

A Qualitative Study on Stigmatisation Associated with COVID-19: An Iranian Experience

Ahmad Kalateh Sadati¹, Mohammad Mahdi Parvizi², Sedigheh Forouhari², Seyed Ali Hosseini², Mohammad Hossein Bahmanzadegan Jahromi², and Mohammad Jafferany³

¹Yazd University

²Shiraz University of Medical Sciences

³Central Michigan University

April 05, 2024

Abstract

Background: The social stigma associated with a disease represents an important issue for health policymakers at the local, national, and international levels. This study aimed to survey and analyze the experience of stigma among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Iran. **Methods and Materials:** This qualitative study was conducted from September to December 2020 in the Fars, Khorasan, and Yazd provinces of Iran. Sampling was done via the snowball method; based on data saturation criteria, 24 adults over 18 years of age who had experienced affliction with COVID-19 were recruited. Semi-structured telephone interviews were conducted with each patient. Data were analyzed following the conventional content analysis method described by Erlingsson and Brysiewicz. **Results:** The results showed that during their affliction with COVID-19, the participants experienced difficult, anxious times, with rejection and alienation from their first-degree relatives taking them by surprise. The three main themes extracted from this study were (i) fear and rejection, (ii) discrimination, and (iii) loneliness. These experiences have changed the attitudes of the participants toward life and themselves. **Conclusion:** Based on our results, it can be said that paying attention to social stigma among patients during pandemics is an important social necessity and health policy. It is recommended to have psychological counseling sessions for those afflicted and to provide education and training to the public regarding the proper treatment of patients with COVID-19. Quantitative studies in this field are highly recommended.

Introduction

A new strain of coronavirus emerged toward the close of 2019 in Wuhan, China, giving rise to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and spreading a wave of concern across China (1). This health issue soon became a pandemic, placing an immense burden on populations all around the world (2). According to data from the World Health Organization (WHO), Iran is one of the countries that has been highly affected by COVID-19 (3). Kalantary H. *et al.* showed that in Iran, up to one-third of patient deaths due to COVID-19 occurred in individuals aged over 70 years, while the highest recovery rate was seen in those aged between 30-39 years (4).

One of the key issues raised from the start of a pandemic is social stigma (5). The notion of stigma is defined as “a social process that results in devaluation and discrediting” (6). According to Echoing Goffman, stigma creates a dichotomy between the states of “being normal and acceptable” and the state of “being tainted and undesirable” or a dichotomy between “normal” and “undesirable” states in general. It is usually associated with an attribute that is deeply discrediting, reducing an individual “from a whole and usual person” to a

“tainted, discounted one” (6, 7). In the process of stigmatization, people involved in the phenomena of the social world are pushed to the margins of society (8). Stigma may not only affect the mental health (9) and behavior of those who are stigmatized but also can change and reconstruct their feelings and beliefs (10, 11). Furthermore, stigma can cause depression in the short or long term due to the social disrespect that accompanies it (12).

Since the commencement of the COVID-19 crisis, infected individuals have at times been labeled, stereotyped, and discriminated against. Such stigma can represent a critical issue, threatening both the personal and social life of healthcare workers, patients, and survivors (5). Ramaci T. *et al.* showed that the social stigma associated with COVID-19 had a high impact on healthcare workers’ psychological and physical demands, professional quality of life, and self-esteem in Italy (13). Moreover, several reports have claimed that some people refuse to bury the corpses of COVID-19 victims in Egypt (14). Gradually, the issue of COVID-19 associated stigma went beyond personal and social aspects and turned into an international stigma against races and countries. For example, anti-Asian stigma was generated by certain groups and even politicians considering the supposed geographical origin of the disease (15). Stigma and discrimination lead to social exclusion, which affects mental health (16). Stigma does not stop at illness: it marks those who are ill, their families across generations, institutions that provide treatment, psychotropic drugs, and mental health workers (17). The stigma associated with COVID-19 poses a serious threat to the lives of all those involved directly or indirectly with the disease (5). The effects of COVID-19-related stigma on the health and social lives of individuals and societal functioning are enormous (18). The study conducted by Banks L. *et al.* showed that poverty exacerbation due to COVID-19 could represent a key social problem among individuals who reside in low and middle-income countries. The mentioned study revealed that people with disabilities faced several personal, economical, and social challenges in the COVID-19 period, with the researchers concluding that social protection and financial support are necessary for protecting such individuals from social stigma (19). Moreover, the study of Gupta J *et al.* revealed that some protective measures against COVID-19 had negative social effects on low-income individuals (including those residing in poor areas) due to inadequate access to health facilities and lack of financial support. Moreover, the study claimed that some of these social effects may be stronger than the negative health effects of COVID-19 (20). On the other hand, frustration and anxiety were reported as the predominant social theme among the population, particularly among healthcare workers on the front-lines of COVID-19 management (21, 22).

To the best of our knowledge, few studies are available on COVID-19 associated stigma in Iran, especially ones that are qualitative in nature. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess COVID-19 associated stigma among the Iranian population through a qualitative survey.

Methods

Study design, participants and sampling

The present work was a qualitative study that was conducted in January and February 2021. The study area was the Fars, Yazd, and Khorasan provinces. Data were obtained through interviews with 24 individuals who had fully recovered from COVID-19 after treatment. The snowball sampling method was employed, and sampling was continued until achieving data saturation. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the participants in the study (Table 1).

[Table 1 here]

Data collection

The interview protocol was based on the following questions:

- Can you tell us how did you feel about getting COVID-19 and what you did?
- Did people around you and your relatives change their attitude towards you after you tested positive for COVID-19?
- How did people treat you in other environments, such as the workplace or hospital?
- Can you describe your perception and understanding of the behaviors of those around you?

The semi-structured interviews were transcribed after being conducted via telephone by an expert sociologist. The use of telephone interviews in qualitative research has proved useful in recent decades (23, 24). The transcribed data were analyzed via conventional content analysis (25). For this purpose, the data were read several times by the researchers to obtain an overview of what the participants were talking about. Then, condensed meaning units were labeled by formulating codes that were subsequently grouped into categories. The interviews were analyzed with the aim of bringing the categories to the highest level of abstraction, i.e., arriving at specific themes. The reflexive method was used during this process. Researchers went back and forth several times between the data and the generated themes in order to arrive at the most appropriate themes.

Research validity

Given its significance, we attempted to ensure the validity of the work by following the model of Lincoln and Guba (26). To this end, designing a suitable qualitative research model, selecting the right participants, choosing accurate interview questions, and employing appropriate methods for data analysis were the most important concerns of our research group. We used member check (27) during the data analysis process as one way to see if the categories and themes reached were satisfactory. Assessing the transferability of concepts and themes was also one of our priorities. Ultimately, our research group aimed to base the concepts and themes on the actual data rather than relying on individual preconceptions and assumptions.

Study ethics

The protocol of the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Ethics code: IR.SUMS.REC.1399.419). Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Patients were also assured that their personal information would remain confidential with the researchers.

Results

Data analysis revealed that the experience of affliction with COVID-19 was quite difficult for the study participants. This was particularly prominent in the first two waves of the disease in Iran, where the participants were under severe psychological pressures. Fear of transmitting the disease to other family members, fear of illness and death, rejection by family members, and loneliness comprised the most common experiences. The three main themes developed were fear and rejection, discrimination, and loneliness (Table 2).

[Table 2 here]

Fear and rejection

Right from the start, affliction with COVID-19 creates a pervasive fear among patients and more so among those around them. Such fear arises when the test returns positive, and the patients implicitly or explicitly start noticing dramatic changes in the behaviors of those around them as the fear is accompanied by rejection. The most common experience of the study participants was the behavioral changes that they witnessed in those around them after declaring positive test for COVID-19. These changes are shaped by a combination of fear, concern, suspense, and compassion, though mostly being due to fearing affliction with the disease. Usually, fear first arises in those around the person, such as first-degree relatives or colleagues. The behavior that stems from fear is understandable to the patient. On the one hand, the patient gives the right to those around him, and on the other hand, witnessing such extreme fear adds to their concerns. Rejection begins with the initial distancing from the patient. "Testing positive" here means fear, concern, anxiety, rejection, and seclusion. The person understands these meanings from the behaviors and attitudes of those around them and attempts to manage them, where ensuring the well-being of those around them is the most important solution. The person realizes that those around them are reluctant to approach them and may be trying to main their distance. In this situation, everyone is afraid of illness and death, which are seen to

be embodied by the patient. The fear is such that even patients in the COVID-19 ward were afraid of one another. Moreover, four participants drew similarities to stigmatized concepts such as AIDS, leprosy, and *al 11* Mythological demons of childbirth that interfere with human reproduction., meaning that the atmosphere of fear is intense to such an extent that it is as if the patient has contracted such negatively perceived diseases.

See, the disease was so scary that I remember, for instance, when my test result returned positive, everyone around me became anxious even though I didn't have a very bad feeling (participant 6). It seems that you have AIDS or leprosy because a very discomforting feeling touches you and everyone tries to distance themselves from you (participant 5).

The most important psychosocial issue concerning COVID-19 is that this fear and rejection may continue even after disease resolution. A miner still spoke of feeling fear and rejection. He believed that 14 months after the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, laborers in cities such as Mashhad, Tehran, and Tabriz who go on leave to their towns and villages still are avoided by others upon returning.

You know, we're still scared. We are afraid of those who return after going on leave. We assume that they were gone due to testing positive, or that they are returning from another area with the virus (participant 1).

The atmosphere of fear and rejection made some prefer to hide the disease, especially in the first and second waves. The stigmatization of COVID-19 sufferers and victims represented the main reason for such concealment. One of the participants, a 34-year-old single man, described telling a friend to keep it secret after he tested positive.

When I tested positive, I didn't tell anyone. No one in the neighborhood was aware of my disease. I didn't even tell my family members, except for my cousin, whom I told so that if my situation worsened, he would be prepared to take me to the hospital (participant 4).

Discrimination

Another key experience among the participants was discrimination, which they saw either in themselves or in their relatives. Fear and exclusion lead to the construction of an atmosphere of discrimination. Several participants described their experiences with occupational discrimination. At the beginning of the pandemic, when intense fear prevailed throughout society, occupational discrimination became prominent. In the workplace, anyone who coughed or sneezed was labeled as infected or suspicious, leading to their rejection by others. Notably, people who became infected continued to experience a sense of discrimination even after returning to work. The discrimination seems to be involuntary; it may be a social compulsion influenced by the atmosphere of fear, where the pandemic appears to have led to such discrimination against COVID-19 patients.

My father was hospitalized and died in a COVID-19 ward for unknown reasons. You can't imagine the calamity that we went through. There was no one to participate in the funeral, and I was deprived of seeing my father for a final farewell, which is something that will forever hurt me (participant 22). I went to a company for a job interview. The employer asked me to pull my mask down. I said that I am suspicious [for COVID-19]. He asked what I was doing there, and told me to leave immediately and to come back later. In my current workplace, my co-workers told the employer to prevent me from coming to work (participant 17).

Another form of discrimination was familial discrimination, where the atmosphere of fear and rejection would prevent family members from paying appropriate attention to a person with or suspected of COVID-19. The family is not interested in communicating with or caring for the individual, treating him or her in such a way that they come to the conclusion that they must minimize their expectations from the family.

I saw my family behaving in such a way as if I no longer had a place in the house ... they would treat me

differently than other family members. That's why I moved out; I found living apart to be better. I've lived apart from them ever since (participant 8).

Social discrimination also occurs in social interactions between healthy individuals and suspects or sufferers, their families, and families who have lost members due to COVID-19. In these situations, minimal contact is made with these individuals, and even condolences are sparingly given. These people are also kept away from social spaces when others know them. Perhaps the reason for all this social discrimination is that people are afraid that as soon as they enter into a conversation with these people, they may be infected or will be forced to visit the affected person or family.

In our neighborhood, I remember that no one would even reply to the greeting of the first person who became infected; people would pass by him swiftly as if he had all sorts of faults. An old man fell victim to COVID-19. When he died, even the way that people offered their condolences to the family differed from the usual (participant 4). Someone had willed for their body to be taken to the family village after their death. However, the villagers blocked the ambulance from entering the village. Ultimately, the person was buried in a desert nearby the village (participant 23).

Loneliness

One of the prominent themes among those with the experience of affliction with COVID-19 was the intense feeling of loneliness. The first issue associated with loneliness is the loss of social and psychological support from loved ones, which was experienced by over one-third of the participants. In fact, families were in a state of fear and rejection. In these situations, family members usually inadvertently change their behavior toward the sufferers. Furthermore, some participants described that the family members would specifically expose the sufferer to severe social pressure in demanding that they follow the protocols during isolation. Many participants who stayed home in self-quarantine and sent their family members elsewhere experienced extreme loneliness. The absence of the closest loved ones such as the spouse, children, or parents on the one hand and the fear and concern of illness and death on the other created an overwhelming situation. In a house with multiple family members, the patient was typically imprisoned within a room and given food and medicine. Our participants described that the infected or suspected person was constantly warned by those around them. While some had sent their family members to live apart from them, others were made to feel abandoned as their family members themselves had made such a move. It seems that the most difficult experiences were described by those who had to suddenly live alone.

As soon as I got sick, my parents left me alone and went to the village. I became very sad. My sister would visit every day and put the food behind the door; she wouldn't even stop a moment to talk to me (participant 11). My older sister kept calling and saying that if mom and dad got sick, it would be my fault (participant 17).

Another category of loneliness is a sort of biological-physical experience related to the first theme, i.e., fear and rejection. In this situation, the sufferer is dominated by the components of fear, worry, uncertainty, feeling dirty, and feeling like they have a terrible illness, giving rise to an unpleasant feeling of disgust toward the individual's own body. Any physical symptom reinforces this feeling of self-disgust, also strengthening the fear of getting worse and dying.

Due to the way my colleagues would treat me, I would be left feeling dirty. It was a very, very bad feeling (participant 17). It was as if I had leprosy; it was a very unique situation (participant 5). When you test positive, it's like you have al... it's not a good feeling (participant 1).

The final category of the loneliness theme reflects the most important phenomenon that patients experience in the COVID-19 era, which is being distant from friends, family, and society. In addition to the difficulties embedded in the disease process, the absence of first-degree relatives from around the patient creates a psychologically challenging condition. Constantly thinking about loneliness, continuous worrying, fear of disease progression and death, and the absence of a first-degree relative cause a kind of loneliness that is

extremely troubling for the individual. The sufferer feels a deep sense of loneliness – as if it’s the end of the world and there is no one left to come to their aid.

I realized something during this period: it is because of this loneliness that people get sicker and lose their spirit, or even pass away. Living alone is not part of human nature, and this phenomenon itself can hurt people a lot (participant 21). Psychologically, I felt completely torn apart for a while. Everyone avoided me and whoever had contracted the disease after seeing me even a long time beforehand would blame me. They would even call me up to condemn me (participant 20).

Discussion

The present study revealed that stigma in patients with COVID-19 is not an individual or minor problem, but rather comprises a very serious social issue. According to our analysis, three major themes were found: (1) fear and exclusion (2) discrimination, and (3) loneliness. It is understandable that there is confusion, anxiety, and fear about this unknown and rapidly transmitted disease among the public. However, this does not justify the deprivation of COVID-19 patients and even their families from their human and social rights (28, 29).

In the current pandemic, there has been a lot of social stigma and discrimination against individuals perceived to have been in contact with the potentially deadly viral agent (5, 13) responsible for COVID-19. This is not a new issue, as Davtyan et al. found that there were forms of stigma in the Ebola outbreak that were similar to those reported in HIV/AIDS studies (30). Also, Karamouzian and Hategekimana pointed to the fear and stigma of Ebola as a barrier to preventing the disease from spreading (31). A study by Faraq et al. also reflected stigmatization during the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) pandemic in Qatar (32). However, we believe that stigmatization in this pandemic has been much more severe.

In line with our findings, other studies have shown that social exclusion has created barriers between COVID-19 patients and society, influencing the physical and mental health and overall well-being of those who become afflicted (33, 34). The study of Ernel et al. (2020) showed that negative social stigma is mostly due to rejection and fear of acquiring COVID-19. Some researchers have referred to a solid sense of anxiety endured by the population (35-37). Other researchers (38) have theorized the relationship between the disease and the prevalence of anxiety. This is while some have addressed the exceptional state of social lockdown, self-isolation orders, and strict quarantine measures, emphasizing the importance of the potentially neglected mental health outcomes of the pandemic (39). Not only does the situation alter the attitudes of individuals but also it transforms and reassembles their emotions, beliefs, and values (13). In addition, living habits and daily behaviors are affected strongly by the COVID-19 outbreak (40).

Stigma may influence the actions of those who are stigmatized. Many of the participants in the present study described their social experiences with the central concept of discrimination. Discrimination is in fact a state of differentiation and stereotyping at the community level where an individual is made to feel that he or she does not belong to a certain class. In other words, in the process of social discrimination, a symbolic social order assigns an individual to a class that they do not belong to according to the individual’s own criteria and according to those of society in its normal state. The individual experiences verbal indifference, even from relatives and loved ones. Such discrimination also gives rise to a sense of injustice, which has been reflected in many studies in the field of COVID-19 associated stigma. Repetitive reports, across the globe, admit consensually the fact that fear and anxiety about the virus has led to social stigma and discrimination (15). Addressing fear, loneliness, and discrimination toward COVID-19 patients should be a priority for public health professionals (41, 42). Cooperation between all sections of society in dealing with negative social stigma is essential but represents a serious challenge (43).

It seems that stigma and its psychosocial complications have been substantially embedded within the context of COVID-19 disease. Patients have found the atmosphere of being rejected by those around them to be quite disturbing. The absence of others from the scene has added psychological and social strains to their health

problems. Our interviewees described literally or figuratively the unique feeling of being made to realize that you have to distance yourself from others. This unique feeling brings about a sense of rejection that increases the suffering of the patient. Feeling rejected may extend over time, with the individual feeling the stigma even after being assured by their doctor that they are no longer infectious (*continuation of rejection*).

Fear and rejection intervene and intersect with the meaning units of the third theme. A child's conceptual network may be disturbed in its mirror stage, as described in Lacan's psychoanalytic theories under the influence of Freud. Normally, in the epistemological network of the individual, there is an overall conceptual framework that lacks any deep gaps (44, 45). Here, when a person acquires COVID-19 and is faced with rejection from those around them, their conceptual framework is disrupted. The loneliness that comes with rejection is considered as a harm in the patient's cognitive network as they expect the company of loved ones but instead become the subject of stigma and are avoided, similar to that which occurs in the mirror stage in a child's mental system. Phenomenologically, this experience is rather unique, with a reflection of it being available from the personal accounts and reflections of those afflicted during the pandemic (46, 47). The social stigma of disease and stereotyping seen in the current pandemic should trigger radical changes to help patients in terms of their social and psychological wellbeing.

In their 2020 study, Grover et al. demonstrated an urgent need to understand and address the mental health issues of patients with COVID-19 during hospitalization or quarantine. Uncertainty about the illness, limited support from family, and fear of death of oneself or loved ones give rise to severe psychological stress, making mental health assessments and the provision of mental health support crucial (48). Brans et al. (2020) showed that from the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, feelings of fear have encompassed patients. There are concerns that fear and stigma may be more severe during the current pandemic relative to other infectious disease outbreaks.

In line with the literature, the findings of the present study revealed that the stigma caused by COVID-19 at the individual level may lead to delay or refusal of care, non-compliance with containment measures and treatment, and physical and psychological stress. In addition, Ren et al. (2020) showed that stigma is associated with problems in diagnosing and controlling the disease, feelings of rejection and loneliness, and increased mortality and complications, consequently exerting negative effects on public health (49). Persistent discrimination and false beliefs associated with the disease may become major barriers to effective public health interventions such as vaccinations. Many studies have rightly pointed out that for an infectious disease prevention program to be effective, the stigma associated with it must be actively addressed. Therefore, addressing the fears, loneliness, and discrimination felt by those afflicted with COVID-19 should be a priority for public health professionals (41, 42).

Combating COVID-19 associated stigma requires evidence-based cultural strategies. Lessons learned from successful experiences of dealing with past epidemics as well as WHO guidelines for dealing with such social stigma can help inform public health campaigns in fighting this issue. While emphasizing the importance of words, the WHO recommends that people afflicted with the disease should not be addressed as "COVID-19 cases" or "COVID-19 victims". Furthermore, the patients' families should not be addressed using terms like "COVID-19 suspects" or "suspicious cases", and conversations about the patients should not include the use of phrases such as "carriers and transmitters of the disease" or "contaminants of others". These recommendations are because such words implicitly indicate intentional transmission and put blame on the patient, reinforcing attitudes of stigmatization and labeling (50, 51).

This study included a number of limitations, mostly revolving around the telephone-based nature of the interviews. Firstly, telephone interviews may possibly result in shorter conversations with the interviewee compared to face-to-face interviews. Secondly, the physical and spatial conditions of the interviewees may have varied at the time of the interview, possibly affecting the findings. Finally, it seemed to be more difficult to gain a patient's trust in a telephone interview relative to a face-to-face interview. Nonetheless, telephone-based interviews seemed to be more appropriate considering the urgent health situation caused by the current pandemic.

Conclusion

The findings of the present study reveal that fear, rejection, and loneliness are serious issues affecting the lives of those afflicted with COVID-19 in Iran. Familial, social, and occupational discrimination, embarrassment, social isolation, and disruption of social support systems and relationships affect the mental health of COVID-19 patients. These factors may negatively affect recovery from the disease, making it more difficult for health officials to curb the pandemic. We recommend that attention is directed toward the psychological needs of stigmatized patients and their families. Furthermore, efforts should be made to minimize stigmatization and its associated pressures through different cultural and educational programs. Finally, to appreciate the long-term mental health consequences of this devastating pandemic, prospective and/or retrospective multicenter studies with larger sample sizes should be conducted.

Aknowlegement:

This study was supported by the Vice-Chancellor of Research, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran (Grant No: 20183). Moreover, The authors thank every participants who helped in this study.

Conflicts of interest:

Nothing to declare.

Ethics approval

The protocol of the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Ethics code: IR.SUMS.REC.1399.419).

Authors contribution:

conceptualisation: AKS, MMP, SF, MJ; data curation: MMP, AKS, formal analysis: AKS, MMP, MHB, SAH funding acquisition: MMP, investigation: AKS, MMP, MJ, SF MHB, methodology: AKS, MMP, SF, MJ, MHB, project administration: MMP, AKS, resources: AKS, MMP, software, supervision: AKS, MMP, SF, , validation: AKS, MMP, SAH, , visualisation: AKS, MMP, MJ, MHB, original draft: AKS, MMP, MHB, SF, SAH, and writing review & editing: SAH, MJ, MMP.

References

1. Munster VJ, Koopmans M, van Doremalen N, van Riel D, de Wit E. A novel coronavirus emerging in China—key questions for impact assessment. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;382(8):692-4.
2. Organization WH. Responding to community spread of COVID-19: interim guidance, 7 March 2020. World Health Organization, 2020.
3. Meo SA, Al-Khlaiwi T, Usmani AM, Meo AS, Klonoff DC, Hoang TD. Biological and epidemiological trends in the prevalence and mortality due to outbreaks of novel coronavirus COVID-19. *Journal of King Saud University-Science*. 2020;32(4):2495-9.
4. Kalantari H, Tabrizi AHH, Foroohi F. Determination of COVID-19 prevalence with regards to age range of patients referring to the hospitals located in western Tehran, Iran. *Gene reports*. 2020;21:100910.
5. Bagcchi S. Stigma during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2020;20(7):782.
6. Goffman E. Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity: Simon and Schuster; 2009.
7. Dowdy DW, Zwerling AA, Stennett A, Searle A, Dukhanin V, Taylor HA, et al. Measuring stigma to assess the social justice implications of health-related policy decisions: application to novel treatment regimens for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *MDM Policy & Practice*. 2020;5(1):2381468320915239.
8. Frost DM. Social stigma and its consequences for the socially stigmatized. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2011;5(11):824-39.

9. Sadati AK, Lankarani KB. Disparities and discrimination against people with HIV/AIDS by healthcare providers in Iran. *Iranian journal of public health*. 2019;48(10):1935.
10. Major B, O'brien LT. The social psychology of stigma. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2005;56:393-421.
11. Ferree KE, Harris AS, Dulani B, Kao K, Lust E, Metheney E. Stigma, Trust, and procedural integrity: Covid-19 testing in Malawi. *World Development*. 2021;141:105351.
12. Cox WT, Abramson LY, Devine PG, Hollon SD. Stereotypes, prejudice, and depression: The integrated perspective. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2012;7(5):427-49.
13. Ramaci T, Barattucci M, Ledda C, Rapisarda V. Social stigma during COVID-19 and its impact on HCWs outcomes. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(9):3834.
14. Abdelhafiz AS, Alorabi M. Social stigma: the hidden threat of COVID-19. *Frontiers in public health*. 2020;8.
15. Misra S, Le PD, Goldmann E, Yang LH. Psychological impact of anti-Asian stigma due to the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for research, practice, and policy responses. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*. 2020.
16. Thornicroft G, Sunkel C. Announcing the Lancet Commission on stigma and discrimination in mental health. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2020;396(10262):1543-4.
17. Sartorius N. Stigma and mental health. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2007;370(9590):810-1.
18. Peprah P, Gyasi RM. Stigma and COVID-19 crisis: A wake-up call. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*. 2020.
19. Banks LM, Davey C, Shakespeare T, Kuper H. Disability-inclusive responses to COVID-19: Lessons learnt from research on social protection in low-and middle-income countries. *World Development*. 2021;137:105178.
20. Gupta J, Bavinck M, Ros-Tonen M, Asubonteng K, Bosch H, van Ewijk E, et al. COVID-19, poverty and inclusive development. *World Development*. 2021;145:105527.
21. Song M. Psychological stress responses to COVID-19 and adaptive strategies in China. *World development*. 2020;136:105107.
22. Etesam F, Akhlaghi M, Vahabi Z, Akbarpour S, Sadeghian MH. Comparative Study of Occupational Burnout and Job Stress of Frontline and Non-Frontline Healthcare Workers in Hospital Wards during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*. 2021;50(7):1428-35.
23. Farooq MB, De Villiers C. Telephonic qualitative research interviews: When to consider them and how to do them. *Meditari Accountancy Research*. 2017.
24. Trier-Bieniek A. Framing the telephone interview as a participant-centred tool for qualitative research: a methodological discussion. *Qualitative research*. 2012;12(6):630-44.
25. Erlingsson C, Brysiewicz P. A hands-on guide to doing content analysis. *African Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2017;7(3):93-9.
26. Lincoln YS, Guba EG. But is it rigorous? Trustworthiness and authenticity in naturalistic evaluation. *New directions for program evaluation*. 1986;1986(30):73-84.
27. Harvey L. Beyond member-checking: A dialogic approach to the research interview. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*. 2015;38(1):23-38.
28. Chew CC, Lim XJ, Chang CT, Rajan P, Nasir N, Low WY. Experiences of Social Stigma Among Patients Tested Positive for COVID-19 and Their Family Members: A Qualitative Study. 2021.

29. Eisazadeh F, Aliakbari Dehkordi M, Aghajanbigloo S. Psychological consequences of patients with coronavirus (COVID-19): A Qualitative Study. *Biquarterly Iranian Journal of Health Psychology*. 2020;2(2):9-20.
30. Davtyan M, Brown B, Folayan MO. Addressing Ebola-related stigma: lessons learned from HIV/AIDS. *Global health action*. 2014;7(1):26058.
31. Karamouzian M, Hategekimana C. Ebola treatment and prevention are not the only battles: understanding Ebola-related fear and stigma. *International journal of health policy and management*. 2015;4(1):55.
32. Farag E, Marufu O, Sikkema R, Al-Romaihi H, Al Thani M, Al-Marri S, et al. The hidden epidemic: MERS-CoV-related stigma observations from the field, Qatar 2012-2015. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2016;45:332.
33. Ransing R, Ramalho R, de Filippis R, Ojeahere MI, Karaliuniene R, Orsolini L, et al. Infectious disease outbreak related stigma and discrimination during the COVID-19 pandemic: drivers, facilitators, manifestations, and outcomes across the world. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2020.
34. Sun N, Wei L, Wang H, Wang X, Gao M, Hu X, et al. Qualitative study of the psychological experience of COVID-19 patients during hospitalization. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2021;278:15-22.
35. Sood S. Psychological effects of the Coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic. *Research & Humanities in Medical Education*. 2020;7(11):23-6.
36. Ćosić K, Popović S, Šarlija M, Kesedžić I, Jovanovic T. Artificial intelligence in prediction of mental health disorders induced by the covid-19 pandemic among health care workers. *Croatian Medical Journal*. 2020;61(3):279.
37. Dongmei L. Influence of the Youth's Psychological Capital on Social Anxiety during the COVID-19 Pandemic Outbreak: The Mediating Role of Coping Style. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*. 2020;49(11):2060.
38. Ahmed A, Aqeel M, Aslam N. COVID-19 health crisis and prevalence of anxiety among individuals of various age groups: a qualitative study. *The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice*. 2020.
39. Sahu P. Closure of universities due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): impact on education and mental health of students and academic staff. *Cureus*. 2020;12(4).
40. Long N. From social distancing to social containment. *Medicine Anthropology Theory*. 2020;7(2):247-60.
41. Bhanot D, Singh T, Verma SK, Sharad S. Stigma and discrimination during COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2020;8:829.
42. Chen D, Song F, Tang L, Zhang H, Shao J, Qiu R, et al. Quarantine experience of close contacts of COVID-19 patients in China: A qualitative descriptive study. *General hospital psychiatry*. 2020;66:81-8.
43. Ornell F, Schuch JB, Sordi AO, Kessler FHP. "Pandemic fear" and COVID-19: mental health burden and strategies. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2020;42(3):232-5.
44. Gallop J. Lacan's "mirror stage": Where to begin. *SubStance*. 1982;11:118-28.
45. Muller J. Lacan's mirror stage. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry*. 1985;5(2):233-52.
46. Eatough V, Smith JA. Interpretative phenomenological analysis. *The Sage handbook of qualitative research in psychology*. 2008;179:194.
47. Smith JA, Shinebourne P. Interpretative phenomenological analysis: American Psychological Association; 2012.
48. Grover S, Dua D, Sahoo S, Mehra A, Nehra R, Chakrabarti S. Why all COVID-19 hospitals should have mental health professionals: The importance of mental health in a worldwide crisis! *Asian journal of psychiatry*. 2020;51:102147.

49. Ren S-Y, Gao R-D, Chen Y-L. Fear can be more harmful than the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in controlling the corona virus disease 2019 epidemic. *World journal of clinical cases*. 2020;8(4):652.

50. Imran N, Afzal H, Aamer I, Hashmi A, Shabbir B, Asif A, et al. Scarlett Letter: A study based on experience of stigma by COVID-19 patients in quarantine. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2020;36(7):1471.

51. Aungsuroch Y, Juanamasta IG, Gunawan J. Experiences of Patients with Coronavirus in the COVID-19 Pandemic Era in Indonesia. *Asian Journal for Public Opinion Research*. 2020;8(3):377-92.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants in the study of social stigma among patients afflicted with COVID-19.

Participant No.	Gender	City	Age (year)	Occupation	Participant No.	Gender	City
1	Man	Yazd	43	Miner	2	Woman	Shiraz
3	Woman	Yazd	34	Tailor	4	Man	Shiraz
5	Woman	Shiraz	43	Housewife	6	Man	Shiraz
7	Man	Shiraz	43	Sport coach	8	Man	Yazd
9	Woman	Yazd	18	Student	10	Woman	Yazd
11	Woman	Yazd	22	Student	12	Woman	Yazd
13	Man	Yazd	34	Faculty member	14	Man	Yazd
15	Woman	Yazd	17	Housewife	16	Woman	Yazd
17	Woman	Yazd	34	Housewife	18	Woman	Yazd
19	Woman	Yazd	38	Housewife	20	Woman	Yazd
21	Woman	Yazd	23	Housewife	22	Woman	Yazd
23	Man	Khorasan Rzavi	42	Executive manager	24	Man	Khorasan Rz

Table 2. Concepts, categories, and themes developed in patients afflicted with COVID-19.

Theme	Category	Meaning units	Sample statements
Fear and rejection	Fear and distance The unpleasant feeling of rejection The continuation of rejection	Extreme fear Distancing of strangers Distancing of colleagues Distancing of hospital personnel Feeling distant from family members Feeling rejected by colleagues Fear and hope of those around you Rejection of rural laborers Continuation of fear and distancing among colleagues Continuation of fear among friends	In the first wave, people had extreme fear and stress about COVID-19 and assumed that whoever acquired it would die. Everyone distanced themselves from me as soon as my test returned positive. I told a woman on the bus that I might be afflicted, and she immediately pulled herself away from me. I saw my colleagues moving away from me in the dressing room as if I was dirty. I went to a general hospital and as soon as I said that I might be afflicted, the nurses ordered me to leave and visit a COVID-19 hospital. You feel like you have to leave since your family is afraid of you. It's a unique feeling; you realize you have to distance yourself. You feel like they are afraid of you yet they also want to help you. Their view was that rural workers who commute from their homes should not enter the factory. I returned to the hospital after 21 days, but everyone was still moving away from me. Even now that a year has passed, I jokingly or seriously tell any of my colleagues who go on trips across cities that I wish they had not returned immediately to work; we are still really scared. I returned to work after two weeks, but I saw that my colleagues would become scared when they came near to me, and this was not pleasant.

Theme	Category	Meaning units	Sample statements
Discrimination	Occupational Familial Social	Loss of employment; forced leave; fear of getting fired. Neglection of the patient, indifference, and/or discrimination by the family, Verbal indifference, social distance, and physical distance,	I went for a job interview. The employer asked me to pull my mask down. I said that I am suspicious [for COVID-19]. He asked what I was doing there! The employer would immediately send those who were suspicious on leave. The workers were afraid even to cough. Nobody paid attention to me; I took care of myself. The family did not say anything but I felt they were paying more attention to other members. When I got sick, my family left me and went to their village, but they called me and said that I should not leave the room at all. Even my mother would not let me out of the room, and I was coughing very badly and was short of breath. I was constantly in tears because of their behavior. In the factory, people who have passed the disease course are ignored. The expectation is that we should never come back to the workplace. We are ignored and others distance themselves from us. On the bus, I told a lady to keep her distance because I was suspicious. After my affliction, I saw our neighbors pass by us very quickly while ignoring us.

Theme	Category	Meaning units	Sample statements
Loneliness	Loss of psychosocial support Self-disgust Loneliness	Feeling forgotten Distancing of the closest relatives Feeling bad Feeling danger from the disease Feeling dirty Lack of establishing communications Feeling lonely Experiencing the reality of loneliness	I felt that everything about love and affection was lies and I felt severely left out. Not even my brother came to visit me. It's an unpleasant feeling – as if you have HIV or plague. I said: “do I have leprosy?!” Among the workers, if someone tested positive it was like they had all11Mythological demons of childbirth that interfere with reproduction.. I felt dirty; it gave me a very bad feeling You see that you cannot have a relationship with anyone We were quarantined and felt introverted and lonely My sister called and told me not to leave my room It was very difficult to be alone and away from my wife and children. During the quarantine, you are completely lonely and all you have is your thoughts and imagination.