A tale of two reef sharks: evolutionary history of *Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos* and similarities with *Carcharhinus melanopterus* as revealed by population genomics data

Pierre Lesturgie¹, Serge Planes², and Stefano Mona³

¹Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle ²Université de Perpignan ³EPHE PSL

April 05, 2024

Abstract

We investigated the evolutionary history of the grey reef shark (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*) in the Indo-Pacific (IP) by harnessing the power of thousands of RAD-seq loci sequenced in 175 individuals across its range. We bring strong evidences of the occurrence of a range expansion (RE) originating close to the Indo-Australian Archipelago (IAA) from which two stepping-stone waves (east and westward) started, colonizing almost the entire IP. Consequently, the demographic history of *C. amblyrhynchos* is best explained by a meta-population model, for which we estimated a homogenous connectivity throughout its range ($Nm^{-1}0$ per generation) by means of coalescent modeling coupled with an Approximate Bayesian Computation framework. An isolation by distance model further highlights the absence of either genetic barriers or preferential migration corridors, despite the dependency of *C. amblyrhynchos* from coral reefs occurrence. This is consistent with the long-distance swims observed, suggesting that the strong genetic structure at the IP scale ($F_{ST}^{-0.56}$ between its ends) is rather the consequence of its large current distribution. We contrasted these results with those previously obtained for the sympatric but strictly lagoon-associated *Carcharhinus melanopterus*, a species well known for its restricted dispersal ability. While *C. melanopterus* exhibits a similar RE dynamic, it is characterized by stronger genetic structure and a non-homogeneous connectivity largely dependent on local coral reefs availability. The comparison between the two species sheds new light on shark evolution, emphasizing the role of IAA as source of biodiversity and of dispersal ability in shaping the extent of population structure and genetic diversity.

1	A tale of two reef sharks: evolutionary history of <i>Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos</i>
2	and similarities with Carcharhinus melanopterus as revealed by population
3	genomics data
4	
5	
6	Running title: Population genetics of the grey reef shark.
7	
8	
9	Pierre Lesturgie ¹ , Serge Planes ^{2,3} , Stefano Mona ^{1,2,4,*}
10	
11	¹ Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité, ISYEB (UMR 7205), Muséum National
11	institut de Systematique, Evolution, Biodiversite, 15 i EB (Olvirt 7205), Wuseum Matomai
12	d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, Paris, France.
13	² Laboratoire d'Excellence CORAIL, Papetoai, French Polynesia.
14	³ PSL Research University : EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, USR 3278 CRIOBE, Université de Perpignan,
15	52 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860, Perpignan, Cedex, France
16	⁴ EPHE, PSL Research University, Paris, France.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	* Corresponding author. E-mail : <u>stefano.mona@mnhn.fr</u>

23 Abstract

We investigated the evolutionary history of the grey reef shark (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*) in 24 25 the Indo-Pacific (IP) by harnessing the power of thousands of RAD-seq loci sequenced in 175 26 individuals across its range. We bring strong evidences of the occurrence of a range expansion 27 (RE) originating close to the Indo-Australian Archipelago (IAA) from which two stepping-stone 28 waves (east and westward) started, colonizing almost the entire IP. Consequently, the demographic 29 history of *C. amblvrhvnchos* is best explained by a meta-population model, for which we estimated 30 a homogenous connectivity throughout its range ($Nm \sim 10$ per generation) by means of coalescent 31 modeling coupled with an Approximate Bayesian Computation framework. An isolation by 32 distance model further highlights the absence of either genetic barriers or preferential migration 33 corridors, despite the dependency of C. amblyrhynchos from coral reefs occurrence. This is consistent with the long-distance swims observed, suggesting that the strong genetic structure at 34 35 the IP scale (F_{ST} ~0.56 between its ends) is rather the consequence of its large current distribution. 36 We contrasted these results with those previously obtained for the sympatric but strictly lagoon-37 associated Carcharhinus melanopterus, a species well known for its restricted dispersal ability. 38 While C. melanopterus exhibits a similar RE dynamic, it is characterized by stronger genetic 39 structure and a non-homogeneous connectivity largely dependent on local coral reefs availability. 40 The comparison between the two species sheds new light on shark evolution, emphasizing the role 41 of IAA as source of biodiversity and of dispersal ability in shaping the extent of population 42 structure and genetic diversity.

43 Keywords: Meta-population, Rad-seq, demographic history, range expansion, *Carcharhinus*44 *amblyrhynchos*, *Carcharhinus melanopterus*.

45 Introduction

46 More than 25% of shark species are currently threatened with extinction and less than 30% are on 47 stable or increasing population trend according to the International Union for Conservation of 48 Nature (IUCN) Red List of threatened species. As meso or apex predators, they hold important 49 roles in their ecosystems (Bornatowski, Navia, Braga, Abilhoa, & Corrêa, 2014) and their decline 50 has already shown negative cascading effects on their food web (Friedlander & DeMartini, 2002; 51 Myers, Baum, Shepherd, Powers, & Peterson, 2007). Although local-scale conservation programs 52 have been established, their efficiency has been questioned for some species of sharks (Robbins, 53 Hisano, Connolly, & Choat, 2006; Speed et al., 2016). For instance, low scale management might 54 not always be consistent with the mobility range and the dispersal ability of sharks. Genetics and 55 ecological evidences identified both species with very restricted home ranges (Mourier, Mills, & Planes, 2013; Whitney, Robbins, Schultz, Bowen, & Holland, 2012) and species capable of 56 57 crossing large sea expanses (Bailleul et al., 2018; Corrigan et al., 2018; Pirog et al., 2019), which 58 should be better managed at the oceanic or global scale. Designing conservation actions is 59 therefore a difficult task requiring the knowledge both of the dispersal ability of the species under 60 investigation and the existence of barriers to gene flow, which are often hard to identify in the 61 marine realm.

Population genomics is becoming increasingly important in this context, particularly because of the large amount of data provided by the emergence of *next generation sequencing* approaches (NGS). It is now possible to assess the genetic diversity of model or non-model species at an unprecedented level of accuracy (Benazzo et al., 2017; Steiner, Putnam, Hoeck, & Ryder, 2013). However, genetic diversity alone does not provide clues on the evolutionary trajectory of a species and a careful modelling is required to fully understand its demographic history as well as the 68 conservation challenges to be faced. Demographic models need to be designed to be as consistent 69 as possible with the biology of the species considered, still accounting for the trade-off between 70 complexity and feasibility. Unfortunately, for computational reasons, many commonly used 71 software implement, under different algorithms, mostly unstructured models, i.e., models that 72 consider the population under investigation as isolated or panmictic (Heled & Drummond, 2008; 73 Heller, Chikhi, & Siegismund, 2013; Li & Durbin, 2011; Liu & Fu, 2015). Except for highly vagile 74 species which are panmictic at a large scale such as the tiger shark (Pirog et al., 2019) or the mako 75 shark (Corrigan et al., 2018), broadly distributed sharks species are more likely organized in meta-76 population(s) throughout their range (Maisano Delser et al., 2019, 2016; Momigliano et al., 2017; Pazmiño et al., 2018). The application of unstructured models to species organised in meta-77 78 populations determines spurious signatures of effective populations size (N_e) changes through time 79 (Chikhi, Sousa, Luisi, Goossens, & Beaumont, 2010; Maisano Delser et al., 2019; Mazet, 80 Rodríguez, Grusea, Boitard, & Chikhi, 2016; Mazet, Rodríguez, & Chikhi, 2015), with potentially 81 dangerous consequences in terms of conservation policies. However, recent studies highlighted 82 the usefulness of such models to characterize the gene genealogy of the sampled lineages which 83 in turn reveals important features of the meta-population (Arredondo et al., 2021; Lesturgie, 84 Planes, & Mona, 2021; Rodríguez et al., 2018). This emphasizes the necessity to couple complex 85 meta-population models and *unstructured* models when uncovering the demographic history of a 86 species.

Here we investigated the evolutionary history of the grey reef shark (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*), a coral reef associated shark living in the Indo-Pacific. Despite *C. amblyrhynchos* is believed to be one of the most abundant reef sharks in the Indo-Pacific, it is considered endangered with a decreasing trend by the IUCN red list of threatened species. Robbins et al.

91 (2006) projected a local decline in abundance of ~17% per year in Northern Australia. With a mean 92 size of ~190 cm (Compagno, 2001), C. amblyrhynchos inhabits either the fringing or the barrier 93 reef and displays patterns of reef fidelity (Barnett, Abrantes, Seymour, & Fitzpatrick, 2012; 94 Espinoza, Heupel, Tobin, & Simpfendorfer, 2014) as well as philopatry (Field, Meekan, Speed, 95 White, & Bradshaw, 2011). However, tagging evidences have indicated long range movement up 96 to ~900 km (Barnett et al., 2012; Bonnin et al., 2019), which raise questions about the extent of 97 residency patterns for this species. Previous genetic studies using both microsatellites and Rad-98 sequencing did not find signature of genetic structure at a low geographic scale such the Great 99 Barrier Reef (Momigliano et al., 2017; Momigliano, Harcourt, Robbins, & Stow, 2015) and the 100 Phoenix archipelago (Boissin et al., 2019). Conversely, isolation by distance patterns have been 101 found at larger scale and some evidences have been provided showing that coastal abundance of 102 reef can fuel genetic exchanges, while oceanic expanses are barriers to gene flow (Boissin et al., 103 2019; Momigliano et al., 2017). These evidences cannot be easily reconciled with tagging data, 104 and a deep reappraisal of grey reef shark connectivity is warranted. To shed light on these 105 contrasting findings, we sampled 203 individuals of C. amblyrhynchos in 18 sites covering most 106 of its distribution (Figure 1) and sequenced them all following a double digestion RAD-seq 107 protocol (dd-RADseq; Peterson, Weber, Kay, Fisher, & Hoekstra, 2012). The large panel of 108 assembled loci was then used to: (i) detect the occurrence and eventually locate the origin of a 109 range expansion (RE); (ii) investigate its demographic history by implementing both meta-110 population and *unstructured* models; (*iii*) reassess the population structure of the grey reef shark 111 in the Indo-Pacific. We finally compared the results here obtained with those previously found in 112 the back tip reef shark (Carcharhinus melanopterus). The two species share a very similar 113 distribution in the Indo-Pacific but are characterized by different habitat preferences and lifehistory traits, providing an excellent opportunity to improve our knowledge on the biology ofsharks.

116 Material and Methods

117 Rad sequencing

118 We collected 203 samples of C. amblyrhynchos to cover most of its longitudinal distribution range 119 (Figure 1), with two sampling sites in the Indian Ocean (IND – Juan and Zelee) and 16 in the 120 Pacific Ocean (PAC). Among the PAC sampling sites, four were chosen in the Coral Sea (COR): 121 two in the Chesterfield Islands (Bampton and Avond) and two in New Caledonia (Belep and 122 Poindimie). The remaining samples were chosen in the Central and Easter Pacific (CPA): six in 123 the Phoenix Islands (Enderbury, Kanton, McKean, Niku, Orona and Birnie) one in the Palmyra 124 Island and five in French Polynesia (Fakarava, Moorea, Faaite, Raraga and Nengo) (Figure 1, 125 Table 1). Total genomic DNA has been extracted and conserved in 96% ethanol using QIAGEN 126 DNeasy Blood and Tissue purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the 127 manufacturer's protocols. We followed the *double-digestion DNA sequencing* (dd-RADseq) 128 protocol of (Peterson et al., 2012) to create a genomic library, using EcoRI and MSFI as restriction 129 enzymes. We selected fragments of ~400 bp length and sequenced with Illumina HiSeq 2500 130 machine (single-end, 125 bp).

In the absence of a reference genome, we assembled loci *de novo* using *Stacks* v.2 (Rochette, Rivera-Colón, & Catchen, 2019). Briefly, we demultiplexed the reads through the *process_radtags.pl* script and assembled the loci using the *denovo_map.pl* pipeline with the parameters m=3 (minimum read depth to create a stack); M=3 (number of mismatches allowed between loci within individuals); and n=3 (number of mismatches allowed between loci within catalogue). We found an average coverage (over individuals and loci) of ~10x (see Results). Previous work suggested that this value may bias a correct genotype calling under commonly used algorithm by skewing the site frequency spectrum (SFS) towards an excess of low frequency variants (Mona et al. in prep). For this reason, we followed two different bioinformatics pipelines: the first to obtain a dataset to perform analyses based on the SFS (genetic diversity, range expansion and historical demographic inferences) and the second to investigate population structure, for which low frequency variants are not informative and can be removed before the downstream analyses.

144

145 Genetic diversity

146 To compute genetic diversity and perform demographic inferences, we followed the genotype free 147 estimation of allele frequencies pipeline implemented in the software ANGSD v.0.923 148 (Korneliussen et al., 2014). This approach has been suggested to be more efficient for low to 149 medium coverage *next-generation sequencing* (NGS) data than SNPs calling algorithms 150 (Korneliussen et al., 2014). ANGSD requires a reference sequence to work. To this end, we 151 followed the framework proposed by (Khimoun et al., 2020) which we applied to each population 152 separately to maximise the number of loci: i) we assembled Rad loci present in at least 80% of the 153 sampled individuals using *Stacks* with the same parameters as above; ii) we concatenated the 154 consensus sequences for each locus, to which we added a stretch of 120 "N" in order to facilitate 155 mapping, to create an artificial reference sequence; iii) we mapped raw reads from individual *fasta* 156 files using the *bwa-mem* algorithm with default parameters (Li & Durbin, 2009) against the 157 artificial reference sequence. Using ANGSD filters, we discarded (1) sites with a coverage < 3158 (using the flag *-minIndDepth 3*) (2) poor quality and mis-aligned reads (with default parameters 159 and flags -minO 20 and -minMapO 20), (3) poor quality bases (with default parameters and flags

160 -bag 1 and -C 50). We further removed the last 5bp of each locus, SNPs heterozygous in at least 161 80% individuals, and loci with more than 5 SNPs. We finally filtered all missing data by applying 162 the *-minInd* filter equal to the total number of individual present in each population (Table 1). We 163 then created a site allele frequency likelihood (saf) file by using the SAMtools genotype likelihood 164 computation method with the -GL=1 flag (Li & Durbin, 2009) and finally computed the folded 165 site frequency spectrum (SFS) from the saf files using the RealSFS program implemented in 166 ANGSD. We computed the mean pairwise difference (θ_{π}) , the number of segregating sites 167 (Waterson's Theta, θ_w) and Tajima's D (*TD*) directly from the SFS. θ_{π} and θ_w were standardized 168 per sites (i.e., taking into account both monomorphic and polymorphic sites) and significance of 169 TD was evaluated under 1,000 coalescent simulations of a constant population model with size θ_{π} .

170

171 Range Expansion

172 *Decay of genetic diversity*. Genetic diversity, here measured in each population as θ_{π} , is expected 173 to decay as a function of the distance from the origin of the range expansion (Ramachandran et al., 174 2005). However, linear distances may poorly represent the capacity of individuals to move 175 between two points, as migration can be influenced by many factors such as bathymetry, residency 176 or habitat preference among others. To take all these features into account, we constructed a raster 177 of 67894 cells using the R package raster (Hijmans, 2020), where each cell measures ~60km² and 178 corresponds either to land, open sea, seamount or reef habitat. Permeability coefficients were fixed 179 respectively to 0 and 1 for land and open sea, whereas coefficients for coral reefs and seamounts 180 were varied between 1 and 100. We applied two constraints: coral reefs should always have the 181 maximum relative permeability value (since they represent the only habitat for *C. amblyrhynchos*) 182 and seamounts have permeability bounded within 1 and coral reefs' value. The most likely values 183 were searched using a custom R script by maximising the correlation between the geographic and 184 genetic distances between the sampled sites. Geographic distances were computed with the 185 gdistance R package under the Least Cost (LC) criterion algorithm (van Etten, 2017) and the 186 genetic distances were measured by the F_{ST} (see below). After this step, we considered each marine 187 cells of the raster to be a potential source of origin of the range expansion (RE) and computed its 188 distance from the sampled populations under the LC criterion with the most likely permeability 189 values previously estimated. We correlated these distances with the genetic diversity of each 190 population to identify areas with more negative values, which are likely associated with the origin 191 of the RE (Ramachandran et al., 2005).

192 Frequency of derived alleles. The second method used to detect RE is based on the comparison of 193 the frequency of derived alleles shared between populations, which is expected to increase with 194 the distance from the center of origin of the RE (Slatkin & Excoffier, 2012). This method is based 195 on the directionality index ψ as defined in Peter and Slatkin (2013), where ψ represents the average 196 difference in the frequency of derived alleles shared between two populations. Given the observed 197 population structure in the Indo-Pacific (see results), we restrained our analysis to six sampling 198 sites only: Juan, Bampton, Belep, Orona, Fakarava, and Palmyra. To maximise the number of loci 199 with no missing data, we sampled one individual per sampling site only. We used one 200 Carcharhinus limbatus individual to polarize each SNPs and followed the same bioinformatics 201 pipeline previously adopted to estimate the unfolded two dimensional SFS with ANGSD 202 (Korneliussen, Albrechtsen, & Nielsen, 2014), from which we computed the pairwise ψ . The 203 significance of the pairwise matrix of ψ was tested by bootstrap resampling the two-dimensional 204 SFS 1000 times. We then used the time difference of arrival location estimation (TDOA) algorithm

implemented in the library *RangeExpansion* in R (Peter & Slatkin, 2013) to locate the origin ofthe RE.

207

208 Historical demographic inferences

209 To account and test for meta-population structure, we performed model selection as well as 210 parameters estimation using an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) framework 211 (Bertorelle, Benazzo, & Mona, 2010). We tested three demographic scenarios (Figure 2) for each 212 population, namely NS, FIM, and SST. Model NS (no structure): going backward in time, NS 213 represents a panmictic population where the effective population size switches instantaneously at 214 T_c generations from N_{mod} to N_{anc} . Model FIM (Finite Island Model): FIM represents a meta-215 population composed of a two-dimensional array of 10x10 demes, each of size N which exchanges 216 Nm migrants with any other deme each generation. Going backward in time all demes merge into 217 a single population of size N_{anc} at T_{col} generations. Model SST (Stepping STone): SST is similar to 218 FIM but demes exchange migrants only with their closest neighbours. We performed 50,000 219 simulations under each scenario and for each population independently using fastsimcoal2 220 (Excoffier & Foll, 2011). We run the model selection with the Random Forest classification 221 method implemented in the package *abcRF* (Pudlo et al., 2016) using the SFS, θ_{π} , θ_{w} and *TD* as 222 summary statistics, to which we added the first two components of the Linear Discriminant 223 Analysis performed on the previous summary statistics as suggested by (Pudlo et al., 2016) to 224 increase accuracy. We performed 50,000 additional simulations under the most supported scenario 225 in order to estimate the demographic parameters. To this end, we used the *abcRF* regression 226 method (Raynal et al., 2019) with the same summary statistics as for the model selection. For all 227 analyses, we performed the estimation twice to check for the consistency of the inferences. We

228 also performed a cross validation for both parameter inference and model selection (hereafter, the 229 confusion matrix) procedures. The number of trees in the forest was chosen by checking the out-230 of-bag error rate (OOB). We finally modelled the variation of effective population size (N_e) 231 through time in each population with the stairwayplot (Liu & Fu, 2015) which uses a composite 232 likelihood estimator based on the comparison between the observed SFS and that predicted under 233 specific demographic histories. The stairwayplot assumes that the sampled lineages come from an 234 isolated population (i.e., *unstructured*), which is not true in our case (see results). However, this 235 method allows a powerful investigation of the underlying gene genealogy, which bears useful 236 elements on the evolutionary history of the meta-population (Lesturgie et al., 2021).

237

238 Population structure

239 Population structure inferences were performed on the dataset obtained following the assembly 240 pipeline implemented in Stacks as described above. After the denovo step, the population script 241 was called to keep loci present in at least 80% of the individuals (r = 0.8) and with a *minor allele* 242 frequency of 0.05, hence removing low frequency variants. We finally retained one random SNP 243 per locus. Using a custom R script, we further filtered: (i) SNPs heterozygotes in more than 80%244 of the sample; (ii) loci with coverage higher than $\sim 30x$ (which corresponds to the mean coverage 245 plus twice the standard deviation); (iii) SNPs in the last 5bp of the assembled locus; and (iv) loci 246 containing more than five SNPs, after visual inspection of the distribution of segregating sites per 247 locus. The resulting dataset was used for the following analyses. 1) sNMF (sparse non-negative 248 *matrix factorization*), implemented in the R package *LEA* (Frichot & François, 2015): we 249 investigated the number of ancestral clusters K by running the algorithm 10 times, with values of 250 K ranging from 1 to 8. We chose the most likely K using the cross-entropy criterion and displayed 251 the admixture coefficients under the best run. 2) DAPC (discriminant analysis of principal 252 components), implemented in the R package Adegenet (Jombart, Devillard, & Balloux, 2010): we 253 varied K from 1 to 8 and chose the best values based on the BIC criterion. Linear discriminant 254 functions were used to test whether individuals were correctly reassigned to the inferred clusters. 255 3) F_{ST} : we computed overall and pairwise F_{ST} between populations with more than 5 individuals 256 using the PopGenome library in R (Pfeifer, Wittelsbürger, Ramos-Onsins, & Lercher, 2014) and 257 tested its significance with a 100 bootstrap permutations using a custom R script. Isolation by 258 distance (IBD) was computed with a Mantel Test (Mantel, 1967) between the genetic ($F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$) 259 and the geographic or LC distance matrices and tested by 1000 permutations with the *ade4* R 260 package (Thioulouse & Dray, 2007).

261 Results

262 Genetic diversity

The number of loci (monomorphic included) and SNPs with no missing data ranged from 34,766 to 146,858 and from 36,380 to 103,258 respectively in the sampling sites considered (Table 1). Genetic diversity (θ_{π} and θ_{w}) was lower in IND sampling sites than in PAC (Table 1). Tajima's *D* values were positive in IND sampling sites and in Fakarava, suggesting an excess of high frequency variants when compared to the standard neutral model. Conversely, we found negative and significant Tajima's *D* values in all other PAC locations (except for Moorea and Mckean), suggesting an excess of low frequency variants compared to the standard neutral model (Table 1).

271 Range Expansion

272 The permeability coefficients maximising the correlation between genetic and the LC distances 273 were 1:1.02:1.02 for open sea, coral reef habitat and seamounts respectively. We plotted the 274 correlation map computed on the whole array of demes with and without IND samples in Figure 275 3. Both analyses pointed to a most likely origin of the RE closer to the COR region but with a 276 remarkable difference in the distribution of correlation coefficients. When including all sampling 277 sites, all PAC locations displayed negative correlation coefficients whereas the IND only positive 278 values (Figure 3). Conversely, when including only PAC sampling sites, we observed negative 279 values from the Indian Ocean to the Coral Sea and positive values eastward (Figure 3).

The directionality index (ψ) was significant ($p \le 0.001$) for each pair of comparison after bootstrap resampling, suggesting that the species most likely underwent a RE (Table S1). We applied the TDOA algorithm to the pairwise ψ matrix both with and without the IND samples. We inferred the most likely position of the range expansion in both cases very close to the Belep sampling site (Figure 3).

285

286 Historical demographic inferences

We investigated the demographic history for all sampling site with $N_{ind} \ge 7$. We first used an ABC-RF framework in order to compare demographic scenarios (Figure 2). Model selection was performed using 500 trees in all sampling sites after monitoring the OOB error rate. SST was the most supported scenario in all locations, with posterior probabilities ranging from 0.48 to 0.73 and similar classification error rate among locations (Table 2 and S2). Parameter estimation was performed with 1,000 trees in the RF algorithm after monitoring the OOB error rate. The median number of migrants per generation (*Nm*) ranged from ~6 to ~14 (Table 2). Posterior distributions 294 were overlapping and clearly distinct from the prior distribution (Figure 4a), and both the squared 295 mean error (SME) and the mean root squared error (MRSE) were small among locations, 296 suggesting reliable estimates (Table S3). The posterior distributions of T_{col} overlapped among 297 locations (Figure 4b), even though it was not clearly unimodal (and therefore less informative) in 298 Juan. Juan additionally displayed a lower N_{anc} median value (~21k) than in PAC locations (ranging 299 from ~33k to ~50k) although all credible intervals overlapped (Table 2). Surprisingly, the ABC 300 estimates for the Mckean sampling site were inconsistent with any other PHO sampling locations 301 (Figure 4 and Table 2). However, both SME and the MRSE for the colonization time (T_{col}) and the 302 ancestral effective size (N_{anc}) were generally one order of magnitude larger than those estimated 303 for *Nm* in all populations (Table S2).

304 We further investigated the variation of Ne through time using the stairwayplot algorithm (Figure 305 5). We detected a broadly similar Ne dynamic across sampling sites that we summarized for 306 simplicity in three time periods: looking forward in time we observed an ancestral expansion 307 followed by a constant phase and a final systematic strong decrease in recent times (Figure 5). 308 However, we found three main differences between IND and PAC sampling sites: i) the expansion 309 time was around twice more recent in IND than in PAC (~180ky B.P. vs. ~400ky B.P); ii) the 310 strength of the expansion is much stronger in PAC sampling sites; iii) Ne during the constant period 311 reached a value of ~40,000 in PAC sampling sites and of only ~20,000 in IND, consistent with the 312 computed θ (Table 1). The PAC sampling sites showed a remarkable homogeneous *stairwayplot*, 313 with only the more peripheral population of Fakarava having a slightly more recent ancestral 314 expansion (Figure 5).

315

317 We discarded 30 individuals based on an excess of missing data after an initial denovo assembly. 318 We found an average coverage of 10.77x (s.d. = 2.32) in the total sample. After filtering, we 319 retained 88,276 variable loci to perform individual based structure analyses. Both *sNMF* and the 320 DAPC clustering algorithms found K=2 as the most likely number of ancestral populations or 321 clusters (Figure S1 and S2), perfectly matching the two oceanic regions, namely the Indian and 322 the Pacific Ocean (Figure 6a and S2). The ancestry proportion of *cluster 1* in IND samples ranged 323 from 70% to 100% while the ancestry proportion of *cluster 2* in PAC samples ranged from 87% 324 to 100% (Figure 6a). This highlights that IND samples are slightly more admixed than those from 325 PAC. We retained one LD function in the DAPC which correctly re-assigned all individuals from 326 IND and PAC to *cluster 1* and *cluster 2* respectively (Figure S2). We further investigated K=3 327 under both algorithms and found three main results: i) the ancestral population or clusters clearly 328 identify three geographic areas corresponding to IND, COR, and CPA regions (Figure 6a and S2); 329 ii) the ancestry proportion of *cluster 3* follows a clinal distribution, steadily increasing in frequency 330 from West (Indian Ocean) to East (French Polynesia) (Figure 6a); iii) all individuals belonging to 331 the three areas are correctly re-assigned to the three clusters by the DAPC computed with two LD 332 functions (Figure S2). The PCA showed similar results, with the first principal component 333 explaining $\sim 14.5\%$ of the total variance and clearly separating individuals coming from the two 334 oceans (Figure 6b). The second axis segregated CPA from COR samples. In agreement with the 335 cluster analyses, CPA and COR are only slightly differentiated as the second principal component 336 explains only $\sim 1\%$ of the total variance. The second axis also suggested a clinal differentiation 337 between the two clusters, with New Caledonia and Phoenix samples being more closely related 338 (Figure 6b).

339 Population based analyses were performed on a reduced dataset excluding sampling sites with less 340 than N_{ind} =5 individuals. We therefore retained 14 sampling sites, N_{ind} =168 individuals, and 88,824 variable loci and obtained an overall $F_{ST} = 0.25$ (*p*-value < 0.01). However, the pairwise F_{ST} 341 342 highlighted a strong differentiation between Indian and Pacific sampling sites with values ranging 343 from 0.53 to 0.56 (and always significant, p-value < 0.01, Table S4). In contrast, comparisons 344 within oceanic regions never exceed 0.03 (Figure 7a) with only few values statistically significant. 345 Consistently with clustering results, a heatmap displaying pairwise F_{ST} values visually suggest the 346 existence of the three clusters previously identified (Figure 7a). However, the average 347 differentiation between COR and CPA is only slightly higher than within group comparisons 348 (Figure 7a). Moreover, we found a strong signature of isolation by distance within the Pacific 349 Ocean, since the correlation between the F_{ST} and geographic or LC distance matrices was large 350 and significant (Mantel test: r = 0.93; *p-value* < 0.001 in both cases) (Figure 7b). We additionally 351 computed the correlation between genetic and geographic distances by considering only IND vs. 352 PAC pairwise distances (r = 0.77, Figure S4).

353 Discussion

354 Range expansion

Range expansions occur by series of founder effects leading to the fixation of novel (derived) alleles and the decay in genetic diversity as colonization progresses (Excoffier, Foll, & Petit, 2009). They also leave specific signatures in the gene genealogy of lineages sampled from a deme of the meta-population (Maisano Delser et al., 2016; Ray, Currat, & Excoffier, 2003) and in the extent of population structure (Mona, 2017; Mona, Ray, Arenas, & Excoffier, 2014). Testing for the occurrence of a RE is therefore a fundamental step to decipher the evolutionary history of a species using genomic data. To this end, we first computed the directionality index ψ (Peter & 362 Slatkin, 2013) between C. amblyrhynchos sampling sites. All pairwise comparisons were 363 significantly different from 0 after bootstrap resampling (p < 0.001) (Table S1), suggesting that 364 the distribution of derived allele frequencies is not consistent with an equilibrium isolation by 365 distance model (Peter & Slatkin, 2013). We identified the most likely origin of the RE close to 366 New Caledonia using the TDOA algorithm (Figure 3). This result was robust to the inclusion of 367 the Juan sample from the Indian Ocean (Figure 3), despite the unbalanced sampling scheme. We 368 further investigated the RE by constructing a grid of 67894 points in the Indo-Pacific 369 corresponding to three different types of habitats (excluding land): coral reef, sea-mounts, open 370 sea. For each point of the grid, we computed the correlation coefficient between genetic diversity 371 and least cost distances to the point (representing the putative origin) for various combination of 372 permeability values of the three habitats. The presence of zones of more negative correlations is 373 suggestive of the occurrence of a RE and such zones are candidate for its origin (Ramachandran 374 et al., 2005). The inferred location of the origin of the RE covered a large area, which might be 375 attributable to the low differences in θ_{π} between Pacific populations (Table 1). Nevertheless, 376 including or not IND samples, we identified the most likely area west of the Indo-Australian 377 Archipelago (IAA), consistent with the finding based on the directionality index (Figure 3). The 378 scenario of a RE was corroborated by other evidences: first, the large and significant correlation 379 coefficient between genetic and geographic distances in the Pacific Ocean (r=0.93; Mantel p-value 380 < 0.001, Figure 7b, see also Figure S4). This result alone would not be conclusive, since a similar 381 pattern is also expected under an equilibrium isolation by distance, but it strengthens our previous 382 findings. Second, the historical demography inferences performed in each sampled deme showed 383 that the pattern of genetic variability is most likely the outcome of a non-equilibrium meta-384 population structured according to a stepping stone migration matrix (Table 2). In this context,

385 both the colonization times of the meta-population estimated by the ABC (Figure 4) and the 386 expansion times retrieved by the *stairwayplot* (Figure 5) harbor the signature of the RE process 387 (Lesturgie et al., 2021): the oldest times are expected to be close to the center of origin of the RE, 388 while the more recent ones are likely associated to the edge of the colonization wave(s). While the 389 large variance in T_{col} estimated by ABC does not allow to detect any clear pattern through the Indo-390 Pacific, the expansion times highlighted by the *stairwayplot* are consistent with the RE scenario. 391 All populations display a simultaneous expansion time around ~ 400 ky B.P. (Figure 5) except for 392 Fakarava and Juan, which are the sampling sites respectively further east and west to the inferred 393 origin of the RE. We note that the *stairwayplot* slightly overestimates the true colonization time in 394 SST models (Lesturgie et al., 2021) but this bias does not affect the relative ranking among 395 sampling sites. In summary, all the evidences presented thus far point to an origin of C. 396 amblyrhynchos somewhere west to New Caledonia, from which two migration waves took place, 397 one to the East Pacific and the other to the Indian Ocean, with Juan being probably one of the last 398 spots to be colonized. This scenario, characterized by a center of origin and two independent 399 colonization waves, is similar to the one inferred for C. melanopterus by Maisano Delser et al. 400 (2019), a species whose range distribution overlaps with that of the grey reef shark. However, the 401 most likely origin of the RE was located in the IAA for C. melanopterus, a well known centre of 402 origin for many teleost fishes (Cowman & Bellwood, 2013), and a current biodiversity hotspot 403 (Allen, 2008). The difference observed between C. amblyrhynchos and C. melanopterus could 404 simply results from the more balanced sampling scheme of Maisano Delser et al. (2019), who 405 could cover more homogeneously the Indo-Pacific. More samples from the IAA will be needed to 406 refine our estimates. Moreover, it will be interesting in the next future to explicitly investigate the role of the IAA for coral reef biodiversity fauna and to reconstruct the colonisations routes in the 407

Indo-Pacific using population genetics modelling applied to genomics data on many marine
species in order to extract more general patterns (see for example (Delrieu-Trottin et al., 2020)).

410

411 Historical demography

412 The ABC framework not only provided another evidence in favour of a non-equilibrium meta-413 population through the model selection analysis, but also allowed us to further refine our 414 understanding of the evolutionary history of the grey reef shark. By analysing each deme 415 separately, we found an overlapping posterior distribution of Nm with an average mode of ~10 416 (Figure 4). C. amblyrhynchos, similarly to C. melanopterus, is strictly dependent on the reef 417 habitat, which distribution is not homogenous through the Indo-Pacific (Figure S3). We would 418 have expected the connectivity in each sampled deme to be highly correlated to the distribution of 419 coral reef in its neighbourhood, as it was previously observed in C. melanopterus (Maisano Delser 420 et al., 2019). However, the two sharks differ in their dispersal ability: while the grey reef shark is 421 capable of long-distance movement of up to ~900 km (Barnett et al., 2012; Bonnin et al., 2019; 422 Heupel, Simpfendorfer, & Fitzpatrick, 2010; Speed et al., 2016; White et al., 2017) the black tip 423 reef shark shows a very restricted home range not exceeding ~50 km (Mourier & Planes, 2013). 424 Our results reinforce the idea that the neighbouring size in the two species is very different, with 425 C. amblyrhynchos being able to cross larger sea expanses becoming less sensitive to corals' 426 concentration than C. melanopterus.

427 The homogeneity in the signature of genetic variation in each deme was confirmed by the 428 stairwayplot analyses (Figure 5), contrasting with the heterogeneity previously described for *C*. 429 melanopterus (Maisano Delser et al., 2019). All demes showed an ancestral expansion followed 430 by a period of stasis and a strong bottleneck in recent times. We recently showed that these three

431 time periods are the typical signature of the variation in the coalescence rate through time due to 432 the meta-population structure (Lesturgie et al., 2021). The differences observed are only related to 433 the colonization time of the deme under investigation for the estimated range of Nm values 434 (Lesturgie et al., 2021), confirming the similarity of dispersal pattern throughout the Indo-Pacific. 435 In the same spirit, the signature of bottleneck observed in recent times for all demes (Figure 5) is 436 the expected consequence of population structure (Chikhi et al., 2018; Lesturgie et al., 2021; Mazet 437 et al., 2015; Rodríguez et al., 2018) and cannot be interpreted as a demographic decline. 438 Unfortunately, population structure and demographic decline affect the SFS in a similar fashion 439 making impossible to quantitatively disentangle the contribution of both to the observed bottleneck 440 estimated using RAD-seq data (Lesturgie et al., 2021). Investigating recent changes in connectivity 441 or demographic events clearly requires whole genome sequencing coupled with inferential 442 methods based on the IICR (Arredondo et al., 2021) and/or linkage disequilibrium (Boitard, 443 Rodríguez, Jay, Mona, & Austerlitz, 2016).

444

445 Population structure

446 The results presented so far suggest that dispersal abilities of C. amblyrhynchos populations are 447 similar throughout the Indo-Pacific and independent of the availability of coral reefs. However, 448 this cannot exclude the presence of barriers to gene flow which may have shaped the connectivity 449 between populations. For widely distributed marine species, detecting such barriers may help to 450 delineate management units and to take proper conservation measures in relation to fisheries 451 (Dudgeon et al., 2012). Several evidences point to an absence of barriers to gene flow in the grey 452 reef shark. First of all, we found a strong IBD pattern with a significant correlation between genetic 453 and geographic distances of > 0.9 when considering only PAC samples (Figure 7b) and a linear

454 relation of smaller intensity between IND and PAC samples (Figure S4). Remarkably, these values 455 are not affected by computing geographic distances between population under an LC approach. 456 Indeed, the permeability values maximizing the correlation are (almost) the same for the different 457 type of cells. This suggest that different geographic features do not affect the direction of 458 migrations of the grey reef sharks, which indirectly reinforces the absence of obstacles to shark 459 dispersal, consistently with the occasional long-distance swims detected across the open ocean 460 (Barnett et al., 2012; Bonnin et al., 2019; Heupel et al., 2010; Speed et al., 2016; White et al., 461 2017). When strong IBD is present, it is difficult to attribute a biological meaning to groups 462 identified by clustering algorithms (Meirmans, 2012). Here the *sNMF* pointed out to K=1 as the 463 most likely number of clusters in PAC samples (Figure S5) and to K=2 when considering all 464 samples (Figure S1), with the latter result clearly driven by the distance between IND and PAC 465 populations. We further tested K=3 and noticed that the IND ancestral components diminish 466 continuously eastward, once again supporting an IBD structure (Figure 6a) rather than the presence 467 of barriers to gene flow. Similar considerations can be applied to the PCA, with the first axis, 468 explaining most of the variance, highlighting the difference between IND and PAC populations 469 and the second axis, explaining only $\sim 1\%$ of the total variance, rather identifying a cline in PAC 470 sampling sites (Figure 6b). This is consistent with the pairwise F_{ST} matrix, where intra Pacific 471 comparisons do not exceed ~ 0.03 and are mostly non-significant while the inter Ocean comparison have an average F_{ST} of ~0.54 (Figure 7a). Defining management units seems therefore not 472 473 appropriate in the case of the grey reef shark, as the genetic variations appears to be rather 474 continuous. This contrasts with what previously suggested by Boissin et al. (2019) at the Pacific 475 scale: however, not only their results are based on few microsatellites only but they did not 476 consider IBD between the sampling points. This have likely artificially inflated the number of477 inferred clusters (Meirmans, 2012).

478 The pitfall of our study is to extrapolate the dynamic of the grey reef shark at the scale of its whole 479 range by focusing mostly on the Pacific Ocean. Indeed, even if the species seems to follow an IBD 480 pattern also from Chagos to Eastern Australia (Momigliano et al., 2017), the level of population 481 differentiation appears to be higher than what we found in the Pacific for similar geographic 482 distances. However, while the distribution of coral reef in the Pacific Ocean is scattered due to the 483 presence of many archipelagos, coral reefs in the Indian Ocean are more concentrated on the 484 coastal edge of the Asian and African continents (Figure S3). The effective distance between 485 populations within the Indian Ocean would therefore be larger than in the Pacific Ocean, where 486 coral reefs would act as stepping stones to facilitate the colonization process and further 487 migrations. This could also account for the different linear relationship estimated in the Pacific vs. 488 the one estimated between Pacific and Indian sampling sites (Figure S4). An extensive sampling 489 in the Indian Ocean will be mandatory to confirm this hypothesis and to shed more light on the 490 dispersal ability of C. amblyrhynchos, in particular to understand the magnitude of what we 491 previously defined as its neighbouring size.

492

493 Conclusions

Here we explored the evolutionary history of the grey reef shark throughout most of its range in the Indo-Pacific and contrasted the results with those previously obtained for the blacktip reef shark by Maisano Delser et al. (2019). The two species are among the most abundant reef sharks (MacNeil et al., 2020) and they share an almost overlapping distribution in the whole Indo-Pacific, being both strictly dependent on the availability of coral reef habitat. We showed that despite the 499 similarities in the RE dynamic, the pattern of genetic diversity and population structure are very 500 different between the two species. First, C. melanopterus is significantly more structured than C. 501 *amblyrhynchos* for similar spatial distances (for comparison, F_{ST} values are ~30 times higher when 502 comparing French Polynesia vs New Caledonia, see Table S5 of Maisano Delser et al. (2019) and 503 our Figure 7). Second, C. amblyrhynchos shows a homogeneity in migration rates and 504 demographic signals throughout its whole distribution, while C. melanopterus is more sensitive to 505 the spatial distribution of coral reef, and the connectivity between populations is largely dependent 506 on the short scale availability of this habitat (Maisano Delser et al., 2019). Indeed, migration rates 507 estimated in areas with extensive coral reefs coverage (such as the Great Barrier Reef) are much higher compared to those estimated in isolated islands/atolls in the Indo-Pacific (Maisano Delser 508 509 et al., 2019), something that we did not observe for C. amblyrhynchos. All these differences can 510 be explained in the light of the life history traits related to dispersal abilities of the two species, 511 with C. amblyrhynchos being able to move more freely in open sea expanses rather than C. 512 *melanopterus*. However, it will be important in the next future to precisely characterize the extent 513 of the neighboring size for both species. To this end, ecological and genomic data need to be 514 coupled: this will help to carefully decipher how many management units are necessary for species 515 conservation and at which scale they should be established.

516

517 Acknowledgement

518 We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees (Bioinfo 519 Genotoul; http://bioinfo.genotoul.fr/) for providing computing resources. We are thankful to 520 Valeriano Parravicini for his input and for providing resources on the coral reefs distribution in

- 521 the Indo-Pacific. This work was supported by two ATM grants (2016 and 2017) from the Muséum
- 522 National d'Histoire Naturelle to S.M.

523

References

- Allen, G. R. (2008). Conservation hotspots of biodiversity and endemism for Indo-Pacific coral reef fishes. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 18(5), 541–556. doi: 10.1002/aqc.880
- Arredondo, A., Mourato, B., Nguyen, K., Boitard, S., Rodríguez, W., Noûs, C., ... Chikhi, L. (2021). Inferring number of populations and changes in connectivity under the n-island model. *Heredity*, 126(6), 896–912. doi: 10.1038/s41437-021-00426-9
- Bailleul, D., Mackenzie, A., Sacchi, O., Poisson, F., Bierne, N., & Arnaud-Haond, S. (2018). Large-scale genetic panmixia in the blue shark (Prionace glauca): A single worldwide population, or a genetic lag-time effect of the "grey zone" of differentiation? *Evolutionary Applications*, 11(5), 614–630. doi: 10.1111/eva.12591
- Barnett, A., Abrantes, K. G., Seymour, J., & Fitzpatrick, R. (2012). Residency and spatial use by reef sharks of an isolated seamount and its implications for conservation. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5), 1–12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036574
- Benazzo, A., Trucchi, E., Cahill, J. A., Delser, P. M., Mona, S., Fumagalli, M., ... Bertorelle, G. (2017). Survival and divergence in a small group: The extraordinary genomic history of the endangered Apennine brown bear stragglers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(45), E9589–E9597. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1707279114
- Bertorelle, G., Benazzo, A., & Mona, S. (2010). ABC as a flexible framework to estimate demography over space and time: Some cons, many pros. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(13), 2609–2625. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04690.x
- Boissin, E., Thorrold, S. R., Braun, C. D., Zhou, Y., Clua, E. E., & Planes, S. (2019). Contrasting global, regional and local patterns of genetic structure in gray reef shark populations from the Indo-Pacific region. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-52221-6
- Boitard, S., Rodríguez, W., Jay, F., Mona, S., & Austerlitz, F. (2016). Inferring Population Size History from Large Samples of Genome-Wide Molecular Data - An Approximate Bayesian Computation Approach. *PLOS Genetics*, *12*(3), e1005877. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005877
- Bonnin, L., Robbins, W. D., Boussarie, G., Kiszka, J. J., Dagorn, L., Mouillot, D., & Vigliola, L. (2019). Repeated long-range migrations of adult males in a common Indo-Pacific reef shark. *Coral Reefs*, 38(6), 1121–1132. doi: 10.1007/s00338-019-01858-w
- Bornatowski, H., Navia, A. F., Braga, R. R., Abilhoa, V., & Corrêa, M. F. M. (2014). Ecological importance of sharks and rays in a structural foodweb analysis in southern Brazil. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 71(7), 1586–1592. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsu025
- Chikhi, Lounès, Rodríguez, W., Grusea, S., Santos, P., Boitard, S., & Mazet, O. (2018). The IICR (inverse instantaneous coalescence rate) as a summary of genomic diversity: Insights into demographic inference and model choice. *Heredity*, *120*(1), 13–24. doi: 10.1038/s41437-017-0005-6
- Chikhi, Lounès, Sousa, V. C., Luisi, P., Goossens, B., & Beaumont, M. A. (2010). The Confounding Effects of Population Structure, Genetic Diversity and the Sampling Scheme on the Detection and Quantification of Population Size Changes. *Genetics*, 186(3), 983–995. doi: 10.1534/genetics.110.118661
- Compagno, L. J. (2001). Sharks of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Volume 2. Bullhead, mackerel and carpet sharks (Heterodontiformes, Lamniformes and Orectolobiformes). *FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes*, 2(1),

108-125.

- Corrigan, S., Lowther, A. D., Beheregaray, L. B., Bruce, B. D., Cliff, G., Duffy, C. A., ... Rogers, P. J. (2018). Population Connectivity of the Highly Migratory Shortfin Mako (Isurus oxyrinchus Rafinesque 1810) and Implications for Management in the Southern Hemisphere. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 6(NOV), 1–15. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2018.00187
- Cowman, P. F., & Bellwood, D. R. (2013). The historical biogeography of coral reef fishes: Global patterns of origination and dispersal. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40(2), 209–224. doi: 10.1111/jbi.12003
- Delrieu-Trottin, E., Hubert, N., Giles, E. C., Chifflet-Belle, P., Suwalski, A., Neglia, V., ... Saenz-Agudelo, P. (2020). Coping with Pleistocene climatic fluctuations: Demographic responses in remote endemic reef fishes. *Molecular Ecology*, 29(12), 2218–2233. doi: 10.1111/mec.15478
- Dudgeon, C. L., Blower, D. C., Broderick, D., Giles, J. L., Holmes, B. J., Kashiwagi, T., ... Ovenden, J. R. (2012). A review of the application of molecular genetics for fisheries management and conservation of sharks and rays. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 80(5), 1789–1843. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03265.x
- Espinoza, M., Heupel, M. R., Tobin, A. J., & Simpfendorfer, C. A. (2014). Residency patterns and movements of grey reef sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) in semi-isolated coral reef habitats. *Marine Biology*, *162*(2), 343–358. doi: 10.1007/s00227-014-2572-x
- Excoffier, L., & Foll, M. (2011). fastsimcoal: A continuous-time coalescent simulator of genomic diversity under arbitrarily complex evolutionary scenarios. *Bioinformatics*, 27(9), 1332– 1334. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr124
- Excoffier, L., Foll, M., & Petit, R. J. (2009). Genetic Consequences of Range Expansions. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 40(1), 481–501. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173414
- Field, I. C., Meekan, M. G., Speed, C. W., White, W., & Bradshaw, C. J. A. (2011). Quantifying movement patterns for shark conservation at remote coral atolls in the Indian Ocean. *Coral Reefs*, 30(1), 61–71. doi: 10.1007/s00338-010-0699-x
- Frichot, E., & François, O. (2015). LEA: An R package for landscape and ecological association studies. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 6(8), 925–929. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12382
- Friedlander, A. M., & DeMartini, E. E. (2002). Contrasts in density, size, and biomass of reef fishes between the northwestern and the main Hawaiian islands: The effects of fishing down apex predators. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 230, 253–264. doi: 10.3354/meps230253
- Heled, J., & Drummond, A. J. (2008). Bayesian inference of population size history from multiple loci. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 8(1), 289. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-8-289
- Heller, R., Chikhi, L., & Siegismund, H. R. (2013). The Confounding Effect of Population Structure on Bayesian Skyline Plot Inferences of Demographic History. *PLoS ONE*, 8(5). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062992
- Heupel, M. R., Papastamatiou, Y. P., Espinoza, M., Green, M. E., & Simpfendorfer, C. A. (2019). Reef shark science - Key questions and future directions. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6(JAN), 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00012
- Heupel, M. R., Simpfendorfer, C. A., & Fitzpatrick, R. (2010). Large-scale movement and reef fidelity of grey reef sharks. *PLoS ONE*, 5(3), 1–5. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009650

Hijmans, R. J. (2020). Raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling.

Jombart, T., Devillard, S., & Balloux, F. (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal components: A new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genetics*, 11(1), 94. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-11-94

- Khimoun, A., Doums, C., Molet, M., Kaufmann, B., Peronnet, R., Eyer, P. A., & Mona, S. (2020). Urbanization without isolation: The absence of genetic structure among cities and forests in the tiny acorn ant Temnothorax nylanderi. *Biology Letters*, 16(1). doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2019.0741
- Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1), 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4
- Lesturgie, P., Planes, S., & Mona, S. (2021). Coalescence times, life history traits and conservation concerns: an example from four coastal shark species from the Indo-Pacific. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 1755-0998.13487. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.13487
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25(14), 1754–1760. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. *Nature*, 475(7357), 493–496. doi: 10.1038/nature10231
- Liu, X., & Fu, Y.-X. (2015). Exploring population size changes using SNP frequency spectra. *Nature Genetics*, 47(5), 555–559. doi: 10.1038/ng.3254
- MacNeil, M. A., Chapman, D. D., Heupel, M., Simpfendorfer, C. A., Heithaus, M., Meekan, M., ... Cinner, J. E. (2020). Global status and conservation potential of reef sharks. *Nature*, 583(7818), 801–806. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2519-y
- Maisano Delser, P., Corrigan, S., Duckett, D., Suwalski, A., Veuille, M., Planes, S., ... Mona, S. (2019). Demographic inferences after a range expansion can be biased: the test case of the blacktip reef shark (Carcharhinus melanopterus). *Heredity*, 122(6), 759–769. doi: 10.1038/s41437-018-0164-0
- Maisano Delser, P., Corrigan, S., Hale, M., Li, C., Veuille, M., Planes, S., ... Mona, S. (2016). Population genomics of C. melanopterus using target gene capture data: Demographic inferences and conservation perspectives. *Scientific Reports*, 6(April), 1–12. doi: 10.1038/srep33753
- Mantel, N. (1967). The Detection of Disease Clustering and a Generalized Regression Approach. *Cancer Research*, 27(2), 209–220.
- Mazet, O., Rodríguez, W., Grusea, S., Boitard, S., & Chikhi, L. (2016). On the importance of being structured: instantaneous coalescence rates and human evolution—lessons for ancestral population size inference? *Heredity*, *116*(4), 362–371. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2015.104
- Mazet, Olivier, Rodríguez, W., & Chikhi, L. (2015). Demographic inference using genetic data from a single individual: Separating population size variation from population structure. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *104*, 46–58. doi: 10.1016/j.tpb.2015.06.003
- Meirmans, P. G. (2012). The trouble with isolation by distance. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(12), 2839–2846. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05578.x
- Momigliano, P., Harcourt, R., Robbins, W. D., Jaiteh, V., Mahardika, G. N., Sembiring, A., & Stow, A. (2017). Genetic structure and signatures of selection in grey reef sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos). *Heredity*, *119*(3), 142–153. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2017.21
- Momigliano, P., Harcourt, R., Robbins, W. D., & Stow, A. (2015). Connectivity in grey reef sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) determined using empirical and simulated genetic data. *Scientific Reports*, 5(August), 1–9. doi: 10.1038/srep13229
- Mona, S. (2017). On the role played by the carrying capacity and the ancestral population size during a range expansion. *Heredity*, *118*(2), 143–153. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2016.73
- Mona, S., Ray, N., Arenas, M., & Excoffier, L. (2014). Genetic consequences of habitat

fragmentation during a range expansion. *Heredity*, 112(3), 291–299. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2013.105

- Mourier, J., Mills, S. C., & Planes, S. (2013). Population structure, spatial distribution and lifehistory traits of blacktip reef sharks Carcharhinus melanopterus. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 82(3), 979–993. doi: 10.1111/jfb.12039
- Mourier, Johann, & Planes, S. (2013). Direct genetic evidence for reproductive philopatry and associated fine-scale migrations in female blacktip reef sharks (Carcharhinus melanopterus) in French Polynesia. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(1), 201–214. doi: 10.1111/mec.12103
- Myers, R. A., Baum, J. K., Shepherd, T. D., Powers, S. P., & Peterson, C. H. (2007). Cascading effects of the loss of apex predatory sharks from a coastal ocean. *Science*, *315*(5820), 1846–1850. doi: 10.1126/science.1138657
- Pazmiño, D. A., Maes, G. E., Green, M. E., Simpfendorfer, C. A., Hoyos-Padilla, E. M., Duffy, C. J. A., ... Van Herwerden, L. (2018). Strong trans-Pacific break and local conservation units in the Galapagos shark (Carcharhinus galapagensis) revealed by genome-wide cytonuclear markers. *Heredity*, 120(5), 407–421. doi: 10.1038/s41437-017-0025-2
- Peter, B. M., & Slatkin, M. (2013). Detecting range expansions from genetic data. *Evolution*, 67(11), 3274–3289. doi: 10.1111/evo.12202
- Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2012). Double Digest RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and Non-Model Species. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5), e37135. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037135
- Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbürger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Lercher, M. J. (2014). PopGenome: An efficient swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 31(7), 1929–1936. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msu136
- Pirog, A., Jaquemet, S., Ravigné, V., Cliff, G., Clua, E., Holmes, B. J., ... Magalon, H. (2019). Genetic population structure and demography of an apex predator, the tiger shark Galeocerdo cuvier. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9(10), 5551–5571. doi: 10.1002/ece3.5111
- Pudlo, P., Marin, J.-M. M., Estoup, A., Cornuet, J.-M. M., Gautier, M., & Robert, C. P. (2016). Reliable ABC model choice via random forests. *Bioinformatics*, 32(6), 859–866. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv684
- Ramachandran, S., Deshpande, O., Roseman, C. C., Rosenberg, N. A., Feldman, M. W., & Cavalli-Sforza, L. L. (2005). Support from the relationship of genetic and geographic in human populations for a serial founder effect originating in Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(44), 15942–15947. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507611102
- Ray, N., Currat, M., & Excoffier, L. (2003). Intra-deme molecular diversity in spatially expanding populations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 20(1), 76–86. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msg009
- Raynal, L., Marin, J. M., Pudlo, P., Ribatet, M., Robert, C. P., & Estoup, A. (2019). ABC random forests for Bayesian parameter inference. *Bioinformatics*, 35(10), 1720–1728. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty867
- Robbins, W. D., Hisano, M., Connolly, S. R., & Choat, J. H. (2006). Ongoing Collapse of Coral-Reef Shark Populations. *Current Biology*, 16(23), 2314–2319. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.044
- Rochette, N. C., Rivera-Colón, A. G., & Catchen, J. M. (2019). Stacks 2: Analytical methods for paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(21), 4737–4754. doi: 10.1111/mec.15253
- Rodríguez, W., Mazet, O., Grusea, S., Arredondo, A., Corujo, J. M., Boitard, S., & Chikhi, L.

(2018). The IICR and the non-stationary structured coalescent: towards demographic inference with arbitrary changes in population structure. *Heredity*, *121*(6), 663–678. doi: 10.1038/s41437-018-0148-0

- Slatkin, M., & Excoffier, L. (2012). Serial Founder Effects During Range Expansion: A Spatial Analog of Genetic Drift. *Genetics*, 191(1), 171–181. doi: 10.1534/genetics.112.139022
- Speed, C. W., Meekan, M. G., Field, I. C., McMahon, C. R., Harcourt, R. G., Stevens, J. D., ... Bradshaw, C. J. A. (2016). Reef shark movements relative to a coastal marine protected area. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, *3*, 58–66. doi: 10.1016/j.rsma.2015.05.002
- Steiner, C. C., Putnam, A. S., Hoeck, P. E. A., & Ryder, O. A. (2013). Conservation Genomics of Threatened Animal Species. Annual Review of Animal Biosciences, 1(1), 261–281. doi: 10.1146/annurev-animal-031412-103636
- Thioulouse, J., & Dray, S. (2007). Interactive multivariate data analysis in R with the ade4 and ade4TkGUI packages. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 22(5), 1–14. doi: 10.18637/jss.v022.i05
- van Etten, J. (2017). R package gdistance: Distances and routes on geographical grids. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 76(1). doi: 10.18637/jss.v076.i13
- White, T. D., Carlisle, A. B., Kroodsma, D. A., Block, B. A., Casagrandi, R., De Leo, G. A., ... McCauley, D. J. (2017). Assessing the effectiveness of a large marine protected area for reef shark conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 207, 64–71. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2017.01.009
- Whitney, N. M., Robbins, W. D., Schultz, J. K., Bowen, B. W., & Holland, K. N. (2012). Oceanic dispersal in a sedentary reef shark (Triaenodon obesus): Genetic evidence for extensive connectivity without a pelagic larval stage. *Journal of Biogeography*, 39(6), 1144–1156. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02660.x

Data availability statement

Fastq sequence files are available from the GenBank at the National Center for Biotechnology

Information short-read archive database (accession number: forthcoming).

Authors contribution

S.M. and P.L. conceived the project. S.P. provided reagents and samples. S.M. and P.L analysed the data and wrote the manuscript with input from S.P.

Tables

Table 1. Summary Statistics. Sample size (n), total number of loci (monomorphic included) (n_{loci}) and SNPs (n_{SNP}) , mean pairwise difference (θ_{π}) , Watterson theta (θ_w) , Tajima's *D* (TD) for all sampling sites (ranged from west to east).

Region	Group	Sampling site	n	n _{loci}	n _{SNP}	$\theta_{\pi}{}^{\dagger}$	$\theta_{\mathbf{w}}{}^{\dagger}$	TD^{\ddagger}
IND	IND	Juan	13	95027	45635	1.18	1.48	0.32
IND		Zelee	6	146858	62674	1.30	1.31	0.26
	CHE	Bampton	10	89958	82869	2.14	2.83	-0.22
COR§		Avond	5	125710	87817	2.10	2.15	-0.12
COR	NCA	Belep	7	120038	103258	2.30	2.64	-0.11
		Poindimie	5	107464	72995	2.07	2.09	-0.05
	РНО	Niku	21	49922	53349	2.02	3.28	-0.25
		McKean	7	112711	88258	2.13	2.41	-0.01
		Orona	11	81725	75423	2.15	2.84	-0.09
		Kanton	10	99720	87202	2.12	2.69	-0.05
		Birnie [¶]	2	-	-	-	-	-
CPA§		Enderbury	13	76314	72221	2.09	2.91	-0.12
CIA	PAL	Palmyra	40	34766	36380	1.64	3.22	-0.37
	POL	Moorea	5	104050	68380	2.03	2.02	0.02
		Fakarava	17	71715	66559	2.01	2.85	0.08
		Faaite [¶]	1	-	-	-	-	-
		Raraka¶	1	-	-	-	-	-
		Nengo¶	1	-	-	-	-	-

[†] Mean pairwise difference and Watterson theta are multiplied by a 10^3 factor.

[‡] Tajima's D values in bold are significant (P <0.001).

[§] COR and CPA regions are from the Pacific Ocean (PAC).

[¶] Summary statistics were not computed in sampling sites with n < 5.

	PP	Nm	T_{col}	Nanc
Juan	0.67	5.7	257800	21086
Juan	0.07	(1.77 - 17.72)	(8086 - 658471)	(399 - 52652)
Domaton	0.72	11.41	188782	45965
Батроп	0.75	(3.97 - 19.03)	(127761 - 577503)	(27556 - 49856)
Dalan	0.51	7.8	241218	49239
Бегер	0.31	(2.84 - 20.82)	(112840 - 843171)	(7346 - 56316)
Endonburg	0.65	8.36	197070	43602
Enderbury	0.03	(2.9 - 20.9)	(95260 - 678828)	(14665 - 51030)
IZ 4	0.7	8.16	257718	41236
Kanton	0.7	(2.84 - 16.55)	(118094 - 789320)	(2534 - 52613)
Mallann	0.6	7.09	621535	18881
McKean	0.6	(2.98 - 15.25)	(158650 - 836223)	(4968 - 51387)
NT'1	0.50	14.1	152035	43495
Niku	0.59	(3 - 30.55)	(66928 - 598129)	(9184 - 48625)
Orono	0.49	7.7	269621	41680
Orona	0.48	(2.93 - 15.31)	(137304 - 799518)	(4575 - 51152)
Dolmarmo	0.72	13.39	142756	32542
Palifiyra	0.75	(4.16 - 27.22)	(62402 - 445380)	(9502 - 37524)
Falzanava	0.72	10.2	256744	40502
гакагача	0.72	(2.68 - 15.34)	(110875 - 780150)	(3091 - 49533)
	Priors	$^{\dagger}U$ [0.0001 ; 100]	U[100;1500000]	U[100;100000]

Table 2. ABC estimation. Posterior probability (PP) of the Stepping Stone model (SST) and its parameters (median value and 95% credible interval in parentheses).

[†] The prior distribution of Nm is the product of two uniforms (one for N and one for m)

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Map of the sampling sites. From west to east, Indian Ocean (IND): Juan (n = 13) and Zelee (n = 6); Chesterfield islands (CHE): Bampton (n = 10) and Avond (n = 5), New Caledonia (NCA): Belep (n = 7) and Poindimie (n = 5); Phoenix islands (PHO): Niku (n = 21), Mckean (n = 7), Orona (n = 11), Kanton (n = 10), Birnie (n = 2) and Enderbury (n = 13); Palmyra (PAL, n = 40); French Polynesia (POL): Moorea (n = 5), Fakarava (n = 17), Faaite (n = 1), Raraka (n = 1), and Nengo (n = 1). Colours represent the region of origin of the sampling sites: Indian Ocean (IND, yellow), Coral Sea (COR, red) and Central Pacific Ocean (CAP, blue).

Figure 2. Demographic scenarios investigated in all populations with $N_{ind} \ge 7$ through an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) framework. Details on each scenario are presented in the main text.

Figure 3. Correlation map between genetic diversity (θ_{π}) and Least Cost (LC) distances when considering all sampling sites (upper panel) or only Pacific Ocean sampling sites (lower panel). Each cell is coloured according to the correlation coefficient value computed between θ_{π} and the LC distance from the putative origin of the range expansion (RE). The white area represents the most negative correlation values, i.e., the most likely origin of RE. The black cross represents the most likely origin of RE inferred using the directionality index. Black dots represent the sampling sites considered in the analysis.

Figure 4. Posterior distribution of the number of migrants per generation Nm (a) and of the colonisation time of the array of deme T_{col} (b) estimated under the stepping stone model (SST) for all sampling sites with $N_{ind} \ge 7$. Colours represent the origin of the populations: Indian Ocean (yellow), Chesterfield islands (red), New Caledonia (green), Phoenix islands (blue), Palmyra (cyan) and Polynesia (purple). Line types represent the different populations from the Phoenix islands: Enderbury (solid), Kanton (dashes), Mckean (dots), Niku (dot-dashes) and Orona (long-dashes). The prior distribution is coloured in grey.

Figure 5. Variation of the effective population size (*Ne*) through time and its 75% confidence interval estimated by the *stairwayplot* for sampling sites of $N_{ind} \ge 7$ in IND (a), COR (b) and CPA (c) regions. Colours represent the origin of the populations: Indian Ocean (yellow), Chesterfield islands (red), New Caledonia (green), Phoenix islands (blue), Palmyra (cyan) and Polynesia (purple). Line types represent the different populations from the Phoenix islands: Enderbury (solid), Kanton (dashes), Mckean (dots), Niku (dot-dashes) and Orona (long-dashes).

Figure 6. Individual-based population structure analyses. Ancestry proportions retrieved using the *sNMF* algorithm with K=2 and K=3 ancestral populations (a) and Principal Component Analysis (b).

Figure 7. Population-based population structure analyses computed with populations of $N_{ind} \ge 5$. Heat map representing the pairwise Reynold's F_{ST} values between sampling sites (a) and Isolation by distance (IBD) plot, with pairwise genetic distances ($F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$) plotted against geographic distances between Pacific sampling sites only (b).

Figures







Stepping Stone Model (SST)









