

Clinical Outcomes of High-Intensity Doses of Atorvastatin in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome: A Retrospective Cohort Study Using Real-World Data

Alaa Rahhal¹, Fadi Khir², Amer Aljundi¹, Yaser AlAhmad¹, Hakam Alzaeem¹, Masa Habra³, Israa Alshekh², Ahmed Mahfouz⁴, Ahmed Awaisu⁵, Sumaya Al-Yafei¹, and Abdul Rahman Arabi¹

¹Heart Hospital, Hamad Medical Corporation

²Hamad General Hospital, Hamad Medical Corporation

³Affiliation not available

⁴Heart Hospital, Hamad Medical Corporat

⁵Qatar University

July 16, 2020

Abstract

Abstract Aim: To compare the effectiveness and safety of two high-intensity atorvastatin doses (40mg vs. 80mg) among acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients. **Methods:** This retrospective observational cohort study using real-world data included patients admitted with ACS to the Heart Hospital in Qatar between January 1, 2017 and December 31, 2018. The primary endpoint was a composite of cardiovascular disease (CVD)-associated death, non-fatal ACS, and non-fatal stroke. Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was used to determine the association between the two high-intensity atorvastatin dosing regimens and the primary outcome at 1 month and 12 months post-discharge. **Results:** Of the 626 patients included in the analyses, 475 (75.9%) received atorvastatin 40mg, while 151 (24.1%) received atorvastatin 80mg following ACS. Most of the patients were Asian (73%), male (97%) with a mean age of 50 years, and presented with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (60%). The incidence of the primary effectiveness outcome did not differ between the atorvastatin 40mg and 80mg groups at 1 month (0.8% vs. 1.3%; aHR= 0.59, 95% CI 0.04-8.13, p= 0.690) and at 12 months (3.2% vs. 4%; aHR= 0.57, 95% CI 0.18-1.80, p= 0.340). Similarly, the use of the two doses of atorvastatin resulted in comparable safety outcomes, including liver toxicity, myopathy, and rhabdomyolysis with an event rate of < 1% in both groups. **Conclusion:** The use of atorvastatin 40mg in comparison to atorvastatin 80mg in patients with ACS resulted in similar cardiovascular effectiveness and safety outcomes.

Introduction

A high-intensity statin, defined as atorvastatin 40mg or 80mg and rosuvastatin 20mg or 40mg orally, which lowers low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) by [?]50%, is recommended by clinical practice guidelines for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events among patients who have an atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Class 1A).^{1,2} Several clinical trials have demonstrated that statin use reduces major cardiovascular events.³⁻¹⁰ Specifically, large randomized controlled clinical trials have established the efficacy and safety of statins for secondary prevention of CVDs.⁸⁻¹⁰ The Intensive versus Moderate Lipid Lowering with Statins after Acute Coronary Syndromes (PROVE IT) trial that compared atorvastatin 80mg to pravastatin 40mg demonstrated a risk reduction of 16% over two years in the composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, documented unstable angina requiring re-hospitalization, revascularization (performed at least 30 days after randomization), and stroke among patients with the acute coronary syndrome (ACS).⁸

Additionally, the Intensive Lipid Lowering with Atorvastatin in Patients with Stable Coronary Disease (TNT) trial that compared atorvastatin 80mg to 10mg showed a risk reduction of 22% over 4.9 years in a major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE), defined as cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, resuscitation after cardiac arrest, and fatal or non-fatal stroke.⁹ Similarly, in the Effects of Atorvastatin on Early Recurrent Ischemic Events in Acute Coronary Syndrome (MIRACL) trial, the early use of atorvastatin 80mg after ACS compared to placebo had resulted in a 16% significant reduction in a primary composite outcome of mortality, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and cardiac arrest with resuscitation over a follow-up period of 16 weeks.¹⁰

Therefore, the use of atorvastatin 80mg among patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) had resulted in a risk reduction of 16-22% in MACE. However, to the best of our knowledge, the secondary prevention cardiovascular benefit of atorvastatin 40mg compared to 80mg in the setting of ACS has not yet been well-established. Although the CURE-ACS trial compared both doses among ACS patients in terms of LDL-C reduction¹¹, there is no head-to-head comparison between atorvastatin 40mg and 80mg to assess the cardiovascular secondary prevention effect of the two regimens. Therefore, this retrospective, observational cohort study aimed to compare using real-world data the effectiveness and safety of two high-intensity statin doses (atorvastatin 40mg vs. 80mg) in patients with ACS at 1 month and 12 months post-discharge, and to determine the predictors of prescribing 80mg upon discharge.

Methods

Study Setting

This study was conducted at the Heart Hospital in Qatar. The hospital is a 116-bed tertiary cardiology center under the Hamad Medical Corporation (HMC), and it is the only national center for CVDs in the country.¹²

Study Design and Population

We conducted a retrospective, observational, cohort study using real-world data from Heart Hospital. The study was approved by HMC Medical Research Centre and Institutional Review Board in Qatar. The study comprised four stages: (1) determining the time to a primary composite outcome of CVD-associated death, non-fatal ACS, and non-fatal stroke within 1 month and 12 months of discharge among high-intensity statin naïve patients admitted with ACS, along with determining the time to secondary effectiveness outcomes within 1 month and 12 months of discharge; (2) determining the effect of the two high-intensity statin dosing regimens (atorvastatin 40mg vs. 80mg) in achieving a goal of reducing LDL-C by [?] 50% from baseline or LDL-C < 70mg/dL; (3) assessing the safety outcomes, including the occurrence of myopathy, rhabdomyolysis, and elevation of liver enzymes to three times the upper limit of normal (ULN) and; (4) conducting a retrospective analysis of the demographic and clinical characteristics of the atorvastatin 80mg vs. 40mg users to identify the predictors of prescribing atorvastatin 80mg among the ACS patients in our facility.

All patients admitted to the Heart Hospital with the diagnosis of ACS, which includes ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), or unstable angina (UA) during 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2018 were screened for inclusion in the study. Patients who met the inclusion criteria and discharged on high intensity atorvastatin dosing (either atorvastatin 40mg or 80mg oral once daily) were identified. All patients who met the inclusion criteria and discharged on atorvastatin 40mg were included in the atorvastatin 40mg group (atorvastatin 40mg users), while those discharged on atorvastatin 80mg were included in the atorvastatin 80mg group (atorvastatin 80mg users).

Eligibility Criteria

Patients were included in the study if they fulfilled all of the following eligibility criteria: (1) adult patients aged [?]18 years, but [?] 75 years; (2) diagnosed with ACS (STEMI, NSTEMI, or UA); (3) were either statin-naïve or on a low-to-moderate intensity statin therapy prior to admission and; (4) were discharged on either atorvastatin 40mg or atorvastatin 80mg. Patients were excluded if they had one of the following: (1)

a known hypersensitivity to any statin; (2) active liver disease or hepatic dysfunction defined as a level of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) of > three times ULN; (3) pregnancy or breast-feeding; (4) receiving proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin Type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitor; (5) receiving ezetimibe; (6) or already on a high-intensity statin prior to ACS diagnosis and hospitalization.

Outcome Measures and Follow-Up

The effectiveness outcome measures in this study included: (1) a primary composite outcome of CVD-associated death, non-fatal ACS, and non-fatal stroke within 1 month and within 12 months of discharge among high-intensity statin naive patients who were discharged on either atorvastatin 40mg or 80mg; (2) secondary effectiveness outcomes, including, all-cause mortality, CV mortality, fatal or non-fatal stroke, fatal or non-fatal ACS, coronary revascularization, stent thrombosis, and stent restenosis within 1 month and 12 months post-discharge and; (3) lowering LDL-C by [?] 50% from baseline or LDL-C < 70mg/dL. On the other hand, the safety outcome measures of the study included: (1) myopathy; (2) rhabdomyolysis; (3) elevation of ALT or AST > three upper limits of normal and; (4) any adverse drug event requiring statin discontinuation. Predictors of prescribing atorvastatin 80mg among ACS patients, including several patient-related, disease-related, and medication-related factors, such as demographics, comorbid diseases, and concomitant prescription drugs during hospitalization were also measured. Patients were followed-up for 1 year post-discharge date, or until the occurrence of the primary endpoint, or until censoring if they were lost to follow-up.

Covariates

The results of the effectiveness outcomes were adjusted for clinically relevant patient-, disease-, and medication-related variables that were associated with ACS, including: gender, age, geographical region of origin, smoking status, family history of CVD, hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease (CKD), peripheral artery disease (PAD), CAD, index event of STEMI, index event of NSTEMI, index event of UA, primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), number of deployed stents, type of stent, level of baseline LDL-C, and use of aspirin, P2Y12 inhibitors, and beta-blockers.

Data Collection Procedures

Data were collected from the HMC electronic medical records system (Cerner^(r)). Relevant data were manually extracted using a pretested data collection form. The outcomes of interest, including the primary and secondary outcomes as well as patient-, disease-, and medication-related factors were extracted accordingly.

Statistical Analyses

Data analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences program version 24.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies and percentages were reported for categorical variables and mean +/- standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables. The chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables between the two groups (atorvastatin 80mg users vs. atorvastatin 40mg users), and Student's t-test was used to compare continuous variables between the two groups.

Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was used to assess the association between atorvastatin doses and time-to-primary composite outcome and secondary effectiveness outcomes at 1 month and 12 months following discharge. The 1-month and 12-month Cox proportional hazard models were adjusted for clinically relevant variables. The results were presented as unadjusted hazard ratio and adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A P-value of <0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance. Furthermore, multivariate logistic regression was used to determine the predictors of prescribing atorvastatin 80mg among ACS patients. Sixteen clinically relevant variables were included in the logistic regression model, using the backward stepwise likelihood ratio with the probability of entry of 0.05 and removal of 0.10 at each step. The results are presented as adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with corresponding 95% CI. A P-value of <0.05 was used for statistical significance.

Results

Subjects' Selection

We identified 7,372 patients who were dispensed either atorvastatin 40mg (n=7,009) or 80mg (n=363) through the Heart Hospital electronic pharmacy record system during the study period (i.e. 1 January 2017 to 31 December 2018), suggesting that atorvastatin 40mg is more commonly prescribed than atorvastatin 80mg at our facility. Upon screening the potentially eligible patients above, 626 fulfilled the study's eligibility criteria and classified into two: 475 (75.9%) in the atorvastatin 40mg group and 151 (24.1%) in the atorvastatin 80mg group.

Baseline Characteristics

The baseline characteristics comparisons between the atorvastatin 40mg group (n=475) and the atorvastatin 80mg group (n=151) are presented in **Table 1**. About 97% of the patients included in the analyses were male with a mean age of 50 ± 9 years. Most of the patients originate from Asia (72.7%) and the Middle East (24.3%) (**Table 1**). In addition, diabetes, hypertension, history of smoking, and family history of CAD were highly prevalent in the studied population with the following proportions: 47.3%, 40.6%, 46.8%, and 15.5%, respectively. These characteristics were balanced between the two study groups. However, history of a previous CAD was significantly more prevalent in the atorvastatin 40mg group compared to the 80mg group (13.5% vs. 6%; P = 0.012).

The mean LDL-C level in the atorvastatin 80mg group was 130 ± 44.7 mg/dL compared to 110 ± 41.9 mg/dL in the atorvastatin 40mg group (P<0.001), while the baseline levels of liver enzymes were significantly lower in the atorvastatin 80mg group (**Table 1**).

Sixty percent of the patients were admitted with the diagnosis of STEMI, while 33.5% had NSTEMI. Around 80% of the patients underwent PCI with the proximal left anterior descending artery (LAD) as the most common identified culprit lesion (36.3%). The atorvastatin 80mg group had a higher prevalence of STEMI events compared to the atorvastatin 40mg group (76.8% vs. 54.9%; P<0.001). Similarly, more patients in the atorvastatin 80mg group underwent PCI compared to the 40mg group (87.4% vs. 75.4%; P=0.002). The implantation of drug-eluting stents (DES) was more frequent in the atorvastatin 80mg arm compared to the 40mg arm (74.2% vs. 62.9%; P=0.035).

The use of other medications for ACS was similar in both groups, as shown in **Table 2**. Almost 100% of the patients in both groups were prescribed aspirin and P2Y₁₂ inhibitors, while 71.4% of the patients in the atorvastatin 40mg group compared to 66.9% of those in the atorvastatin 80mg group were prescribed an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or an angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB), and more than 90% of the patients in both groups received a beta-blocker.

Effectiveness Outcomes

There was no statistically significant difference between the atorvastatin 40mg and 80mg groups in the primary composite outcome of CVD-associated death, non-fatal ACS and non-fatal stroke at 1 month (0.8% vs. 1.3%, HR= 0.60, 95% CI 0.10-3.30; P=0.551). After adjusting for variables that are associated with ACS, including gender, age, geographical region of origin, smoking status, family history of CVD, hypertension, diabetes, CKD, PAD, CAD, index event of STEMI, index event of NSTEMI, index event of UA, primary PCI, number of deployed stents, type of stent, baseline LDL-C value, and concomitant medications for ACS, the primary composite outcome did not differ between the two groups (aHR= 0.59, 95% CI 0.04-8.13; P= 0.690). Similarly, within 1 year of discharge, the primary composite outcome did not significantly differ between the atorvastatin 40mg and 80mg groups (3.2% vs. 4.0%, HR= 0.58, 95% CI 0.22-1.50; P=0.243, aHR= 0.57, 95% CI 0.18-1.80; P=0.340) as shown in **Table 3**.

Likewise, as shown in **Table 4**, there was no difference in the secondary outcomes of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, fatal or non-fatal stroke, fatal or non-fatal ACS, coronary revascularization, stent thrombosis, and stent restenosis at 1 month and at 12 months post-discharge. Although atorvastatin 40mg

and 80mg appeared similar in reducing LDL-C to less than 70 mg/dL (24% vs. 22.5%), atorvastatin 80mg compared to the 40mg significantly reduced the LDL-C by more than 50% from baseline (21.2% vs. 15.2%; $P=0.022$). However, we were able to obtain the LDL-C levels after discharge for around 40% of the patients in both groups.

Safety Outcomes

The frequency of adverse events related to the statin therapy was very low in both groups, with myopathy occurring in around 0.8% and increased ALT > three ULN in around 0.7% in both groups as presented in **Table 4**. Only three patients (0.3%) in the atorvastatin 40mg group and no patient in the 80mg group discontinued the statin therapy secondary to adverse events.

Predictors of Prescribing Atorvastatin 80mg in ACS

As shown in **Table 5**, the likelihood of physicians prescribing atorvastatin 80mg increased by around four folds in patients diagnosed with STEMI (aOR= 3.8, 95% CI 2.2-6.5; $P<0.001$). The increase in tendency to prescribe atorvastatin 80mg was also observed in ACS patients who underwent PCI (aOR of 2.8, 95% CI 1.5-5.2; $P=0.001$). Similarly, the use of BMS was associated with around 2-fold increase in atorvastatin 80mg prescription (aOR= 2.2, 95% CI 1.1-4.3; $P=0.024$).

Discussion

In this retrospective observational cohort study, we found that the use of two high-intensity doses of atorvastatin (40mg vs. 80mg) post-ACS was associated with similar cardiovascular outcomes, including CVD-related death, non-fatal ACS, and non-fatal stroke at 1 month and 12 months post-discharge. In addition, the two high-intensity doses of atorvastatin resulted in similar safety outcomes. Since a high-intensity statin therapy, such as atorvastatin 40mg or 80mg, is recommended by clinical practice guidelines for secondary prevention post atherosclerotic CVD based on landmark trials that mainly evaluated the efficacy and safety of atorvastatin 80mg,^{1,2} it was hypothesized that atorvastatin 40mg would result in comparable CV benefit post-ACS when compared to the atorvastatin 80mg.

The cardiovascular benefit of high-intensity statin therapy was demonstrated in a meta-analysis of five randomized controlled trials evaluating more vs. less intensive statin therapy among a total of 39,612 individuals.¹³ The meta-analysis showed a significant reduction in the major adverse vascular events of 15% (95% CI 11–18; $p < 0.0001$), non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) of 13% (95% CI 7–19; $p < 0.0001$), coronary revascularization of 19% (95% CI 15–24; $p < 0.0001$), and ischemic stroke of 16% (95% CI 5–26; $p=0.005$) with the use of high-intensity statin. The benefit of atorvastatin 80mg post-ACS specifically was well established in the PROVE-IT and IDEAL trials.^{8,14} In the PROVE-IT trial, the use of atorvastatin 80mg compared to pravastatin 40mg over 2 years had resulted in a significant reduction in a composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI, UA requiring hospitalization, revascularization, and stroke (22.4% vs. 26.3, 95% CI 5-26; $p=0.005$).⁸ In the IDEAL trial, atorvastatin 80mg compared to simvastatin 20mg reduced non-fatal MI significantly (6% vs. 7.2%, HR = 0.83; 95% CI, 0.71-0.98; $p=0.02$) over a follow-up period of 4.8 years among patients with previous history of MI.¹⁴ Compared to the PROVE-IT and IDEAL trials, the present study reported a lower event rate of the CV outcomes, which might be explained by the shorter follow-up period of 12 months. Nevertheless, the current study demonstrated a novel and reassuring observation, that atorvastatin 40mg has similar CV benefits post-ACS in comparison to atorvastatin 80mg.

According to the 2018 American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines for dyslipidemia for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events among patients who have atherosclerotic CVD, a high-intensity statin is defined as a statin therapy that lowers LDL-C by [?]50%.² In the current study, atorvastatin 80mg was significantly better in lowering LDL-C by [?]50% compared to atorvastatin 40mg which is consistent with the CURE-ACS trial that mainly aimed at evaluating the LDL-C lowering effects of atorvastatin 40mg versus 80mg and showed a greater reduction of LDL-C in the atorvastatin 80mg group compared to the 40mg group (27.5% vs 19.04%).¹¹ Despite that the baseline LDL-C was significantly higher in the atorvastatin 80mg group (130 +/- 44.7 vs. 110 +/- 41.9 mg/dL ; $p < 0.001$),

both doses were able to reduce LDL-C to less than 70mg/dL, which is the target LDL-C for patients with very high risk of atherosclerotic CVD as per the 2018 ACC/AHA guidelines for dyslipidemia.² However, the follow-up data of LDL-C was only available for 40% of the study patients, which might be explained by the fact that 2013 ACC/AHA guidelines for dyslipidemia for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events recommended using a high-intensity statin post-ACS regardless of LDL-C.¹ Therefore, this finding should be interpreted in the light of this limitation.

In addition to similar effectiveness between the two high-intensity doses of atorvastatin, this study demonstrated similar safety outcomes, including liver toxicity, myopathy, and rhabdomyolysis, with a very low event rate of < 1%, which is consistent with high-intensity statin landmark trials.^{8,10,14}

In the present study, we further investigated the predictors of prescribing atorvastatin 80mg compared to 40mg as the rate of prescribing 80mg at our facility is low, which resulted in unbalanced study groups in terms of the number of the study participants. Upon conducting the screening of patients who were prescribed high-intensity atorvastatin doses at our facility during the study period, we found that atorvastatin 80mg to 40mg prescribing ratio was 1:19. The factors that were significantly associated with prescribing atorvastatin 80mg were STEMI as an index event, PCI as the method of treatment of the index event, and BMS implantation. These findings demonstrate the prescribing pattern of atorvastatin high-intensity doses at our facility, but do not present causality.

This study was a retrospective observational cohort study using real-world data that is susceptible to potential limitations. First, data were collected from electronic medical records retrospectively with the expectation of missing some important clinical information. Second, the effectiveness outcomes were adjusted for clinically significant patient and disease variables; however, there is a potential for other measured or unmeasured variables to influence the results. Third, the number of atorvastatin 40mg and 80mg users was relatively small, and the groups had an unequal number of participants due to the prescribing pattern of atorvastatin high-intensity doses at our facility, which might have affected the robustness of the analyses. Nevertheless, this retrospective observational cohort study aimed to answer a clinically important question that is faced by cardiologists in daily practice, and it could serve as a preliminary indicator for future prospective studies to assess the impact of atorvastatin 40mg post-ACS on cardiovascular outcomes.

In conclusion, the use of atorvastatin 40mg in comparison to atorvastatin 80mg after ACS had resulted in comparable effectiveness and safety outcomes over a follow-up period of 1 year. Therefore, following ACS, clinicians may use either high-intensity atorvastatin dose. However, larger studies with a longer follow-up period are warranted to confirm the findings of the present study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

This study was supported by the HMC (Grant number: MRC-01-19-094). The funders had no role in the design, planning and implementation of the project, or the preparation of this manuscript. The content is the sole responsibility of the authors.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: A report of the american college of cardiology/american heart association task force on practice guidelines. *Circulation* . 2014;129(25 SUPPL. 1). doi:10.1161/01.cir.0000437738.63853.7a

2. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* . 2019;73(24):e285-e350. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.003
3. Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, Sleight P, Peto R. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20 536 high-risk individuals: A randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* . 2002;360(9326):7-22. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)09327-3
4. Tonkin A, Alyward P, Colquhoun D, et al. Prevention of Cardiovascular Events and Death with Pravastatin in Patients with Coronary Heart Disease and a Broad Range of Initial Cholesterol Levels. *N Engl J Med* . 1998;339(19):1349-1357. doi:10.1056/NEJM199811053391902
5. Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, et al. The Effect of Pravastatin on Coronary Events after Myocardial Infarction in Patients with Average Cholesterol Levels. *N Engl J Med* . 1996;335(14):1001-1009. doi:10.1056/NEJM199610033351401
6. Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* . 2002;360(9346):1623-1630. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11600-X
7. Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: Results of AFCAPS/TexCAPS. *J Am Med Assoc* . 1998;279(20):1615-1622. doi:10.1001/jama.279.20.1615
8. Lon S, Schneider MD et al. Intensive versus Moderate Lipid Lowering with Statins after Acute Coronary Syndromes. *N Engl J Med* . 2004;687-696. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1505949
9. La Rosa JC, Conti CR. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. *ACC Cardiosource Rev J* . 2006;15(5):97-99. doi:10.1016/s0749-4041(08)70417-2
10. Olsson AG, Ganz P, Oliver MF, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes. *Curr Cardiol Rep* . 2001;3(5):384.
11. Kaul U, Varma J, Kahali D, Hiremath MS, Dani S, Dalal J, Ramchandran P, Rane R, Barkate H JC. Post-marketing study of clinical experience of atorvastatin 80 mg vs 40 mg in Indian patients with acute coronary syndrome- a randomized, multi-centre study (CURE-ACS). *J Assoc Physicians India* . 61(2):97-101.
12. Aljundi AHS, Mohammed SFK, Patel A, et al. Inotropic agents use in patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure: a retrospective analysis from a 22-year registry in a Middle-Eastern Country (1991-2013). *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* . 2016;16:47. doi:10.1186/s12872-016-0223-5
13. Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, et al. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: A meta-analysis of data from 170 000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet* . 2010;376(9753):1670-1681. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61350-5
14. Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, Kastelein JJP, et al. High-dose atorvastatin vs usual-dose simvastatin for secondary prevention after myocardial infarction: The IDEAL study: A randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* . 2005;294(19):2437-2445. doi:10.1001/jama.294.19.2437

| Table 1:
Baseline
character-
istics of
acute
coronary
syndrome
patients
receiving
two
different
high-
intensity
atorvas-
tatin doses
(N= 626) |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Characteristic | All Patients
(N=626) n
(%) | All Patients
(N=626) n
(%) | Atorvastatin
40mg Users
(N=475) n
(%) | Atorvastatin
40mg Users
(N=475) n
(%) | Atorvastatin
80mg Users
(N=151) n
(%) | P-value |
| Male
gender | 606 (96.8) | 606 (96.8) | 457 (96.2) | 457 (96.2) | 149 (98.7) | 0.185* |
| Age^a | 50 ± 9.14 | 50 ± 9.14 | 50 ± 8.9 | 50 ± 8.9 | 48 ± 9.7 | 0.020 |
| Weight^a | 77 ± 14.52 | 77 ± 14.52 | 77 ± 14.6 | 77 ± 14.6 | 77 ± 14.2 | 0.824 |
| Region of
origin | | | | | | 0.015* |
| Asia | 455 (72.7) | 455 (72.7) | 358 (75.4) | 358 (75.4) | 97 (64.2) | |
| Middle East | 152 (24.3) | 152 (24.3) | 104 (21.9) | 104 (21.9) | 48 (31.8) | |
| Africa | 13 (2.1) | 13 (2.1) | 10 (2.1) | 10 (2.1) | 3 (2.0) | |
| Europe | 2 (0.3) | 2 (0.3) | 2 (0.4) | 2 (0.4) | 0 | |
| North America | 3 (0.5) | 3 (0.5) | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 2 (1.3) | |
| South America | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.7) | |
| Smoking | 293 (46.8) | 293 (46.8) | 214 (45.1) | 214 (45.1) | 79 (52.3) | 0.376 |
| Alcohol | 46 (7.3) | 46 (7.3) | 27 (5.7) | 27 (5.7) | 19 (12.6) | 0.005 |
| Family
history of
CAD | 97 (15.5) | 97 (15.5) | 67 (14.1) | 67 (14.1) | 30 (19.9) | 0.091 |
| Ejection
fraction | | | | | | 1.0* |
| < 40% | 102 (16.3) | 102 (16.3) | 77 (16.2) | 77 (16.2) | 25 (16.6) | |
| > 40% | 522 (83.4) | 522 (83.4) | 396 (83.4) | 396 (83.4) | 126 (83.4) | |
| LDL-C
(mg/dL) ^a | 115 ± 43.4 | 115 ± 43.4 | 110 ± 41.9 | 110 ± 41.9 | 130 ± 44.7 | <0.001 |
| TC
(mg/dL) ^a | 185 ± 46.6 | 185 ± 46.6 | 181 ± 45.4 | 181 ± 45.4 | 196 ± 48.6 | 0.001 |
| HDL
(mg/dL) ^a | 35 ± 9.9 | 35 ± 9.9 | 34 ± 9.9 | 34 ± 9.9 | 37 ± 9.7 | 0.010 |
| HbA1c
(%)^a | 7.2 ± 2.1 | 7.2 ± 2.1 | 7.2 ± 2.1 | 7.2 ± 2.1 | 7.3 ± 2.2 | 0.624 |
| ALT^a | 33 ± 21.6 | 33 ± 21.6 | 34 ± 23.6 | 34 ± 23.6 | 30 ± 13.3 | 0.043 |
| AST^a | 40 ± 42.3 | 40 ± 42.3 | 43 ± 47.4 | 43 ± 47.4 | 30 ± 14.9 | 0.001 |

| Table 1:
Baseline
character-
istics of
acute
coronary
syndrome
patients
receiving
two
different
high-
intensity
atorvas-
tatin doses
(N= 626) |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hypertension | Hypertension | 254 (40.6) | 198 (41.7) | 56 (37.1) | 56 (37.1) | 0.316 |
| Dyslipidemia | Dyslipidemia | 78 (12.5) | 61 (12.8) | 17 (11.3) | 17 (11.3) | 0.830* |
| Diabetes
mellitus | Diabetes
mellitus | 296 (47.3) | 228 (48.0) | 68 (45.0) | 68 (45.0) | 0.525 |
| Chronic
kidney
disease | Chronic
kidney
disease | 15 (2.4) | 12 (2.5) | 3 (2.0) | 3 (2.0) | 1.0* |
| Hyperthyroidism | Hyperthyroidism | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 0 | 1.0* |
| Hypothyroidism | Hypothyroidism | 10 (1.6) | 8 (1.7) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | 1.0* |
| Peripheral
artery
disease | Peripheral
artery
disease | 2 (0.3) | 2 (0.4) | 0 | 0 | 1.0* |
| Coronary
artery
disease
Index
event | Coronary
artery
disease
Index
event | 73 (11.7) | 64 (13.5) | 9 (6.0) | 9 (6.0) | 0.012 |
| STEMI | STEMI | 377 (60.2) | 261 (54.9) | 116 (76.8) | 116 (76.8) | <0.001 |
| NSTEMI | NSTEMI | 210 (33.5) | 180 (37.9) | 30 (19.9) | 30 (19.9) | <0.001 |
| Unstable
Angina | Unstable
Angina | 35 (5.6) | 30 (6.3) | 5 (3.3) | 5 (3.3) | 0.162 |
| PCI | PCI | 490 (78.3) | 358 (75.4) | 132 (87.4) | 132 (87.4) | 0.002 |
| Primary
PCI | Primary
PCI | 252 (40.3) | 186 (39.2) | 66 (43.7) | 66 (43.7) | 0.501* |
| CABG | CABG | 17 (2.7) | 17 (3.6) | 0 | 0 | 0.023* |
| Drug
eluting
stent | Drug
eluting
stent | 411 (65.7) | 299 (62.9) | 112 (74.2) | 112 (74.2) | 0.035* |
| Bare metal
stent | Bare metal
stent | 83 (13.3) | 68 (14.3) | 15 (9.9) | 15 (9.9) | 0.292* |
| Coronary
angiogra-
phy
access | Coronary
angiogra-
phy
access | | | | | 0.347 |

| Table 1:
Baseline
character-
istics of
acute
coronary
syndrome
patients
receiving
two
different
high-
intensity
atorvas-
tatin doses
(N= 626) |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Transradial | Transradial | 540 (86.3) | 413 (86.9) | 127 (84.1) | 127 (84.1) | |
| Transfemoral | Transfemoral | 75 (12) | 54 (11.4) | 21 (13.9) | 21 (13.9) | |
| Most
common
culprit
lesions | Most
common
culprit
lesions | | | | | |
| Proximal LAD | Proximal LAD | 227 (36.3) | 179 (37.7) | 48 (31.8) | 48 (31.8) | 0.142 |
| Middle LAD | Middle LAD | 188 (30) | 131 (27.6) | 57 (37.7) | 57 (37.7) | 0.039 |
| Proximal LCx | Proximal LCx | 98 (15.7) | 80 (16.8) | 18 (11.9) | 18 (11.9) | 0.134 |
| Number of
stents | Number of
stents | | | | | 0.108 |
| 0 | 0 | 150 (24.0) | 124 (26.1) | 26 (17.2) | 26 (17.2) | |
| 1 | 1 | 328 (52.4) | 248 (52.2) | 80 (53.0) | 80 (53.0) | |
| 2 | 2 | 121 (19.3) | 83 (17.5) | 38 (25.2) | 38 (25.2) | |
| 3 | 3 | 21 (3.3) | 16 (3.4) | 5 (3.3) | 5 (3.3) | |
| 4 | 4 | 6 (1.0) | 4 (0.8) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | |

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)
^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary	^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary	^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary	^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary	^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary	^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary	^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD; *P-value was calculated using Fisher's Exact test; CAD: coronary artery disease; LDL: low-density lipoproteins, TC: total cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoproteins; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)	Table 1: Baseline characteristics of acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N= 626)
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2: Concurrent medications prescribed among the acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N=626)	Table 2: Concurrent medications prescribed among the acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N=626)	Table 2: Concurrent medications prescribed among the acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N=626)	Table 2: Concurrent medications prescribed among the acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N=626)	Table 2: Concurrent medications prescribed among the acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N=626)	Table 2: Concurrent medications prescribed among the acute coronary syndrome patients receiving two different high-intensity atorvastatin doses (N=626)
Medication Class	All Patients (N=626) n (%)	Atorvastatin 40mg Users (N= 475) n (%)	Atorvastatin 40mg Users (N= 475) n (%)	Atorvastatin 80mg Users (N=151) n (%)	P-value
Aspirin	626 (100.0)	475 (100.0)	475 (100.0)	151 (100.0)	—
P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor	623 (99.5)	472 (99.4)	472 (99.4)	151 (100.0)	1.0*
List of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitors					0.011*
Clopidogrel	565 (90.3)	437 (92.0)	437 (92.0)	128 (84.8)	
Ticagrelor	58 (9.3)	35 (7.4)	35 (7.4)	23 (15.2)	
ACE inhibitor or ARB	440 (70.3)	339 (71.4)	339 (71.4)	101 (66.9)	0.294
List of ACE inhibitors					0.916*

| Table 2:
Concurrent
medications
prescribed
among the
acute
coronary
syndrome
patients
receiving
two different
high-
intensity
atorvastatin
doses
(N=626) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lisinopril | 240 (38.3) | 185 (38.9) | 185 (38.9) | 55 (36.4) | |
| Enalapril | 12 (1.9) | 9 (1.9) | 9 (1.9) | 3 (2.0) | |
| Ramipril | 117 (18.7) | 91 (19.2) | 91 (19.2) | 26 (17.2) | |
| Perindopril | 37 (5.9) | 27 (5.7) | 27 (5.7) | 10 (6.6) | |
| Fosinopril | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 0 | |
| List of ARBs | | | | | 0.435* |
| Valsartan | 33 (5.3) | 27 (5.7) | 27 (5.7) | 6 (4.0) | |
| Losartan | 5 (0.8) | 5 (1.1) | 5 (1.1) | 0 | |
| Irbesartan | 4 (0.6) | 2 (0.4) | 2 (0.4) | 2 (1.3) | |
| Candesartan | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 0 | |
| Beta-blocker | 577 (92.2) | 433 (91.2) | 433 (91.2) | 144 (95.4) | 0.094 |
| List of beta-
blockers | | | | | 0.660* |
| Bisoprolol | 317 (50.6) | 237 (49.9) | 237 (49.9) | 80 (53.0) | |
| Metoprolol | 256 (40.9) | 193 (40.6) | 193 (40.6) | 63 (41.7) | |
| Carvedilol | 7 (1.1) | 6 (1.3) | 6 (1.3) | 1 (0.7) | |
| Atenolol | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | 0 | |
| Ivabradine | 11 (1.8) | 7 (1.5) | 7 (1.5) | 4 (2.6) | 0.504* |
| Nitrate | 195 (31.2) | 156 (32.8) | 156 (32.8) | 39 (25.8) | 0.206* |
| *P-value was
calculated
using Fisher's
Exact test;
ACE:
angiotensin
converting
enzyme; ARB:
angiotensin II
receptor
blocker |

| Table 3:
Primary
outcomes
of two
different
high-
intensity
atorvas-
tatin doses
in patients
with acute
coronary
syndrome
(N= 626) |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Outcome | Atorvastatin
40mg Users
(N= 475) n
(%) | Atorvastatin
80mg Users
(N= 151) n
(%) | Hazard
Ratio 95%
CI | P-value | Adjusted
Hazard
Ratio 95%
CI | P-value |
| Primary
endpoint at
1 month
CVD-
associated
death,
non-fatal
ACS, and
non-fatal
stroke | 4 (0.8) | 2 (1.3) | 0.60
(0.10-3.30) | 0.551 | 0.59
(0.04-8.13) | 0.690 |
| Primary
endpoint at
12 months
CVD-
associated
death,
non-fatal
ACS, and
non-fatal
stroke | 15 (3.2) | 6 (4.0) | 0.58
(0.22-1.50) | 0.243 | 0.57
(0.18-1.80) | 0.340 |
| CVD: car-
diovascular
disease;
ACS: acute
coronary
syndrome |

| Table 4:
Secondary
Outcomes of
two
high-intensity
atorvastatin
doses in
patients with
acute coronary
syndrome (N=
626) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Outcome | Atorvastatin
40mg Users (N=
475) n (%) | Atorvastatin
80mg Users (N=
151) n (%) | Adjusted Hazard
Ratio 95% CI | P-value |
| Secondary effectiveness endpoints at 1 month | | | | |
| All-cause mortality | 0 | 2 (1.3) | 1 (0.03-35.2) | 1.0 |
| Cardiovascular mortality | 0 | 2 (1.3) | 1 (0.03-35.2) | 1.0 |
| Fatal or non-fatal stroke | 0 | 0 | | |
| Fatal or non-fatal ACS | 5 (1.1) | 0 | 1 (0.10-9.60) | 1.0 |
| Coronary revascularization | 2 (0.4) | 0 | 1 (0.03-37.45) | 1.0 |
| Stent thrombosis | 0 | 0 | | |
| Stent restenosis | 0 | 0 | | |
| Secondary effectiveness endpoints at 12 months | | | | |
| All-cause mortality | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.7) | 1 (0.02-41.29) | 1.0 |
| Cardiovascular mortality | 1 (0.2) | 1 (0.7) | 1 (0.02-41.29) | 1.0 |
| Fatal or non-fatal stroke | 4 (0.8) | 0 | — | 0.577* |
| Fatal or non-fatal ACS | 11 (2.3) | 4 (2.6) | 0.79 (0.18-3.41) | 0.749 |
| Coronary revascularization | 5 (1.1) | 3 (2.0) | 0.62 (0.09-4.31) | 0.632 |
| Stent thrombosis | 0 | 0 | | |
| Stent restenosis | 2 (0.4) | 1 (0.7) | 1 (0.02-66.3) | 1.0 |
| Lipid lowering outcomes | | | | |
| LDL-C < 70mg/dL ^a | 114 (24.0) | 34 (22.5) | — | 0.812** |
| Reduction of LDL-C by 50% ^a | 72 (15.2) | 32 (21.2) | — | 0.022** |
| Safety outcomes | | | | |

| Table 4:
Secondary
Outcomes of
two
high-intensity
atorvastatin
doses in
patients with
acute coronary
syndrome (N=
626) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Myopathy | 4 (0.8) | 1 (0.7) | – | 1.0* |
| ALT > 3 ULN | 4 (0.8) | 1 (0.7) | – | 1.0* |
| AST > 3 ULN | 3 (0.6) | 0 | – | 1.0* |
| Rhabdomyolysis | 0 | 0 | – | |
| Adverse drug event
requiring statin
discontinuation | 3 (0.3) | 0 | – | 1.0* |
| *P-value was
obtained using
Fisher’s Exact
test; **P-value
was obtained
using Chi-square
test; ^a Missing
data for ~60% of
study participants
in both arms;
ACS: acute
coronary
syndrome;
LDL-C:
low-density
lipoproteins
cholesterol; ALT:
alanine
aminotransferase;
AST: aspartate
aminotransferase | *P-value was
obtained using
Fisher’s Exact
test; **P-value
was obtained
using Chi-square
test; ^a Missing
data for ~60% of
study participants
in both arms;
ACS: acute
coronary
syndrome;
LDL-C:
low-density
lipoproteins
cholesterol; ALT:
alanine
aminotransferase;
AST: aspartate
aminotransferase | *P-value was
obtained using
Fisher’s Exact
test; **P-value
was obtained
using Chi-square
test; ^a Missing
data for ~60% of
study participants
in both arms;
ACS: acute
coronary
syndrome;
LDL-C:
low-density
lipoproteins
cholesterol; ALT:
alanine
aminotransferase;
AST: aspartate
aminotransferase | *P-value was
obtained using
Fisher’s Exact
test; **P-value
was obtained
using Chi-square
test; ^a Missing
data for ~60% of
study participants
in both arms;
ACS: acute
coronary
syndrome;
LDL-C:
low-density
lipoproteins
cholesterol; ALT:
alanine
aminotransferase;
AST: aspartate
aminotransferase | *P-value was
obtained using
Fisher’s Exact
test; **P-value
was obtained
using Chi-square
test; ^a Missing
data for ~60% of
study participants
in both arms;
ACS: acute
coronary
syndrome;
LDL-C:
low-density
lipoproteins
cholesterol; ALT:
alanine
aminotransferase;
AST: aspartate
aminotransferase |

Table 5: Predictors of atorvastatin 80mg use	Table 5: Predictors of atorvastatin 80mg use	Table 5: Predictors of atorvastatin 80mg use
Characteristic	Adjusted Odds Ratio 95% CI	P-value
STEMI	3.8 (2.2-6.5)	<0.001
PCI	2.8 (1.5-5.2)	0.001
Bare metal stent	2.2 (1.1-4.3)	0.024

Table 5: Predictors of atorvastatin 80mg use

STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; **PCI:** percutaneous coronary intervention

Table 5: Predictors of atorvastatin 80mg use

STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; **PCI:** percutaneous coronary intervention

Table 5: Predictors of atorvastatin 80mg use

STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; **PCI:** percutaneous coronary intervention
