigeault¹

¹University of Lausanne

May 5, 2020

Abstract

Glyphosate is the world's most widely used herbicide. The commercial success of this molecule is due to its non-selectivity and its action, which would supposedly target specific biosynthetic pathways found mainly in plants. Multiple studies have however provided evidence for high sensitivity of many non-target species to glyphosate and/or to formulations (glyphosate mixed with surfactants). This herbicide, found at significant levels in aquatic systems through surface runoffs, impacts life history traits and immune parameters of several aquatic invertebrates' species. Some of these species are vectors of diseases, one of the most important of which is the mosquito. Mosquitoes, from hatching to emergence, are exposed to aquatic chemical contaminants. In this study, we first compared the toxicity of pure glyphosate to the toxicity of glyphosate-based formulations for the main vector of avian malaria in Europe, Culex pipiens mosquito. Then we evaluated, for the first time, how field realistic dose of glyphosate interacts with larval nutritional stress to alter mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to avian malaria parasite infection. Our results show that exposure of larvae to field-realistic doses of glyphosate, pure or in formulation, did not affect larval survival rate, adult size and female fecundity. One of our two experimental blocks showed, however, that exposure to glyphosate decreased development time and reduced mosquito infection probability by malaria parasite. Interestingly the effect on malaria infection was lost when the larvae were also subjected to a nutritional stress, probably due to a lower ingestion of glyphosate.

ABSTRACT

Glyphosate is the world's most widely used herbicide. The commercial success of this molecule is due to its non-selectivity and its action, which would supposedly target specific biosynthetic pathways found mainly in plants. Multiple studies have however provided evidence for high sensitivity of many non-target species to glyphosate and/or to formulations (glyphosate mixed with surfactants). This herbicide, found at significant levels in aquatic systems through surface runoffs, impacts life history traits and immune parameters of several aquatic invertebrates' species. Some of these species are vectors of diseases, one of the most important of which is the mosquito. Mosquitoes, from hatching to emergence, are exposed to aquatic chemical contaminants. In this study, we first compared the toxicity of pure glyphosate to the toxicity of glyphosate-based formulations for the main vector of avian malaria in Europe, Culex pipiens mosquito. Then we evaluated, for the first time, how field realistic dose of glyphosate interacts with larval nutritional stress to alter mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to avian malaria parasite infection. Our results show that exposure of larvae to field-realistic doses of glyphosate, pure or in formulation, did not affect larval survival rate, adult size and female fecundity. One of our two experimental blocks showed, however, that exposure to glyphosate decreased development time and reduced mosquito infection probability by malaria parasite. Interestingly the effect on malaria infection was lost when the larvae were also subjected to a nutritional stress, probably due to a lower ingestion of glyphosate.

Keywords: Diet; Glyphosate-based herbicides; *Plasmodium relictum*; vector

Introduction

Glyphosate is the world's most widely used herbicide. More than 85 000 tons are spread every year (Benbrook, 2016). The commercial success of glyphosate is due to its non-selectivity and its action, which would supposedly target specific biosynthetic pathways found only in plants and certain microorganisms, thus minimizing its toxicity to non-target organisms. Many studies belie this assumption and provided evidence for high sensitivity of many non-target species to glyphosate (Annett et al., 2014; Gill et al., 2018). In addition, glyphosate is mixed with surfactants to improve the performance of this foliar applied herbicide (surfactants increase the surface area in contact with the vegetation). Comparative toxicity assessments between formulations (glyphosate mixed with surfactants) and pure glyphosate consistently show that formulations are more toxic than glyphosate alone (Gill et al., 2018; Nagy et al., 2019).

It has been estimated that less than 0.1% of glyphosate-based herbicides applied to crops reach their specific targets (Nguyen et al., 2016). Herbicide and their degradation products are therefore found at significant levels in the environment (Bai and Ogbourne, 2016; Peruzzo et al., 2008; Struger et al., 2008) and, in particular, in aquatic systems through surface runoffs (Giesy et al., 2000; Morrissey et al., 2015; Van Bruggen et al., 2018). Exposure to sublethal concentrations of glyphosate, pure or in formulation, induces biochemical, physiological and behavioral alterations in many fish and amphibian species (Daam et al., 2019; Langiano and Martinez, 2008; Mann and Bidwell, 1999; Modesto and Martinez, 2010). An increasing number of studies also showed negative effects of glyphosate exposure on life history traits (Hansen and Roslev, 2016; Janssens and Stoks, 2017; Kibuthu et al., 2016; Morris et al., 2016) and immune parameters (Matozzo et al., 2019; Mohamed, 2011; Monte et al., 2019) of several aquatic invertebrates' species. Some of these species are vectors of diseases, one of the most important of which is the mosquito.

The pre-imaginal stages of mosquitoes develop in freshwater environments and, from hatching to emergence, larvae and pupae may therefore be exposed to aquatic chemical contaminants. Glyphosate exposure may alter mosquito behavior (Baglan et al., 2018), life history traits such as larval survival rate or reproduction (Bara et al., 2014; Kibuthu et al., 2016; Morris et al., 2016 but see Baglan et al., 2018), as well as the expression of genes conferring resistance to insecticides (Riaz et al., 2009). Glyphosate exposure has therefore the potential to influence the vectorial capacity of mosquitoes. To date, no studies have however tested whether exposure to glyphosate could directly affect mosquito susceptibility to vector-borne parasite infections and whether this potential impact is modulated by larvae nutritional stress. In the wild, mosquito larvae are exposed simultaneously to multiple biotic (competition, predation) and abiotic (temperature, bioactive contaminants, food quality, (Beketov and Liess, 2007; Muturi et al., 2011; Tripet et al., 2008)) stresses. All possible outcomes of stressor combinations (i.e. additive, synergistic or antagonistic) may be observed (Coors and Meester, 2008; Crain et al., 2008) and influence vector-borne pathogen transmission directly through changes in vector density or indirectly by changing vector immunocompetence, lifespan or reproductive potential.

Our specific aims in this study were to answer three questions. First, does exposure of larvae to glyphosate influence mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to malaria parasite infections? Second, is the potential effect of glyphosate-based herbicides (formulation) stronger than glyphosate alone? Third, is there an additive, synergistic or antagonistic effect between two different stressors, namely glyphosate exposure and food limitation? Experiments were conducted with a natural system consisting on the avian malaria parasite Plasmodium relictum and its vector in the wild, the mosquito Culex pipiens (Pigeault et al., 2015).

Materials and methods

2.1 Malaria parasites

Plasmodium relictum (lineage SGS1) is the most prevalent agent of avian malaria in Europe (Valkiunas, 2004). The parasite strain used in the first experiment was isolated from an infected Great tit (Parus major) in Lausanne, Switzerland in June 2018. Since then, the parasite has been maintained by carrying out regular passages across a stock canaries (Serinus canaria) through intraperitoneal injections (i.p.) until the

experiment (November 2018, Pigeault et al., 2015). The *Plasmodium relictum* strain (lineage SGS1) used in the second experiment was isolated two months before the experiment (January 2019) from an infected House sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) captured in the field and passaged to naïve canaries by i.p.

Prior to the experimental infection, a small amount (ca.3-5 μ L) of blood was collected from the medial metatarsal vein of each canaries to ensure that they were free from any previous haemosporidian infections. Three and four birds, for the experiment one and two respectively, were then inoculated by intraperitoneal injection of 100 μ L of an infected blood solution. Blood solution was constituted with a mixture of PBS and blood (ratio 1:1) sampled from 3 canaries infected with the parasite three weeks before the experiment. Ten days post-infection, bird parasitaemia (percentage of infected red blood cell) was estimated by visual quantification on blood smears (Valkiunas, 2004).

2.2 Mosquito rearing and experimental infections

Both experiments were conducted with a wild lineage of $Culex\ pipiens$ mosquitoes, the main vector of $Plasmodium\ relictum$ in Europe, collected in Lausanne (Switzerland) and maintained in insectary since August 2017. Mosquito eggs used in the experiments were obtained by feeding 30-40 females on one healthy bird ($Serinus\ canaria$). All eggs were then placed in the same plastic tank. One day post-hatching, larvae were haphazardly collected and randomly assigned to the different treatment groups (see below). Larvae were reared individually in plastic tube (30mL) filled with 6 mL of solution (see below). Larval mortality and development time were daily recorded until adult emergence. Immediately after emergence, mosquitoes belonging to the same treatment were placed inside an adult rearing cage. Males and females were kept together and fed $ad\ libitum$ on a 10% glucose water solution during 5 ± 2 days.

Afterwards, fifteen females from each experimental treatment were placed together inside a feeding cage (2 and 3 different cages for experiment 1 and 2, respectively) and allowed to feed from a Plasmodium -infected bird for 3 hours. Engorged females were then taken out from the cages, briefly anesthetized with CO2 and placed individually into numbered dry 30ml plastic tubes covered with a mesh. Food was provided in the form of a cotton pad soaked in a 10% glucose solution placed on top of each tube. To distinguish between the treatments, mosquitoes were previously marked using different fluorescent color powders and the color allocated to each treatment changed in each of the cages (for details see Vézilier et al., 2010). Five days post blood meal, females were taken out of the tubes and placed in new plastic tubes filled with 4mL of water to encourage them to lay eggs. The amount of haematin excreted at the bottom of each first tube was quantified as an estimate of the blood meal size (Vézilier et al., 2010). Three days later (day 8 post blood meal), females were taken out of the tubes. The egg-rafts were collected and the number of eggs was counted under a binocular microscope. One wing was removed from each female and measured under a binocular microscope along its longest axis as an index of body size (Van Handel and Day, 1989). Females were then dissected and the number of Plasmodium parasite (oocyst stage) presents in their midguts were counted under a binocular microscope. The wing size was also measured for all males.

2.3 Glyphosate exposition

Experiment 1: pure glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides

The purpose of this experiment was to test the impact of pure glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides (formulation), on mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to malaria parasite infection. For this purpose, one day old mosquito larvae were randomly assigned to five experimental groups (120 larvae/ per group). Two groups corresponded to 2 different concentrations of pure glyphosate, two groups corresponded to the same 2 concentrations of glyphosate but in formulation. The remaining group was reared without glyphosate (control). Larvae were fed daily with 0.5 mg of food, which is consistent with our standard colony maintenance diet (1:1 Fish food and rabbit pellets). For pure glyphosate solution, rearing solutions were prepared from a stock solution of 2 mg/l produced with solid glyphosate (96% purity; provided by Sigma-Aldrich, Switzerland) dissolved in mineral water. From the stock solution, 2 derived solutions were prepared, with the following concentrations: 0.05 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L. For the glyphosate-based herbicides, a formulated glyphosate solution (glyphosate concentration: 360 g/L; Sintagro(R)) was diluted ten times with mineral water

to obtain a stock solution (glyphosate concentration: 3.60 g/L). From this stock solution, 2 derived solutions were prepared to obtain two glyphosate concentrations: 0.05mg/L and 0.1mg/L. The concentrations of glyphosate used in our study (0.05 and 0.1mg/L) were chosen based on concentrations observed in aquatic systems (Giesy et al., 2000) as well as in previous studies on the impact of sub-lethal doses of pesticides on aquatic invertebrates species (Baglan et al., 2018; Kibuthu et al., 2016; Riaz et al., 2009).

Stock solutions were renewed every 6 days, to avoid any degradation effect (Baglan et al., 2018). Rearing solution were replaced every 3 days to ensure that the larvae were exposed to the same concentration of glyphosate during all their development.

Experiment 2: pure glyphosate and food limitation

The purpose of the second experiment was to evaluate the impact of larval exposure to glyphosate associated to a nutritional stress on mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to malaria parasite infection. For this purpose, larvae, reared in a 0.05 mg/L glyphosate solution, were either daily fed with 0.5 mg (standard colony maintenance diet) or with 0.25 mg of food (nutritional stress). The specific food treatments were chosen based on a pilot study, with the goal of generating a nutritional stress that did not significantly impact larval survival rate. One day old mosquito larvae were randomly assigned to four experimental groups (160 larvae/ per group): (1) glyphosate exposure and standard diet, (2) glyphosate exposure and nutritional stress, (3) unexposed to glyphosate and standard diet and (4) unexposed to glyphosate and nutritional stress. Glyphosate solution was prepared as described above with solid glyphosate (96% purity; provided by Sigma-Aldrich, Switzeralnd).

2.4 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with R (version 3.4.1). The different statistical models built to analyze the data are described in the supplementary material (**Table S1**). Mortality during development (death =0, emerged = 1) was analyzed with Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with binomial distribution of errors. Explanatory variables were glyphosate concentration and glyphosate type (pure or in formulation) for the experiment 1 and glyphosate exposition and food treatments for the experiment 2. A GLM with normal distribution of errors was used to test for difference in development time (calculated as the number of days from hatching to emergence) and wing length (mm) among the larval treatments. Explanatory variables were mosquito sex, glyphosate concentration and glyphosate type (pure or in formulation) for the experiment 1 and mosquito sex, glyphosate exposition and food treatments for the experiment 2. Female mosquito-centred traits (blood meal size, number of eggs, infection prevalence and oocyst burden), which may depend on which bird mosquitoes fed on, were analyzed fitting bird as a random factor into the models (to account for the spatial pseudoreplication), using lmer or glmer (package: lme4, Bates et al., 2015) according to whether the errors were normally (blood meal size, number of eggs and oocyst burden) or binomially (prevalence) distributed. Glyphosate concentration and glyphosate type (pure or in formulation) for the experiment 1 and glyphosate exposition and food treatments for the experiment 2 were used as fixed factors. Blood meal size was also added as a fixed factor when it was not a response variable.

Maximal models, including all higher-order interactions, were simplified by sequentially eliminating non-significant interactions and terms to establish a minimal model (Crawley, 2012). The significance of the explanatory variables was established using either a likelihood ratio test (which is approximately distributed as a Chi-square distribution, Bolker, 2008) or an F test. The significant Chi-square or F values given in the text are for the minimal model, whereas non-significant values correspond to those obtained before the deletion of the variable from the model. A posteriori contrasts were carried out by aggregating factor levels together and by testing the fit of the simplified model using LRT (Crawley, 2012).

Results

3.1 Experiment 1: pure glyphosate versus glyphosate-based herbicides

There was no effect of glyphosate type (pure or formulation) on larval mortality (model 1: $\chi^2_1 = 0.88$, p = 0.347) but glyphosate concentration (0, 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L) had a significant impact (model 1: $\chi^2_1 = 6.65$,

p = 0.036). Contrast analyses showed a significant difference in mortality between the two mosquito groups exposed to glyphosate. Larval mortality was higher in mosquitoes reared in 0.05mg/L glyphosate solution than in mosquitoes reared in 0.1mg/L glyphosate solution (contrast analyses χ^2_1 = 5.55, p = 0.018, larval mortality: 0 mg/L: 10.9%, 0.05 mg/L: 18.7%, and 0.1 mg/L: 10.3%).

Glyphosate concentration and glyphosate type did not have significant effect on both larval development time (model 2: glyphosate concentration: F=1.93, p=0.165, glyphosate type: F=1.87, p=0.154) and adult mosquito size (model 3: glyphosate concentration: F=0.12, p=0.732, glyphosate type: F=2.08, p=0.150). As expected an effect of sex was observed, males had a shorter development time (model 2: F=629.38, p<0.0001) and were smaller than females (model 3: F=314.16, p<0.0001).

While larvae exposed to the highest concentration of glyphosate (0.1 mg/L) tended to take a smaller blood meal $(0 \text{ mg/L}: 28.6 \mu g \pm 1.9, 0.05 \text{mg/L}: 29.6 \mu g \pm 1.4, 0.1 \text{mg/L}: 26.7 \mu g \pm 1.1)$, the amount of blood ingested did not vary significantly between treatments (model 4: glyphosate concentration: $\chi^2_{1} = 2.76$, p = 0.09; glyphosate type $\chi^2_{1} = 0.12$, p = 0.724). No effect of glyphosate concentration and glyphosate type were observed on the number of laid eggs (model 5: $\chi^2_{1} = 2.19$, p = 0.139; $\chi^2_{1} = 0.40$, p = 0.526, respectively). A positive relationship was observed between blood meal size and the number of laid eggs (model 5: $\chi^2_{1} = 24.39$, p < 0.0001).

Midgut dissection revealed that 100% of the mosquitoes fed on infected bird blood were infected with *Plasmodium relictum*. Glyphosate concentration and glyphosate type had no effect on the oocyst burden of mosquitoes (model 6: $\chi^2_1 = 0.11$, p = 0.895, $\chi^2_1 = 0.02$, p = 0.895, respectively). A positive relationship was observed between blood meal size and oocyst burden (model 6: $\chi^2_1 = 25.56$, p < 0.0001).

3.2 Experiment 2: glyphosate and nutritional stress

Glyphosate exposure (0.05mg/L) and food treatments had no significant effect on larval mortality (model 7: $\chi^2_1 = 2.76$, p = 0.097, $\chi^2_1 = 0.01$, p = 0.904, respectively). Variation in larvae development time was explained by food treatment, glyphosate exposure and mosquito sex (model 8: F= 366.08, p < 0.0001, F= 8.123, p = 0.004, F= 655.21, p < 0.0001, respectively). While nutritional stress impacted negatively the development time (**Figure 1A**), larvae exposed to glyphosate developed faster than unexposed larvae (**Figure 1B**). As expected males had a shorter development time than females (male: 9.9 \pm 0.1 day, female: 13.4 ± 0.2).

Food treatments and sex, but not glyphosate exposure, impacted mosquito size (model 9: F= 39.20, p < 0.0001, F= 384.85, p < 0.0001, F= 2.06, p = 0.153, respectively). Males were smaller than females and adults from larvae reared under standard diet condition were bigger than adults from larvae reared under nutritional stress (mean \pm s.e. optimal feeding condition = 0.30 \pm 0.003, food limitation = 0.28 \pm 0.003).

The amount of blood ingested by females as well as the number of laid eggs did not vary between treatments (blood meal size: model 10: glyphosate exposure = χ^2_1 = 1.27, p = 0.259; food treatments = χ^2_1 = 1.29, p = 0.256; eggs number: model 11: glyphosate exposure χ^2_1 = 0.01, p = 0.99; food treatment = χ^2_1 = 3.49, p = 0.066). A positive relationship was observed between blood meal size and the number of laid eggs (model 11: χ^2_1 = 5.70, p = 0.017).

Significant interaction of glyphosate exposure and food treatments was observed regarding the probability of females to be infected by malaria parasites (model 12: $\chi^2_1 = 7.67$, p = 0.006, Figure 2A). In the absence of glyphosate, nutritional stress tended to decrease the infection prevalence (infection prevalence = nutritional stress: 0.80, standard diet: 0.95; contrast analysis: $\chi^2_1 = 2.74$, p = 0.097). However, in the presence of glyphosate, the infection prevalence observed in females from larvae reared with standard diet was roughly a third lower than that of females from the nutritional stress treatment (infection prevalence = nutritional stress: 0.95, standard diet condition: 0.66; contrast analysis: $\chi^2_1 = 5.18$, p = 0.022). It is also interesting to note that when larvae are reared without nutritional stress, the infection prevalence observed in mosquitoes exposed to glyphosate was significantly lower than in unexposed mosquitoes (contrast analysis: $\chi^2_1 = 5.37$, p = 0.020, Figure 2A). Infection prevalence tended to but was not significantly impacted by blood meal

size (model 12: $\chi^2_1 = 3.13$, p = 0.076).

The intensity of the infection was not impacted by glyphosate exposure, food treatments or by the interaction between the two factors (model 13: $\chi^2_1 = 0.56$, p = 0.455, $\chi^2_1 = 0.98$, p = 0.321, $\chi^2_1 = 0.08$, p = 0.782, respectively,**Figure 2B**), but a positive relationship was observed between blood meal size and oocyst burden (model 13: $\chi^2_1 = 13.97$, p = 0.0001).

Discussion

In this study we assessed the consequences of larval exposure to pure glyphosate or glyphosate-based herbicide on *Culex pipiens* mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to avian malaria parasite infection. While we did not observe significant effect of glyphosate on mosquito life history traits, we found that this compound reduced the prevalence of *Plasmodium* parasite infection under standard diet. Interestingly this effect was lost when the larvae were subjected to nutritional stress.

4.1 Glyphosate exposure and mosquito life history traits

Exposure of larvae to the two realistic concentrations of glyphosate had no significant effect on mosquito size and other life history traits. Although our second experiment tended to show a slight effect of glyphosate (0.05mg/L) on larval development time, this was not observed in the first experiment even when the concentration of glyphosate in the rearing solution was doubled. These results are consistent with a recent study that found no effect of glyphosate on Aedes aegyptimosquito larvae at concentrations 20 times higher than ours (Baglan et al., 2018). In a pilot study, we even showed that a glyphosate concentration of 1g/L did not affect larval survival rate. In other aquatic invertebrate species, this molecule tends to have more significant effect. Glyphosate exposure, even at low concentration (0.1 - 0.05 mg/l), induced a reduction of juvenile size in the planktonic crustacean Daphnia magna (Cuhra et al., 2013) and negatively impacted the survival rate in the amphipod Hyalella castroi and the crayfish Cherax quadrinatus (Avigliano et al., 2014; Dutra et al., 2011). This suggests that mosquito larvae are more tolerant to glyphosate than other aquatic invertebrate species.

4.2 Glyphosate exposure and mosquito susceptibility to Plasmodium infection

The impact of glyphosate on the susceptibility of mosquitoes to malaria parasite infection is unclear. While the probability of infection of females was 100% in the first experiment, we found that exposure to glyphosate in the larval stage reduced the prevalence of Plasmodium infection by roughly one-third in the second experiment. Fluctuations in the prevalence of infection between experimental blocks are common (Pigeault et al., 2015). This might be due to the difference in parasite strains and parasite loads in infected canaries between the experiments. The observed effects in the second experiment may be due to an effect of glyphosate on mosquito immunity. Glyphosate can affect invertebrate immunity in several ways, including effects on haemocyte parameters (Hong et al., 2018, 2017; Matozzo et al., 2019; Monte et al., 2019), activity of immune enzymes (Hong et al., 2017) and on oxidative stress (de Melo Tarouco et al., 2017; Pala, 2019). The effects on invertebrate immunity were generally negative. For instance, the exposure of shrimps (Macrobrachium nipponensis), Chinese mitten crabs (Eriocheir sinensisto) and clams (Ruditapes philippinarumto) to a sublethal concentrations of glyphosate caused a significant decrease in total haemocyte count (Hong et al... 2018, 2017; Matozzo et al., 2019). However, in the clam R. philippinarum, exposure to glyphosate increased significantly haemocyte proliferation and both diameter and volume of these immune cells (Matozzo et al., 2019). In the Chinese mitten crabs high concentrations of glyphosate increased the phenoloxidase (PO) activities. The phenoloxidase cascade is an important immune response of mosquitoes leading to encapsulation and death of a variety of parasites including Plasmodium (Christensen et al., 2005; Yassine et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2008).

Another non-exclusive reason that could explain lower prevalence of infection in mosquitoes exposed to glyphosate would be the effect of this molecule on their midgut (Gregorc and Ellis, 2011). Some other pesticides, such as imidacloprid, disrupt the development of mosquitoes' midgut (Fernandes et al., 2015). Imidacloprid significantly reduced the number of digestive and endocrine cells, resulting in malformation

of the midgut epithelium (Fernandes et al., 2015). The midgut epithelial membrane is the first barrier that parasites must cross to infect their vector. In addition, mosquito midgut produces large amounts of xanthurenic acid which is essential for the exflagellation of *Plasmodium* transmissible stages (gametocytes, Billker et al., 1998), the secretion of digestive carboxypeptidase enzymes may also provide parasite with essential amino acids for its development (Lavazec and Bourgouin, 2008). An alteration of the midgut could therefore impact the parasite's ability to invade this organ. It is important to note that while exposure to glyphosate did not affect the size of adult mosquitoes, females derived from larvae exposed to the highest concentrations of glyphosate (0.1mg/L) tended to excrete less haematin. This result may indicate an effect of glyphosate on their ability to digest blood potentially due to a midgut malformation.

4.3 Glyphosate exposure and larval nutritional stress

Many natural (e.g. competition, predation, temperature) and human-induced stressors (e.g. chemical contaminant) are known to impact negatively mosquito life history traits and immunity (Muturi et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2016; Vantaux et al., 2016). In nature, mosquito larvae are exposed to multiple stressors and, while all possible outcomes of stressor combinations (i.e. additive, synergistic or antagonistic) may be expected, the effect of the interaction between stressors are overlook in studies on mosquito-parasite interaction. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate how field realistic dose of glyphosate interacts with larval nutritional stress to alter mosquito life history traits and susceptibility to malaria parasite infection. As expected nutritional stress resulted in longer development time and smaller sized adults (Araújo et al., 2012; Takken et al., 2013; Vantaux et al., 2016). The only interaction we observed between food treatment and glyphosate exposure was on the probability of infection of females. The addition of nutritional stress during larvae development appeared to have alleviated the positive effect of glyphosate on the prevalence of Plasmodium infection. When larvae were exposed to glyphosate, the prevalence of infection was significantly higher when a nutritional stress was applied. The amount of glyphosate ingested by the larvae should increase in proportion to the amount of food ingested. Assuming that glyphosate has an effect on the midgut physiology (Gregorc and Ellis, 2011), if the larvae have less food, they will ingest less glyphosate, which will reduce the effect of this molecule.

4.5 Pure glyphosate versus glyphosate-based herbicide

We also investigated whether a glyphosate-based herbicide was more harmful than glyphosate alone. Numerous studies have demonstrated that glyphosate formulations (glyphosate mixed with surfactants) are more toxic than pure glyphosate (reviewed in (Nagy et al., 2019)) but our study did not show any difference. However, the majority of these studies used Roundup® formulation which is described as the most cytotoxic herbicide (Mesnage et al., 2014; Nagy et al., 2019). The surfactants associated to glyphosate vary from one formulation to another. Here, we used the Sintagro® formulation and our results suggest that the surfactant included in this formulation (i.e. alkyl polyglucosid), which is different from that contained in Roundup® (i.e. polyoxyethyleneamine), had no effect, at the concentrations used in our study, on mosquito's life history traits.

Conclusion

Our results show that exposure to field-realistic doses of glyphosate at larval stages, pure or in formulation, did not affect mosquito larval survival rate, adult size and female fecundity. One of our two experimental blocks showed, however, an effect of glyphosate on the development time and on the probability of female infection by *Plasmodium* parasite. However the effect on infection prevalence was lost when the larvae are subjected to a nutritional stress, probably due to a lower ingestion of glyphosate. Altogether, our study and those published recently, tend to suggest that mosquito larvae are more tolerant to glyphosate than many other invertebrate species. It has been recently shown that adult exposure to glyphosate perturbs the gut microbiota of honey bees and ultimately their susceptibility to parasite infection (Motta et al., 2018). It would be therefore relevant to also investigate the effect of glyphosate exposure at the adult stage in mosquito vector.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Vaud Canton veterinary authority, authorization number 1730.4.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 31003A-138187, 31003A-159600).

Data Accessibility

All data supporting the conclusions of this paper will be available on the Dryad website after acceptance.

Authors contributions

DB, PC, and RP conceived and planned the experiments. DB carried out the experiments and initial analyses. DB, PC, and RP contributed to the interpretation of the results. RP wrote the initial draft with modification by PC. All authors helped shape the research, analyses, and interpretation.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Olivier Glaizot and Camille-Sophie Cozzarolo for comments on the manuscript.

References

Annett, R., Habibi, H.R., Hontela, A., 2014. Impact of glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides on the freshwater environment. J. Appl. Toxicol. 34, 458–479. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.2997

Araújo, M. da-Silva, Gil, L.H.S., e-Silva, A. de-Almeida, 2012. Larval food quantity affects development time, survival and adult biological traits that influence the vectorial capacity of *Anopheles darlingi* under laboratory conditions. Malar. J. 11, 261. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-261

Avigliano, L., Fassiano, A.V., Medesani, D.A., Ríos de Molina, M.C., Rodríguez, E.M., 2014. Effects of glyphosate on growth rate, metabolic rate and energy reserves of early juvenile crayfish, *Cherax quadricarinatus* M. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 92, 631–635. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-014-1240-7

Baglan, H., Lazzari, C.R., Guerrieri, F.J., 2018a. Glyphosate impairs learning in mosquito larvae (*Aedes aegypti*) at field-realistic doses. J. Exp. Biol. jeb.187518. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.187518

Bai, S.H., Ogbourne, S.M., 2016. Glyphosate: environmental contamination, toxicity and potential risks to human health via food contamination. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 18988–19001. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7425-3

Bara, J.J., Montgomery, A., Muturi, E.J., 2014. Sublethal effects of atrazine and glyphosate on life history traits of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (*Diptera: Culicidae*). Parasitol. Res. 113, 2879–2886. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-014-3949-y

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 067.

Beketov, M.A., Liess, M., 2007. Predation risk perception and food scarcity induce alterations of life-cycle traits of the mosquito $Culex\ pipiens$. Ecol. Entomol. 32, 405–410. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2007.00889.x

Benbrook, C.M., 2016. Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. Environ. Sci. Eur. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-016-0070-0

Billker, O., Lindo, V., Panico, M., Etienne, A.E., Paxton, T., Dell, A., Rogers, M., Sinden, R.E., Morris, H.R., 1998. Identification of xanthurenic acid as the putative inducer of malaria development in the mosquito. Nature 392, 289–292. https://doi.org/10.1038/32667

Bolker, B.M., 2008. Ecological Models and Data in R. Princeton University Press.

Christensen, B.M., Li, J., Chen, C.-C., Nappi, A.J., 2005. Melanization immune responses in mosquito vectors. Trends Parasitol. 21, 192–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2005.02.007

Coors, A., Meester, L.D., 2008. Synergistic, antagonistic and additive effects of multiple stressors: predation threat, parasitism and pesticide exposure in $Daphnia\ magna$. J. Appl. Ecol. 45, 1820–1828. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01566.x

Crain, C.M., Kroeker, K., Halpern, B.S., 2008. Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. Ecol. Lett. 11, 1304–1315. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01253.x

Crawley, M.J., 2012. The R Book. John Wiley & Sons.

Cuhra, M., Traavik, T., Bøhn, T., 2013. Clone- and age-dependent toxicity of a glyphosate commercial formulation and its active ingredient in $Daphnia\ magna$. Ecotoxicology 22, 251–262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-012-1021-1

Daam, M.A., Moutinho, M.F., Espíndola, E.L.G., Schiesari, L., 2019. Lethal toxicity of the herbicides acetochlor, ametryn, glyphosate and metribuzin to tropical frog larvae. Ecotoxicology 28, 707–715. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-019-02067-5

de Melo Tarouco, F., de Godoi, F.G.A., Velasques, R.R., da Silveira Guerreiro, A., Geihs, M.A., da Rosa, C.E., 2017. Effects of the herbicide Roundup on the polychaeta *Laeonereis acuta*: Cholinesterases and oxidative stress. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 135, 259–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.10.014

Dutra, B.K., Fernandes, F.A., Failace, D.M., Oliveira, G.T., 2011. Effect of roundup® (glyphosate formulation) in the energy metabolism and reproductive traits of *Hyalella castroi* (*Crustacea, Amphipoda, Dogielinotidae*). Ecotoxicology 20, 255–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-010-0577-x

Fernandes, K.M., Gonzaga, W.G., Pascini, T.V., Miranda, F.R., Tomé, H.V.V., Serrão, J.E., Martins, G.F., 2015. Imidacloprid impairs the post-embryonic development of the midgut in the yellow fever mosquito Stegomyia aegypti (=Aedes aegypti). Med. Vet. Entomol. 29, 245–254. https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12122

Giesy, J.P., Dobson, S., Solomon, K.R., 2000. Ecotoxicological risk assessment for Roundup® herbicide, in: Ware, G.W. (Ed.), Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. Springer New York, NY, pp. 35–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1156-3_2

Gill, J.P.K., Sethi, N., Mohan, A., Datta, S., Girdhar, M., 2018. Glyphosate toxicity for animals. Environ. Chem. Lett. 16, 401–426. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-017-0689-0

Gregorc, A., Ellis, J.D., 2011. Cell death localization in situ in laboratory reared honey bee $(Apis\ mellifera\ L.)$ larvae treated with pesticides. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 99, 200–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2010.12.005

Hansen, L.R., Roslev, P., 2016. Behavioral responses of juvenile $Daphnia\ magna$ after exposure to glyphosate and glyphosate-copper complexes. Aquat. Toxicol. Amst. Neth. 179, 36–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.08.010

Hong, Y., Yang, X., Huang, Y., Yan, G., Cheng, Y., 2018. Assessment of the oxidative and genotoxic effects of the glyphosate-based herbicide roundup on the freshwater shrimp, $Macrobrachium\ nipponensis$. Chemosphere 210, 896–906. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.07.069

Hong, Y., Yang, X., Yan, G., Huang, Y., Zuo, F., Shen, Y., Ding, Y., Cheng, Y., 2017. Effects of glyphosate on immune responses and haemocyte DNA damage of Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis*. Fish Shellfish

Immunol. 71, 19–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.09.062

Janssens, L., Stoks, R., 2017. Stronger effects of Roundup than its active ingredient glyphosate in damselfly larvae. Aquat. Toxicol. 193, 210–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.10.028

Kibuthu, T.W., Njenga, S.M., Mbugua, A.K., Muturi, E.J., 2016. Agricultural chemicals: life changer for mosquito vectors in agricultural landscapes? Parasit. Vectors 9, 500. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1788-7

Langiano, V. do C., Martinez, C.B.R., 2008. Toxicity and effects of a glyphosate-based herbicide on the Neotropical fish *Prochilodus lineatus*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 147, 222–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2007.09.009

Lavazec, C., Bourgouin, C., 2008. Mosquito-based transmission blocking vaccines for interrupting *Plasmodium* development. Microbes Infect. 10, 845–849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2008.05.004

Mann, R.M., Bidwell, J.R., 1999. The Toxicity of glyphosate and several glyphosate formulations to four species of southwestern Australian frogs. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 36, 193–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002449900460

Matozzo, V., Zampieri, C., Munari, M., Marin, M.G., 2019. Glyphosate affects haemocyte parameters in the clam *Ruditapes philippinarum*. Mar. Environ. Res. 146, 66–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.03.008

Mesnage, R., Defarge, N., Spiroux de Vendômois, J., Séralini, G.-E., 2014. Major pesticides are more toxic to human cells than their declared active principles. BioMed Res. Int. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/179691

Modesto, K.A., Martinez, C.B.R., 2010. Roundup R causes oxidative stress in liver and inhibits acetylcholinesterase in muscle and brain of the fish $Prochilodus\ lineatus$. Chemosphere 78, 294–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.10.047

Mohamed, A.H., 2011. Sublethal toxicity of Roundup to immunological and molecular aspects of *Biomphalaria alexandrina* to *Schistosoma mansoni* infection. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 74, 754–760. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.10.037

Monte, T.C. de C., Chometon, T.Q., Bertho, A.L., de Moura, V.S., de Vasconcellos, M.C., Garcia, J., Ferraz-Nogueira, R., Maldonado Júnior, A., Faro, M.J., 2019. Changes in hemocytes of *Biomphalaria glabrata* infected with *Echinostoma paraensei* and exposed to glyphosate-based herbicide. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 160, 67–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2018.11.007

Morris, A., Murrell, E.G., Klein, T., Noden, B.H., 2016. Effect of two commercial herbicides on life history traits of a human disease vector, $Aedes\ aegypti$, in the laboratory setting. Ecotoxicology 25, 863–870. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-016-1643-9

Morrissey, C.A., Mineau, P., Devries, J.H., Sanchez-Bayo, F., Liess, M., Cavallaro, M.C., Liber, K., 2015. Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters and associated risk to aquatic invertebrates: A review. Environ. Int. 74, 291–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.024

Motta, E.V.S., Raymann, K., Moran, N.A., 2018. Glyphosate perturbs the gut microbiota of honey bees. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115, 10305–10310. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803880115

Muturi, E.J., Kim, C.-H., Alto, B.W., Berenbaum, M.R., Schuler, M.A., 2011. Larval environmental stress alters Aedes aegypti competence for Sindbis virus. Trop. Med. Int. Health 16, 955–964. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2011.02796.x

Muturi, E.J., Nyakeriga, A., Blackshear, M., 2012. Temperature-Mediated Differential Expression of immune and stress-related genes in *Aedes aegypti* larvae. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 28, 79–83. https://doi.org/10.2987/11-6194R.1

- Nagy, K., Duca, R.C., Lovas, S., Creta, M., Scheepers, P.T.J., Godderis, L., Ádám, B., 2019. Systematic review of comparative studies assessing the toxicity of pesticide active ingredients and their product formulations. Environ. Res. 108926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108926
- Nguyen, M.-H., Nguyen, T.-H.-N., Hwang, I.-C., Bui, C.-B., Park, H.-J., 2016. Effects of the physical state of nanocarriers on their penetration into the root and upward transportation to the stem of soybean plants using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Crop Prot. 87, 25–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2016.04.012
- Pala, A., 2019. The effect of a glyphosate-based herbicide on acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity, oxidative stress, and antioxidant status in freshwater amphipod: $Gammarus\ pulex(Crustacean)$. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26, 36869–36877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06804-5
- Peruzzo, P.J., Porta, A.A., Ronco, A.E., 2008. Levels of glyphosate in surface waters, sediments and soils associated with direct sowing soybean cultivation in north pampasic region of Argentina. Environ. Pollut. 156, 61–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.01.015
- Pigeault, R., Vézilier, J., Cornet, S., Zélé, F., Nicot, A., Perret, P., Gandon, S., Rivero, A., 2015. Avian malaria: a new lease of life for an old experimental model to study the evolutionary ecology of *Plasmodium*. Phil Trans R Soc B 370, 20140300. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0300
- Riaz, M.A., Poupardin, R., Reynaud, S., Strode, C., Ranson, H., David, J.-P., 2009. Impact of glyphosate and benzo[a]pyrene on the tolerance of mosquito larvae to chemical insecticides. Role of detoxification genes in response to xenobiotics. Aquat. Toxicol. 93, 61–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.03.005
- Shapiro, L.L.M., Murdock, C.C., Jacobs, G.R., Thomas, R.J., Thomas, M.B., 2016. Larval food quantity affects the capacity of adult mosquitoes to transmit human malaria. Proc R Soc B 283, 20160298. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0298
- Struger, J., Thompson, D., Staznik, B., Martin, P., McDaniel, T., Marvin, C., 2008. Occurrence of glyphosate in surface waters of southern ontario. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 80, 378–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-008-9373-1
- Takken, W., Smallegange, R.C., Vigneau, A.J., Johnston, V., Brown, M., Mordue-Luntz, A.J., Billingsley, P.F., 2013. Larval nutrition differentially affects adult fitness and Plasmodium development in the malaria vectors $Anopheles\ gambiae$ and $Anopheles\ stephensi$. Parasit. Vectors 6, 345. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-345
- Tripet, F., Aboagye-Antwi, F., Hurd, H., 2008. Ecological immunology of mosquito-malaria interactions. Trends Parasitol. 24, 219–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2008.02.008
- Valkiunas, G., 2004. Avian Malaria Parasites and other Haemosporidia. CRC Press.
- Van Bruggen, A.H.C., He, M.M., Shin, K., Mai, V., Jeong, K.C., Finckh, M.R., Morris, J.G., 2018. Environmental and health effects of the herbicide glyphosate. Sci. Total Environ. 616–617, 255–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.309
- Van Handel, E., Day, J.F., 1989. Correlation between wing length and protein content of mosquitoes. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 5, 180–182.
- Vantaux, A., Lefèvre, T., Cohuet, A., Dabiré, K.R., Roche, B., Roux, O., 2016. Larval nutritional stress affects vector life history traits and human malaria transmission. Sci. Rep. 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36778
- Vézilier, J., Nicot, A., Gandon, S., Rivero, A., 2010. Insecticide resistance and malaria transmission: Infection rate and oocyst burden in $Culex\ pipiens\ mosquitoes$ infected with $Plasmodium\ relictum\$. Malar. J. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-379
- Yassine, H., Kamareddine, L., Osta, M.A., 2012. The mosquito melanization response is implicated in defense against the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. PLOS Pathog. 8, e1003029. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003029

Zhang, J., Huang, F.S., Xu, W.Y., Song, P., Duan, J.H., Yang, S., Qiu, Z.W., 2008. *Plasmodium yoelii*: Correlation of up-regulated prophenoloxidase and phenoloxidases with melanization induced by the antimalarial, nitroquine. Exp. Parasitol. 118, 308–314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2007.08.017

Figure captions

Figure 1. Impact of (A) food treatment and (B) glyphosate exposure on larval development time. Boxplots were constructed to show the raw data. Boxes above and below the medians (horizontal lines) show the first and third quartiles, respectively. Black points represent the means. Levels not connected by the same letter are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Figure 2. Impact of food treatment and glyphosate exposure on (A) the probability of mosquitoes to be infected by malaria parasites and on (B) the intensity of infection (oocyst burden). In panel (B) black horizontal lines represent medians and black diamond represent means. Levels not connected by the same letter are significantly different (P < 0.05).

