

# A Global Agenda for Advancing Freshwater Biodiversity Research

Alain Maasri<sup>1</sup>, Sonja Jähnig<sup>2</sup>, Mihai Adamescu<sup>3</sup>, Rita Adrian<sup>4</sup>, Claudio Baigun<sup>5</sup>, Donald Baird<sup>6</sup>, Angelica Batista-Morales<sup>7</sup>, Nuria Bonada<sup>8</sup>, Lee Brown<sup>9</sup>, Qinghua Cai<sup>10</sup>, Joao Campos-Silva<sup>11</sup>, Viola Clausnitzer<sup>12</sup>, Topiltzin Contreras-MacBeath<sup>13</sup>, Steven Cooke<sup>14</sup>, Thibault Datry<sup>15</sup>, Gonzalo Delacamara<sup>16</sup>, Klaas-Douwe Dijkstra<sup>17</sup>, Van Tu Do<sup>18</sup>, Sami Domisch<sup>19</sup>, David Dudgeon<sup>8</sup>, Tibor Eros<sup>20</sup>, Hendrik Freitag<sup>21</sup>, Joerg Freyhof<sup>22</sup>, Jana Friedrich<sup>23</sup>, Martin Friedrichs-Manthey<sup>24</sup>, Juergen Geist<sup>25</sup>, Mark Gessner<sup>26</sup>, Peter Goethals<sup>27</sup>, Matthew Gollock<sup>28</sup>, Christopher Gordon<sup>29</sup>, Hans-Peter Grossart<sup>30</sup>, Georges Gulembuga<sup>31</sup>, Pablo Gutiérrez-Fonseca<sup>32</sup>, Peter Haase<sup>33</sup>, Daniel Hering<sup>34</sup>, Hans Juergen Hahn<sup>35</sup>, Charles Hawkins<sup>36</sup>, Fengzhi He<sup>24</sup>, Jani Heino<sup>37</sup>, Virgilio Hermoso<sup>38</sup>, Zeb Hogan<sup>39</sup>, Franz Hoelker<sup>40</sup>, jonathan Jeschke<sup>24</sup>, Meilam Jiang<sup>41</sup>, Richard Johnson<sup>42</sup>, Gregor Kalinkat<sup>19</sup>, Bakhtiyor Karimov<sup>43</sup>, Aventino Kasangaki<sup>44</sup>, Ismael Kimirei<sup>45</sup>, Bert Kohlmann<sup>46</sup>, Mathias Kummerlen<sup>47</sup>, Jan Kuiper<sup>48</sup>, Benjamin Kupilas<sup>49</sup>, Simone Langhans<sup>50</sup>, Richard Lansdown<sup>51</sup>, Florian Leese<sup>34</sup>, Luc De Meester<sup>52</sup>, Francis Magbanua<sup>53</sup>, Shin-ichiro Matsuzaki<sup>54</sup>, Michael Monaghan<sup>24</sup>, Levan Mumladze<sup>55</sup>, Javier Muzon<sup>56</sup>, Pierre Mvogo Ndongo<sup>57</sup>, Jens Nejtgaard<sup>24</sup>, Oxana Nikitina<sup>58</sup>, Clifford Ochs<sup>59</sup>, Oghenekaro Nelson Odume<sup>60</sup>, Jeffrey Opperman<sup>61</sup>, Harmony Patricio<sup>62</sup>, Steffen Pauls<sup>63</sup>, Rajeev Raghavan<sup>64</sup>, Alonso Ramirez<sup>65</sup>, Bindiya Rashni<sup>66</sup>, Vere Ross-Gillespie<sup>67</sup>, Michael Samways<sup>68</sup>, Ralf Schaefer<sup>35</sup>, Astrid Schmidt-Kloiber<sup>69</sup>, Ole Seehausen<sup>70</sup>, Deep Narayan Shah<sup>71</sup>, Subodh Sharma<sup>72</sup>, Janne Soininen<sup>73</sup>, Nike Sommerwerk<sup>74</sup>, Jason Stockwell<sup>75</sup>, Frank Suhling<sup>76</sup>, Ram Devi Tachamo Shah<sup>72</sup>, Rebecca Tharme<sup>77</sup>, James Thorp<sup>78</sup>, David Tickner<sup>79</sup>, Klement Tockner<sup>12</sup>, Jonathan Tonkin<sup>80</sup>, Mireia Valle<sup>50</sup>, Jean Vitule<sup>81</sup>, Martin Volk<sup>82</sup>, Ding Wang<sup>10</sup>, Christian Wolter<sup>19</sup>, and Susanne Worischka<sup>83</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Leibniz-Institut für Gewässerökologie und Binnenfischerei im Forschungsverbund Berlin eV

<sup>2</sup>Leibniz-Institut für Gewässerökologie und Binnenfischerei im Forschungsverbund Berlin eV

<sup>3</sup>University of Bucharest

<sup>4</sup>Inst. Gewässerökol. Binnenfischerei

<sup>5</sup>Universidad Nacional de San Martín

<sup>6</sup>Environment Canada

<sup>7</sup>Instituto de Investigación de Recursos Biológicos Alexander von Humboldt

<sup>8</sup>Affiliation not available

<sup>9</sup>University of Leeds

<sup>10</sup>Chinese Academy of Sciences

<sup>11</sup>Norwegian University of Life Sciences

<sup>12</sup>Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum

<sup>13</sup>Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos

<sup>14</sup>Carleton University

<sup>15</sup>INRAE

<sup>16</sup>IMDEA Water Institute

- 17 Naturalis Biodiversity Center
- 18 Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology
- 19 Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries
- 20 Hungarian Academy of Sciences Centre for Ecological Research Balaton Limnological Research Institute
- 21 Ateneo de Manila University
- 22 Museum für Naturkunde - Leibniz-Institut für Evolutions- und Biodiversitätsforschung
- 23 Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht Zentrum für Materialforschung und Küstenforschung
- 24 Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries in the Forschungsverbund Berlin eV
- 25 Technical University of Munich
- 26 Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB)
- 27 Ghent University
- 28 Zoological Society of London Institute of Zoology
- 29 University of Ghana
- 30 Leibniz Institute for Freshwater ecology and Inland fisheries
- 31 International Commission for Congo-Ubangui-Sangha basin
- 32 University of Costa Rica Faculty of Sciences
- 33 Senckenberg
- 34 University of Duisburg-Essen
- 35 University of Koblenz Landau - Campus Landau
- 36 Utah State University
- 37 Finnish Environment Institute
- 38 Griffith University
- 39 University of Nevada Reno
- 40 IGB-Berlin
- 41 Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications
- 42 Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences
- 43 Tashkent institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers
- 44 Busitema University
- 45 Tanzania Fisheries Research Institute
- 46 EARTH University
- 47 The University of Dublin Trinity College
- 48 Stockholm University
- 49 Norwegian Institute for Water Research
- 50 Basque Center for Climate Change
- 51 IUCN
- 52 Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries
- 53 University of the Philippines Diliman
- 54 National Institute for Environmental Studies
- 55 Ilia State University
- 56 Universidad Nacional de Avellaneda
- 57 Universite de Douala
- 58 World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF-Russia)

- <sup>59</sup>University of Mississippi
- <sup>60</sup>Rhodes University
- <sup>61</sup>WWF
- <sup>62</sup>Global Wildlife Conservation
- <sup>63</sup>Senckenberg Research Institute
- <sup>64</sup>Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies
- <sup>65</sup>North Carolina State University
- <sup>66</sup>The University of the South Pacific
- <sup>67</sup>NatureMetrics
- <sup>68</sup>Stellenbosch University
- <sup>69</sup>University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna
- <sup>70</sup>University of Bern
- <sup>71</sup>Tribhuvan University
- <sup>72</sup>Kathmandu University
- <sup>73</sup>University of Helsinki
- <sup>74</sup>Bibliothek am Museum fur Naturkunde Berlin
- <sup>75</sup>University of Vermont
- <sup>76</sup>Technische Universitat Braunschweig
- <sup>77</sup>Riverfutures
- <sup>78</sup>University of Kansas, Kansas Biological Survey
- <sup>79</sup>World Wide Fund for Nature UK
- <sup>80</sup>University of Canterbury
- <sup>81</sup>Universidade Federal do Paraná
- <sup>82</sup>Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ
- <sup>83</sup>University Koblenz - Landau

March 22, 2021

## Abstract

Freshwater biodiversity is declining dramatically, and the current biodiversity crisis requires defining bold goals and mobilizing substantial resources to meet the challenges. While the reasons are varied, both research and conservation of freshwater biodiversity lag far behind efforts in the terrestrial and marine realms. We identify fifteen pressing global needs to support informed global freshwater biodiversity stewardship. The proposed agenda aims to advance freshwater biodiversity research globally as a critical step in improving coordinated action towards its sustainable management and conservation.

**Title:** A Global Agenda for Advancing Freshwater Biodiversity Research

**Running title:** Freshwater Biodiversity Research

## Authors:

Alain Maasri\*<sup>++1,2</sup>, Sonja C. Jähnig\*<sup>++1,3</sup>, Mihai C. Adamescu<sup>4</sup>, Rita Adrian<sup>1,5</sup>, Claudio Baigun<sup>6</sup>, Donald Baird<sup>7</sup>, Angelica Batista-Morales<sup>8</sup>, Nuria Bonada<sup>9</sup>, Lee E. Brown<sup>10</sup>, Qinghua Cai<sup>11</sup>, Jao V. Campos-Silva<sup>12</sup>, Viola Clausnitzer<sup>13</sup>, Topiltzin Contreras-MacBeath<sup>14</sup>, Steven J. Cooke<sup>15</sup>, Thibault Datry<sup>16</sup>, Gonzalo Delacamara<sup>17</sup>, Klaus-Douwe B. Dijkstra<sup>18</sup>, Van Tu Do<sup>19</sup>, Sami Domish<sup>1</sup>, David Dudgeon<sup>20</sup>, Tibor Eros<sup>21</sup>, Hendrik Freitag<sup>22</sup>, Joerg Freyhof<sup>23</sup>, Jana Friedrich<sup>24</sup>, Martin Friedrichs-Manthey<sup>1,5</sup>, Juergen Geist<sup>25</sup>, Mark O. Gessner<sup>1,26</sup>, Peter Goethals<sup>27</sup>, Matthew Gollock<sup>28</sup>, Christopher Gordon<sup>29</sup>, Hans-Peter

Grossart<sup>1,30</sup>, Georges Gulemvuga<sup>31</sup>, Pablo E. Gutierrez-Fonseca<sup>32</sup>, Peter Haase<sup>13,33</sup>, Daniel Hering<sup>33</sup>, Hans Jurgen Hahn<sup>34,35</sup>, Charles P. Hawkins<sup>36</sup>, Fengzhi He<sup>1</sup>, Jani Heino<sup>37</sup>, Virgilio Heroso<sup>38</sup>, Zeb Hogan<sup>39</sup>, Franz Holker<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan M. Jeschke<sup>1,5</sup>, Meilan Jiang<sup>40</sup>, Richard K. Johnson<sup>41</sup>, Gregor Kalinkat<sup>1</sup>, Bakhtiyor K. Karimov<sup>42</sup>, Aventino Kasangaki<sup>43</sup>, Ismael A. Kimirei<sup>44</sup>, Bert Kohlmann<sup>45</sup>, Mathias Kuemmerlen<sup>46</sup>, Jan J. Kuiper<sup>47</sup>, Benjamin Kupilas<sup>48,49</sup>, Simone Langhans<sup>50,51</sup>, Richard Lansdown<sup>52</sup>, Florian Leese<sup>33</sup>, Luc De Meester<sup>1,5,53</sup>, Francis S. Magbanua<sup>54</sup>, Shin-ichiro S. Matsuzaki<sup>55</sup>, Michael T. Monaghan<sup>1,5</sup>, Levan Mumladze<sup>56</sup>, Javier Muzon<sup>57</sup>, Pierre A. Mvogo Ndongo<sup>58</sup>, Jens C. Nejtgaard<sup>1</sup>, Oxana Nikitina<sup>59</sup>, Clifford Ochs<sup>60</sup>, Oghenekaro Nelson Odume<sup>61</sup>, Jeff J. Opperman<sup>62</sup>, Harmony Patricio<sup>63</sup>, Steffen U. Pauls<sup>13,64</sup>, Rajeev Raghavan<sup>65</sup>, Alonso Ramirez<sup>66</sup>, Bindiya Rashni<sup>67</sup>, Vere Ross-Gillespie<sup>68</sup>, Michael J. Samways<sup>69</sup>, Ralf Schafer<sup>34</sup>, Astrid Schmidt-Kloiber<sup>70</sup>, Ole Seehausen<sup>71</sup>, Deep Narayan Shah<sup>72</sup>, Subodh Sharma<sup>73</sup>, Janne Soininen<sup>74</sup>, Nike Sommerwerk<sup>75</sup>, Jason D. Stockwell<sup>76</sup>, Frank Suhling<sup>77</sup>, Ram Devi Tachamo Shah<sup>78</sup>, Rebecca E. Tharme<sup>79,80</sup>, James H. Thorp<sup>81</sup>, David Tickner<sup>82</sup>, Klement Tockner<sup>13,83</sup>, Jonathan D. Tonkin<sup>84</sup>, Mireia Valle<sup>50,85</sup>, Jean Vitule<sup>86</sup>, Martin Volk<sup>87</sup>, Ding Wang<sup>11</sup>, Christian Wolter<sup>1</sup>, Susanne Worischka<sup>34</sup>.

\*Corresponding authors

++ Contributed equally to this manuscript

### Affiliations:

1. Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany
2. The Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University, Philadelphia, United States
3. Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany
4. University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania
5. Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany
6. Universidad Nacional de San Martín, San Martín, Argentina
7. University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada
8. Instituto de Investigación de Recursos Biológicos Alexander von Humboldt, Bogotá, Colombia
9. Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
10. University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
11. Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China
12. Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway
13. Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum, Frankfurt, Germany
14. Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Cuernavaca, Mexico
15. Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada
16. INRAE, Villeurbanne, France
17. IMDEA Water Institute, Madrid, Spain
18. Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
19. Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hà Nội, Vietnam
20. The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
21. Balaton Limnological Institute, Tihany, Hungary
22. Ateneo de Manila University, Quezon City, Philippines
23. Museum für Naturkunde - Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Berlin, Germany
24. Helmholtz Zentrum Geesthacht Centre for Materials and Coastal Research, Geesthacht, Germany
25. Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany
26. Berlin Institute of Technology, Berlin, Germany
27. Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
28. Zoological Society of London, London, United Kingdom
29. University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana
30. University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
31. International Commission for Congo-Ubangui-Sangha basin, Kinshasa, D.R. Congo
32. University of Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica
33. University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

34. University of Koblenz-Landau, Landau in der Pfalz, Germany
35. Institute for Groundwater Ecology IGO GmbH, Landau, Germany
36. Utah State University, Logan, United States
37. Finnish Environment Institute, Oulu, Finland
38. Centre de Ciencia i Tecnologia Forestal de Catalunya, Solsona, Spain
39. University of Nevada, Reno, United States
40. Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing, China
41. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
42. Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
43. Busitema University, Tororo, Uganda
44. Tanzania Fisheries Research Institute, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
45. EARTH University, San Jose, Costa Rica
46. The University of Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
47. Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
48. Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway
49. University of Munster, Munster, Germany
50. Basque Centre for Climate Change, Leioa, Spain
51. University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
52. IUCN Species Survival Commission, United Kingdom
53. Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
54. University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines
55. National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan
56. Ilia State University, Tbilis, Georgia
57. Universidad Nacional de Avellaneda, Avellaneda, Argentina
58. Universite de Douala, Douala, Cameroon
59. World Wide Fund (WWF-Russia), Moscow, Russia
60. University of Mississippi, University, United States
61. Rhodes University, Makhanda, South Africa
62. World Wide Fund (WWF), Washington DC, United States
63. Global Wildlife Conservation, Austin, United States
64. Justus-Liebig-University, Giessen, Germany
65. Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies, Kochi, India
66. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, United States
67. University of the South Pacific, Suva, Fiji
68. NatureMetrics, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa
69. Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa
70. University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria
71. University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
72. Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Nepal
73. Kathmandu University, Kathmandu, Nepal
74. University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
75. Museum fur Naturkunde - Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Berlin, Germany
76. University of Vermont, Burlington, United States
77. Technische Universitat Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany
78. Kathmandu University, Dhulikhel, Nepal
79. Riverfutures, Buxton, United Kingdom
80. Universite de Tours, Tours, France
81. University of Kansas, Laurence, United States
82. World Wide Fund (WWF-UK), Woking, United Kingdom
83. Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
84. University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

85. National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, Santa Barbara, United States  
86. Federal University of Parana, Curitiba, Brazil  
87. Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Leipzig, Germany

**Emails:**

Alain Maasri (alainmaasri@gmail.com); Sonja Jaehnig (sonja.jaehnig@igb-berlin.de); Mihai Adamescu (mihaicristian.adamescu@g.unibuc.ro); Rita Adrian (adrian@igb-berlin.de); Claudio Baigun (cbaigun@gmail.com); Donald Baird (djbaird@unb.ca); Angelica Batista; Morales (abatista@humboldt.org.co); Nuria Bonada (bonada@ub.edu); Lee Brown (l.brown@leeds.ac.uk); Qinghua Cai (qhcai@ihb.ac.cn); Joao Campos-Silva (jvpiedade@gmail.com); Viola Clausnitzer (viola.clausnitzer@senckenberg.de); Topiltzin Contreras-MacBeath (topis@uaem.mx); Steven Cooke (steven\_cooke@carleton.ca); Thibault Datry (thibault.datry@inrae.fr); Gonzalo Delacamara (gonzalo.delacamara@imdea.org); Klaas-Douwe Dijkstra (african.dragonflies@gmail.com); Van Tu Do (dovantu.iebr@gmail.com); Sami Domisch (domisch@igb-berlin.de); David Dudgeon (ddudgeon@hku.hk); Tibor Eros (eros.tibor@ecolres.hu); Hendrik Freitag (hfreitag@ateneo.edu); Joerg Freyhof (joerg.freyhof@mfh-berlin.de); Jana Friedrich (Jana.Friedrich@hzg.de); Martin Friedrichs-Manthey (martinfriedrichsmanthey@posteo.de); Juergen Geist (geist@wzw.tum.de); Mark Gessner (gessner@igb-berlin.de); Peter Goethals (peter.goethals@ugent.be); Matthew Gollock (matthew.gollock@zsl.org); Christopher Gordon (cgordon@ug.edu.gh); Hans-Peter Grossart (hgrossart@igb-berlin.de); Georges Gulemvuga (georges.gul@yahoo.fr); Pablo Gutierrez-Fonseca (pablo.gutierrezfonseca@gmail.com); Peter Haase (peter.haase@senckenberg.de); Daniel Hering (Daniel.Hering@uni-due.de); Hans Juergen Hahn (hjhahn@unilanda.u.de); Charles Hawkins (chuck.hawkins@usu.edu); Fengzhi He (fengzhi.he@igb-berlin.de); Jani Heino (jani.heino@syke.fi); Virgilio Hermoso (virgilio.hermoso@gmail.com); Zeb Hogan (zhogan@unr.edu); Franz Hoelker (hoelker@igb-berlin.de); Jonathan Jeschke (jonathan.jeschke@gmx.net); Meilan Jiang (jiangml@cqupt.edu.cn); Richard Johnson (richard.johnson@slu.se); Gregor Kalinkat (kalinkat@igb-berlin.de); Bakhtiyor Karimov (b.karimov@gmx.de); Aventino Kasangaki (aventinok@gmail.com); Ismael Kimirei (ismaelkimirei@tafiri.go.tz); Bert Kohlmann (bkohlman@earth.ac.cr); Mathias Kuemmerlen (m.kuemmerlen@tcd.ie); Jan Kuiper (jan.kuiper@su.se); Benjamin Kupilas (benjamin.kupilas@niva.no); Simone Langhans (simone.langhans@gmail.com); Richard Lansdown (rvlansdown@gmail.com); Florian Leese (florian.leese@uni-due.de); Luc De Meester (Luc.DeMeester@igb-berlin.de); Francis Magbanua (fsmagbanua@up.edu.ph); Shin-ichiro Matsuzaki (matsuzakiss@nies.go.jp); Michael Monaghan (monaghan@igb-berlin.de); Levan Mumladze (lmumladze@gmail.com); Javier Muzon (jmuizon@gmail.com); Pierre Mvogo Ndongo (mpierrearmand@yahoo.fr); Jens Nejstgaard (nejstgaard@igb-berlin.de); Oxana Nikitina (onikitina@wwf.ru); Clifford Ochs (byochs@olemiss.edu); Oghenekaro Nelson Odume (n.odume@ru.ac.za); Jeffrey Opperman (jeff.opperman@wwf.org); Harmony Patricio (hpatricio@globalwildlife.org); Steffen Pauls (steffen.pauls@senckenberg.de); Rajeev Raghavan (rajeev@kufos.ac.in); Alonso Ramirez (alonso.ramirez@ncsu.edu); Bindiya Rashni (diyarash@gmail.com); Vere Ross-Gillespie (vere@naturemetrics.co.uk); Michael Samways (samways@sun.ac.za); Ralf Schaefer (schaefer-ralf@unilanda.u.de); Astrid Schmidt-Kloiber (ask@boku.ac.at); Ole Seehausen (ole.seehausen@iee.unibe.ch); Deep Narayan Shah (dnshah@cdes.edu.np); Subodh Sharma (subodh.sharma@ku.edu.np); Janne Soininen (janne.soininen@helsinki.fi); Nike Sommerwerk (Nike.Sommerwerk@mfh-berlin.de); Jason Stockwell (jason.stockwell@uvm.edu); Frank Suhling (f.suhling@tu-braunschweig.de); Ram Devi Tachamo Shah (ramdevi.shah@ku.edu.np); Rebecca Tharme (rebeccatharme@riverfutures.com); James Thorp (thorp@ku.edu); David Tickner (dtickner@wwf.org.uk); Klement Tockner (klement.tockner@senckenberg.de); Jonathan Tonkin (jonathan.tonkin@canterbury.ac.nz); Mireia Valle (mireia.valle@bc3research.org); Jean Vitule (biovitule@gmail.com); Martin Volk (martin.volk@ufz.de); Ding Wang (wangd@ihb.ac.cn); Christian Wolter (wolter@igb-berlin.de); Susanne Worischka (sworischka@unikoblenz.de)

**Type of article:** Viewpoint

**Number of words in the abstract:** 81

**Number of words in the article:** 1313

**Number of references:** 21

**Number of figures:** 1

**Data accessibility statement:** The article provides no data

**Authorship Statement:** AM led the writing and development of the manuscript with significant contributions from H-PG, JMJ, MTM, MOG, SD, and SCJ. SCJ led the consultation that resulted in this agenda with significant contributions from JMJ, MTM, SD, RS, FL, and DH. All authors contributed to writing and editing the manuscript.

**Correspondence should be sent to:**

Dr. Alain Maasri

Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries Department of Ecosystem Research Justus-von-Liebig-Str. 7 12489 Berlin Email: [alainmaasri@gmail.com](mailto:alainmaasri@gmail.com) Phone: +49 (0) 176 84207294

AND

Dr. Sonja Jahngig

Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries Department of Ecosystem Research Justus-von-Liebig-Str. 7 12489 Berlin Email: [sonja.jaehngig@igb-berlin.de](mailto:sonja.jaehngig@igb-berlin.de) Phone: +49 (0) 30 6392 4085

**Abstract:** Freshwater biodiversity is declining dramatically, and the current biodiversity crisis requires defining bold goals and mobilizing substantial resources to meet the challenges. While the reasons are varied, both research and conservation of freshwater biodiversity lag far behind efforts in the terrestrial and marine realms. We identify fifteen pressing global needs to support informed global freshwater biodiversity stewardship. The proposed agenda aims to advance freshwater biodiversity research globally as a critical step in improving coordinated action towards its sustainable management and conservation.

**Keywords:** Freshwater biodiversity, Global biodiversity crisis, Research priority, Data infrastructure, Monitoring, Ecology, Management, Socio-ecology

**Viewpoint:**

Freshwater biodiversity encompasses genes, populations, species, communities, and ecosystems, and provides essential ecosystem services that are fundamental for human livelihoods and well-being. Freshwater biodiversity, however, is disproportionately threatened at unprecedented rates. The most recent Living Planet Report documents on average 84% decline of abundances of 3,741 monitored populations – representing 944 vertebrate species – in freshwater habitats since 1970, the steepest decline of any of the major realms: land, oceans, and fresh waters (WWF 2020). Yet, research on and conservation of freshwater biodiversity have been insufficiently prioritized. Freshwater biodiversity remains underappreciated relative to marine and terrestrial biodiversity (Dudgeon *et al.* 2006; Reid *et al.* 2019; van Rees *et al.* 2020). International and intergovernmental science-policy platforms and funding agencies continue to fall short of giving freshwater biodiversity its rightful place in global biodiversity, climate, and socio-economic forums (Darwall *et al.* 2018; Tickner *et al.* 2020; Heino *et al.* 2021), often including freshwater biodiversity in the terrestrial realm or simply overlooking it.

We propose an agenda to advance freshwater biodiversity research as a critical step in supporting and improving coordinated action towards its sustainable management and conservation. Our agenda informs funding provision, provides guidance to civil society and governmental agencies, and spurs scientists and policymakers to engage with each other to support informed global freshwater biodiversity stewardship. Our agenda does not constitute an exhaustive assessment of all priority needs, nor does it aim to rank them. Instead we identify fifteen pressing global needs, grouped into five major research areas to support conservation and management actions (Fig. 1). The global needs reflect the collective opinion of the

coauthors based on responses to a consultation conducted in 2020 and described in the supplementary document.

**Data infrastructure** – Establish and empower information hubs for the acquisition, mobilization, integration, and provision of data across all areas of freshwater biodiversity research. Concrete action steps include:

- Establish a comprehensive compilation of data sources on freshwater biodiversity that documents their interrelationships. This step is essential to select a tractable number of efficient outlets and prioritize the use of existing platforms where metadata are available, such that robust and verifiable protocols for data processing, handling, and validation can be implemented.
- Mobilize and make available existing data for the wider research community by digitizing data from regional and national monitoring agencies, museum collections, nature conservation associations, and research institutions, among others. Special attention must be given to non-English-language sources, which tend to be neglected in global meta-analyses.
- Develop accessible databases according to the FAIR principles of Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability (Wilkinson *et al.* 2016), in addition to the Nagoya Protocol on access to genetic resources (Buck & Hamilton 2011).

**Monitoring** – Strategic programs that efficiently and comprehensively document the status and trends of development of freshwater biodiversity are key to research, management, and conservation. Necessary steps include:

- Coordinate existing freshwater biodiversity monitoring programs to increase the efficiency of ongoing monitoring activities, develop probabilistic survey designs to infer the global status of freshwater biodiversity (Hawkins & Yuan 2016), and enhance integration across locations (e.g., LTER, GLEON sites).
- Enhance the taxonomy and ecological knowledge of freshwater organisms to increase coverage of organismal groups and geographical areas. Special attention to fungi, protists, and other neglected taxa often described as “hidden biodiversity” (Mlot 2004) is required.
- Develop and improve methodologies to overcome the taxonomic limitations and inefficiencies of monitoring programs. Such methodologies include (i) omics, which use DNA, RNA, proteins, and the full suite of metabolites; (ii) optics, ranging from automated image analysis or artificial intelligence supported video, to remote-sensing technologies such as drones and satellites; and (iii) biodiversity informatics, citizen science, and other emerging approaches to gather and processing information. Additionally, new developments need to capture dimensions of freshwater biodiversity beyond taxa diversity, notably interspecific genetic diversity, species interactions that modulate biogeographic patterns of species in freshwater communities, ecosystem functioning and ecosystem services, and habitat diversity.

**Ecology** – Ecological context is key to conservation and management, as are the interactions among organisms and the environment that determine the responses to global change. We advocated developing research to identify major local, regional, and global drivers affecting patterns of change in freshwater biodiversity. Required steps includes:

- Further identify relationships among biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, and nature’s contributions to people. This requires developing a mechanistic understanding of these relationships, integrating the multidimensionality of the role of biodiversity in ecosystem processes, and improving process-based models for freshwater biodiversity and their contribution to human well-being.
- Establish cause-and-effect relationships to understand and predict the responses of biodiversity to multiple stressors and the release from such stressors. Field and system-wide experimentation will be necessary to achieve this step, coupled with modeling to develop current and future scenarios and identifying general principals.
- Explore the acclimation, evolutionary, and evasion potentials of organisms, and the associated ecosys-



tem responses to global change. Targeted field surveys, combined with coordinated multi-site experiments through global research networks, will be required. Such an effort should include large-scale enclosures, exclosures, and experimental lakes, streams, wetlands, and entire catchments. However, new and creative funding mechanisms will be crucial to establish and maintain long-term and large-scale experimental platforms to advance this research (e.g., AQUACOSM).

**Management** – Enhance science-based strategies and methods for sustainable freshwater biodiversity management. Necessary steps include:

- Improve outcome assessment of restoration measures using large-scale replication of before-after-control-impact (BACI) designs, including long-term post-monitoring phases. Meta-analyses of results from post-monitoring phases will be essential to explain restoration success and failures and to provide the foundations for a fundamental rethinking of restoration programs to recover freshwater biodiversity.
- Develop models and projections in line with the scenarios for Nature Futures (IPBES 2016; Rosa *et al.* 2017) and promote research that expands and evaluates nature-based solutions (e.g., constructed wetlands and riparian buffer strips) for management strategies.
- Develop and test landscape- and catchment-based restoration programs including lakes, ponds and wetlands, and develop and test environmentally and ecologically compatible dam schemes to minimize ecological impacts. Given the current global surge in hydropower dam construction and planning (Zarfl *et al.* 2019), biodiversity research on the impacts of such dams must improve to support regulatory instruments, preserve the longitudinal connectivity and migratory corridors, and accompany the sustainable management of freshwater biodiversity. More broadly, strategies are needed to enhance blue infrastructures and the associated ecosystem services provided by both large and small, lentic and lotic freshwater ecosystems.

**Socio-ecology** – Considering freshwater biodiversity in its socio-economic context and societal responses to biodiversity change and conservation are essential to design conservation implementation strategies. Proposed steps include:

- Develop solutions for conflicts between biodiversity conservation and the human use of freshwater ecosystems and their catchments. Socio-ecological approaches that integrate cultural and societal practices in knowledge co-production are needed (Norstrom *et al.* 2020). The shifting baseline syndrome (Humphries & Winemiller 2009; Soga & Gaston 2018) needs to be acknowledged when examining how humans value freshwater biodiversity at present while ensuring its preservation and restoration for the future.
- Address trade-offs among ecological, economic, and societal targets by concurrently engaging local communities, scientists and policymakers to develop adaptive management strategies and measures to protect freshwater biodiversity. This includes embracing traditional and indigenous ecological knowledge (Heino *et al.* 2020).
- Systematically develop citizen science (McKinley *et al.* 2017; Fritz *et al.* 2019) and participatory research to harness the societal competencies and workforce that extend beyond academia and government. Developing and sharing methods and designing new experimental approaches that can be scaled at low cost are critical aspects for consideration. Additionally, we should place greater emphasis on engaging dedicated citizen experts (Eitzel *et al.* 2017), a tremendously valuable yet often overlooked resource to advance freshwater biodiversity research.

In conclusion, we propose an ambitious agenda to initiate and further support the strategic development of freshwater biodiversity research to document patterns, processes and changes, and to improve management and conservation. Our agenda provides a framework for the pressing needs to counter the unprecedented global challenges faced by freshwater biodiversity. The proposed steps underscore major research priorities to cultivate informed global action to protect and sustainably manage freshwater biodiversity. Research needs and priorities vary regionally, and the development of regional agendas and priorities are an essential next step. Clearly, the current freshwater biodiversity crisis requires defining bold goals and mobilizing substantial resources to meet the challenges. We call upon scientists, policymakers, and stakeholders to

provide the necessary support for a powerful agenda to protect our fresh waters, which provide the key resources for the sustainable development and functioning of our societies.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This manuscript is a contribution of the Alliance for Freshwater Life ([www.allianceforfreshwaterlife.org](http://www.allianceforfreshwaterlife.org)). The authors would like to thank Nick Bond, Lisa Bossenbroek, Lekima Copeland, Ian Harrison, Dean Jacobsen, Maria Cecilia Londono, David Lopez, Jaime Ricardo Garcia Marquez, Ketlhatlogile Mosepele, Marlene Paetzig, Nunia Thomas-Moko, Qiwei Wei, and the authors of the Living Waters: A Research Agenda for the Biodiversity of Inland and Coastal Waters for their contribution.

## REFERENCES

1.

Buck, M. & Hamilton, C. (2011). The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* , 20, 47-61.

2.

Darwall, W., Bremerich, V., De Wever, A., Dell, A.I., Freyhof, J., Gessner, M.O. *et al.* (2018). The Alliance for Freshwater Life: A global call to unite efforts for freshwater biodiversity science and conservation. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* , 28, 1015-1022.

3.

Dudgeon, D., Arthington, A.H., Gessner, M.O., Kawabata, Z.-I., Knowler, D.J., Leveque, C. *et al.* (2006). Freshwater biodiversity: Importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biological Reviews* , 81, 163-182.

4.

Eitzel, M.V., Cappadonna, J.L., Santos-Lang, C., Duerr, R.E., Virapongse, A., West, S.E. *et al.* (2017). Citizen science terminology matters: exploring key terms. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice* , 2, 1-20.

5.

Fritz, S., See, L., Carlson, T., Haklay, M., Oliver, J.L., Fraisl, D. *et al.* (2019). Citizen science and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Sustainability* , 2, 922-930.

6.

Hawkins, C.P. & Yuan, L.L. (2016). Multitaxon distribution models reveal severe alteration in the regional biodiversity of freshwater invertebrates. *Freshw. Sci.* , 35, 1365-1376.

7.

Heino, J., Alahuhta, J., Bini, L.M., Cai, Y., Heiskanen, A.S., Hellsten, S. *et al.* (2021). Lakes in the era of global change: moving beyond single-lake thinking in maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Biological Reviews* , 96, 89-106.

8.

Heino, J., Culp, J.M., Erkinaro, J., Goedkoop, W., Lento, J., Ruhland, K.M. *et al.* (2020). Abruptly and irreversibly changing Arctic freshwaters urgently require standardized monitoring. *Journal of Applied Ecology* , 57, 1192-1198.

9.

Humphries, P. & Winemiller, K.O. (2009). Historical impacts on river fauna, shifting baselines, and challenges for restoration. *BioScience* , 59, 673-684.

10.

IPBES (2016). The methodological assessment report on scenarios and models of biodiversity and ecosystem services. (eds. Ferrier, S, Ninan, KN, Leadley, P, Alkemade, R, Acosta, LA, Akcakaya, HR *et al.* ), p. 348.

11.

McKinley, D.C., Miller-Rushing, A., Ballard, H.L., Bonney, R., Brown, H., Cook-patton, S.C. *et al.* (2017). Citizen science can improve conservation science, natural resource management, and environmental protection. *Biological Conservation* , 208, 15-28.

12.

Mlot, C. (2004). Microbial diversity unbound: What DNA-based techniques are revealing about the planet's hidden biodiversity. *BioScience* , 54, 1064-1068.

13.

Norstrom, A.V., Cvitanovic, C., Lof, M.F., West, S., Wyborn, C., Balvanera, P. *et al.* (2020). Principles for knowledge co-production in sustainability research. *Nature Sustainability* , 3, 182-190.

14.

Reid, A.J., Carlson, A.K., Greed, I.F., Eliason, E.J., Gell, P.A., Johnson, P.T. *et al.* (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biological Reviews* , 94, 849-873.

15.

Rosa, I.M.D., Pereira, H.M., Ferrier, S., Alkemade, R., Acosta, L.A., Akcakaya, H.R. *et al.* (2017). Multiscale scenarios for nature futures. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* , 1, 1416-1419.

16.

Soga, M. & Gaston, K.J. (2018). Shifting baseline syndrome: causes, consequences, and implications. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* , 16, 222-230.

17.

Tickner, D., Opperman, J.J., Abell, R., Acreman, M., Arthington, A.H., Bunn, S.E. *et al.* (2020). Bending the curve of global freshwater biodiversity loss: an emergency recovery plan. *BioScience* , 70, 330-342.

18.

van Rees, C.B., Waylen, K.A., Schmidt-Kloiber, A., Thackeray, S.J., Kalinkat, G., Martens, K. *et al.* (2020). Safeguarding freshwater life beyond 2020: Recommendations for the new global biodiversity framework from the European experience. *Conservation Letters* , 4, e12771.

19.

Wilkinson, M.D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I.J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A. *et al.* (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data* , 3, 160018.

20.

WWF (2020). Living Planet Report 2020. Bending the curve of biodiversity loss: a deep dive into freshwater. (eds. Almond, REA, Grooten, M & Petersen, T) Gland, Switzerland.

21.

Zarfl, C., Berlekamp, J., He, F., Jahnig, S.C., Darwall, W. & Tockner, K. (2019). Future large hydropower dams impact global freshwater megafauna. *Scientific Reports* , 9, 18531.

# A Global Agenda for Advancing Freshwater Biodiversity Research

