

Detection and determinants of *Leptospira* infection in rodents, cattle and humans in Muheza District, Tanzania: A One Health Appeal

Gamba Gerald Manyama¹, Gerald Dickson Mlowe¹, Athumani Lupindu¹, and Abdul Katakweba²

¹Sokoine University of Agriculture

²Sokoine University of Agriculture College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

October 23, 2024

Abstract

Interaction between humans, livestock, and wildlife plays an important role in zoonotic disease transmission. The emergence of *Leptospira* in humans, rodents, and cattle remains relatively understudied. A cross-sectional study was conducted between February and May 2023 in Muheza to determine evidence of *Leptospira* infection and associated risk factors in rodents, cattle, and humans. A total of 479 serum samples from rodents (n=201), humans (n=198), and cattle (n=80) were examined by using the Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) to detect antibodies against six live *Leptospira* stock culture serovars, including Pomona, Hebdomadis, Canicola, Grippotyphosa, Sokoine, and Lora. Additionally, a questionnaire survey was conducted on 140 respondents to establish potential risk factors for transmission of *Leptospira*. The overall *Leptospira* seroprevalence in rodents, cattle and humans was 6.0% (12/201; 95% CI: 3.12%-10.20 %), 12.5% (10/80; 95% CI: 6.16%-21.79%) and 13.13% (26/198; 95% CI: 8.76%-18.65%), respectively and the most predominant serovars were Grippotyphosa, Sokoine, and Hebdomadis. A significant difference in the seroprevalence was observed in the occupation category, with farmers likelier to be infected with leptospirosis than those in other categories ($\chi^2 = 9.19$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.027$). This study showed co-agglutination between rodents, cattle, and humans with serovars Hebdomadis, Sokoine, and Grippotyphosa. People aged 36-59 had the highest seropositivity, suggesting they are the most at-risk group. Our study shed light on pathogenic serovars circulating among humans, rodents, and cattle and their associated risk factors. It also highlights the importance of employing a One Health approach in controlling zoonotic disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and Sampling

The study, conducted from February to May 2023, enrolled 479 participants (humans, rodents, and cattle) for serum testing and 140 respondents for a questionnaire survey. Rodent trapping involved setting live traps in houses, farms, and peridomestic environments. Rodents were identified by species, and blood samples were collected from both rodents and cattle for serum separation.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study included patients of all ages with a fever who visited Teule Hospital and Ubwari Health Centre, live rodents, and cattle older than one year. Humans unwilling to consent were excluded from the study.

Rodents trapping

Rodent trapping was carried out in houses and around human dwellings, farms proximal to human settlements such as cultivated cropland by using Sherman live traps (7.5 × 9.0 × 23.0 cm) and locally made live traps

with wooden box wire mesh window (12 × 15 × 20cm) (Mlowe *et al.* , 2023). Captured rodents were collected, anesthetized with Diethyl Ether preserved with ethanol, and identified to species level using the established taxonomic nomenclature. Rodent morphometric data including weight, total length, tail length, hind foot length, and ear length were then recorded (Mgode *et al.* , 2019; Mgode *et al.* , 2021).

Blood sampling

From rodent captured, 1 to 2 ml of blood was aseptically collected from the heart puncture or plexus by using sterile syringes and needles. In cattle, which were randomly sampled from different herds, 4 to 10 ml of blood was aseptically collected from the jugular using sterile syringes and needles after proper manual animal restraint. In humans, the study involved individuals with febrile illness who were visiting Teule Hospital and Ubwari Health Center. A 2 to 4-ml blood sample was aseptically collected from each study subject by medical personnel using sterile syringes (Mgode *et al.*, 2019). Socio-demographic information of study participants, including sex, occupation, location, and age was recorded.

The samples were immediately transferred into plain vacutainer tubes and allowed to clot for serum separation at room temperature for at least 30 minutes. The samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm to increase the serum volume. The harvested sera were subsequently transferred into well-labeled Eppendorf tubes and then stored at -20°C until subjected to MAT. (Mgode *et al.* , 2019).

Testing

The Microscopic agglutination test (MAT) was used to detect antibodies against six *Leptospira* serovars: Pomona, Hebdomadis, Canicola, Grippotyphosa, Sokoine, and Lora. Serum samples were tested to determine seroprevalence, and the chi-square test was employed for statistical analysis.

Ethical approval

The research ethical clearance for conducting this study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Sokoine University of Agriculture (Ref. No. SUA/ADM/R.1/8/967) issued on 29th December 2022. The permission to conduct research in Muheza was obtained from the Medical Research Coordinating Committee of the National Institute for Medical Research with reference number NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol. IX/4269 issued on 18th April 2023. Moreover, before commencing data collection in the study area, authorization was granted from all local authorities including Muheza district's medical officer (DMO), and village chairmen. Human participants were verbally informed that their blood might be collected and tested for leptospirosis and verbal consent was obtained from them.

RESULTS

Seroprevalence of leptospiral antibodies in various rodent species captured in the study site

Leptospiral antibodies were detected in this study, whereby 201 rodents were tested. A seroprevalence of 6.0% (12/201; 95% CI: 3.12%-10.20 %). The highest infection rate was found in *Rattus rattus* at 4% (8/201) followed by *Mastomys natalensis* at 1.5% (3/201), and the lowest was in *Acomys* spp as shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Cattle Seroprevalence:

Out of 80 cattle sampled, 12.5% tested positive for leptospirosis, with no significant differences in seroprevalence based on sex, location, or grazing patterns.

Table 2

Human Seroprevalence:

Among 198 human participants, the seroprevalence was 13.13%. Farmers were the most affected occupation group, showing a significantly higher infection rate compared to other professions. Age was another significant factor, with individuals aged 36-59 years showing the highest rates of infection.

Table 3

Serovar Prevalence Across Species

The predominant serovars in rodents, cattle, and humans were Grippytyphosa, Sokoine, and Hebdomadis. Notably, co-infection with different serovars was observed across species. For example, humans and rodents exhibited co-infections with serovars Lora and Pomona.

Table 4

not-yet-known not-yet-known

not-yet-known

unknown

Table 5

DISCUSSION

This study provides crucial insights into the zoonotic transmission cycle of leptospirosis in the Muheza District. The detection of leptospirosis in both livestock and humans indicates that agricultural and livestock practices contribute to the endemicity of the disease. The high seroprevalence in rodents, particularly *Rattus rattus*, underscores their role as a significant reservoir, while cattle likely act as incidental hosts, furthering the transmission to humans. (Schoonman & Swai, 2009, 2010; Swai & Schoonman, 2012a; Motto *et al.*, 2023;).

In the present study, the seroprevalence of antibody-specific leptospiral antigens among humans, rodents, and cattle was examined by using MAT as the reference serological method (Niloofa *et al.*, 2015). The overall seroprevalence in rodents, humans, and cattle was 5.97%, 13.13% and 12.5% respectively. The difference could be due to the previous exposure to prophylactic use of antibiotics such as amoxicillin and ampicillin to treat dry cough and the use of doxycycline to treat stomach pain, explicitly patients attending hospitals within the study locality which acts as an antibacterial lowering prevalence of leptospirosis.

These findings revealed that the *Rattus rattus* is a potential reservoir of leptospirosis in Muheza district, as evidenced by the high infection rate among the rodents collected from various habitat types within study sites. This can be attributed to the high population in the area. But also, the fact that the species cohabits with humans and cattle, as it is mostly found in houses and peri-domestic could be another reason for being a potential reservoir of leptospirosis. Comparison of the seroprevalence of *Leptospira* serovars among rodent species showed statistical differences for habitat types and locations. The current finding resembles other studies conducted elsewhere in Tanzania, which also, unveiled the existence of leptospiral antibodies in *Rattus rattus* (*Katakweba et al.*, 2012; *Assenga et al.*, 2015; *Mgode et al.*, 2019; *Motto et al.*, 2021; *Mlowe et al.*, 2023) . Moreover, in rodents, the predominant serovar was Sokoine at 3.48% (n=7). The predominant of the serovar Sokoine in rodents may be attributed to their potential role as a source of leptospiral infection in humans and animals.

The variation in seroprevalence among different species and habitat types highlights the need for targeted interventions based on ecological and occupational factors. The finding that farmers are at the highest risk reinforces the importance of occupational health measures, such as protective clothing and improved hygiene practices, to reduce exposure. (Nthiwa *et al.*, 2019). This is in line with other previous studies that have highlighted farmers as being the highest risk groups for leptospirosis in parts of Tanzania and elsewhere (Schoonman & Swai, 2009; Hashemi *et al.*, 2021; Maze *et al.*, 2023). Other researchers highlighted various groups including dog keepers (Msemwa *et al.*, 2021), livestock keepers (Motto *et al.*, 2023), fishing communities (Mgode *et al.*, 2019), slaughter pigs (Ngugi *et al.*, 2019), slaughtered cattle (Swai & Schoonman, 2012b) as well as miners and sewage workers (Hashemi *et al.*, 2021) being the highest risk group for contracting leptospirosis.

Interestingly, in humans, the predominant serovar was Grippytyphosa followed by Sokoine. Other prevalent serovars included Hebdomadis, Pomona, and Lora, with none detected in Canicola. The predominant of Grippytyphosa in humans could be due to the high contact rate between humans and cattle within the study locality, especially during the milking stage. The predominance of Grippytyphosa in cattle may be due to their role as maintenance hosts for this serovar. Cattle are recognized as natural and maintenance hosts for serovar Grippytyphosa (Soares *et al.*, 2020). (Assenga *et al.*, 2015; Mgode *et al.* , 2021; Msemwa *et al.*, 2021; Majawa *et al.*, 2023; Mlowe *et al.*, 2023).

The study's identification of co-agglutination between rodents, cattle, and humans for multiple serovars further emphasizes the complex dynamics of leptospirosis transmission in multi-host systems. The shared environment, particularly water sources, likely plays a key role in maintaining the infection cycle between these species. Mgode *et al.* , 2021; Msemwa *et al.*, 2021; Majawa *et al.*, 2023).

The seroprevalence data for rodents, cattle, and humans in Muheza District is consistent with other studies conducted in Tanzania, such as in Katavi, where a high prevalence was reported in humans (29.96%), and in Tanga, where bovine leptospirosis was also prevalent (Motto *et al.* , 2023). These results underscore the importance of considering local environmental and socio-economic factors when developing control measures.

Limitations of the study.

In Africa, the diagnosis of leptospirosis using the Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) involves the recommended use of 10 serovars (Mirambo *et al.*, 2022). The current study may have underestimated the seroprevalence of *Leptospira* antibodies because only six serovars were included in the panel. Further studies should enhance diagnosis techniques.

However, our study was conducted only during the rainy season, as most cases of leptospirosis occur during this time. It is recommended that further studies be conducted during the dry season to help control rodents and reduce human and animal exposure to leptospirosis. Additionally, future research should include molecular characterization of leptospiral infection to identify species levels.

Conclusion and recommendations

Finally, the study advocates for a multisectoral One Health approach to control leptospirosis in the region. This would involve collaboration between public health, veterinary, agricultural, and wildlife sectors to implement effective surveillance and intervention strategies. The authors recommend further research to improve diagnostic methods and expand studies into different seasons and geographical areas to better understand the seasonal and spatial dynamics of the disease.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the financial support from the African Centre of Excellence for Innovative Rodent Pest Management and Biosensor Technology Development (ACE II IRPM-BTD), 5799/TZ, through which the accomplishment of this study was achievable. Muheza district council, in all divisions, in Tanga and Tanzania National Institute for Medical Research for providing research permits and support during the whole study period. We appreciate the support from the Institute of Pest Management, Sokoine University of Agriculture, for sample storage, and sample processing, Mr. Ginethon Mhamphi, and Mr. Omary for technical assistance during data collection and laboratory work. Finally, the author hands over special thanks to all Muheza residents for accepting to collect blood samples and use their animals as part of this study and for allowing us to set traps for rodents in their households.

Conflict of interest

We declare that no conflict of interest exists in this article.

Reference

Allan, K. J., Biggs, H. M., Halliday, J. E. B., & Kazwala, R. R. (2015). *Epidemiology of*

Leptospirosis in Africa: A Systematic Review of a Neglected Zoonosis and a Paradigm for One Health in Africa . 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003899>

Assenga, J. A., Matemba, L. E., Muller, S. K., Mhamphi, G. G., & Kazwala, R. R. (2015).

Predominant Leptospiral Serogroups Circulating among Humans, Livestock and Wildlife in Katavi-Rukwa Ecosystem, Tanzania. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* , 9(3), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003607>

Boey, K., Shiokawa, K., & Rajeev, S. (2019). Leptospira infection in rats: A literature review of global prevalence and distribution. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 13(8), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007499>

Costa, F., Hagan, J. E., Calcagno, J., Kane, M., Torgerson, P., Martinez-silveira, M. S., Stein, C., Abela-ridder, B., & Ko, A. I. (2015). *Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: Systematic Review* . 0–1 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003898>

Ellis, W. A. (2015). Animal Leptospirosis. In *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology* (Vol. 387). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45059-8_6

Hashemi, S. A., Arzamani, K., Abdollahpour, G., Beheshti, N., Alavinia, M., Azimian, A., Neela, V. K., van Belkum, A., & Ghasemzadeh-moghaddam, H. (2021). Seroprevalence of Leptospira infection in occupational risk groups in North Khorasan province, Iran. *Heliyon* ,7(1),e05983. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05983>

Katakweba, A. A. S., Mulungu, L. S., Eiseb, S. J., Mahlaba, T. A., Makundi, R. H., Massawe, A. W., Borremans, B., & Belmain, S. R. (2012). Prevalence of haemoparasites, leptospores, and coccobacilli with potential for human infection in the blood of rodents and shrews from selected localities in Tanzania, Namibia, and Swaziland. *African Zoology* , 47(1), 119–127. <https://doi.org/10.3377/004.047.0112>

Majawa, C. A., Lupindu, A. M., Mhamphi, G. G., Robert, S., & Katakweba, A. A. S. (n.d.).

Seroprevalence of Leptospira antibodies in rodents and shrews of Kibondo and Kakonko Districts, Kigoma region, Tanzania . 16, 55–74.

Masunga, D. S., Rai, A., Abbass, M., Uwishema, O., Wellington, J., Uweis, L., El Saleh, R., Arab, S., Onyeaka, C. V. P., & Onyeaka, H. (2022). Leptospirosis outbreak in Tanzania: An alarming situation. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, 80 (July).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104347>

Maze, M. J., Shirima, G. M., Lukambagire, A. H. S., Bodenham, R. F., Rubach, M. P., Cash- Goldwasser, S., Carugati, M., Thomas, K. M., Sakasaka, P., Mkenda, N., Allan, K. J., Kazwala, R. R., Mmbaga, B. T., Buza, J. J., Maro, V. P., Galloway, R. L., Haydon, D. T., Crump, J. A., & Halliday, J. E. B. (2023). Prevalence and risk factors for human leptospirosis at a hospital serving a pastoralist community, Endulen, Tanzania. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* , 17(12), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011855>

Mgode, G. F., Japhary, M. M., Mhamphi, G. G., Kiwelu, I., Athaide, I., & Machang'u, R. S. (2019). Leptospirosis in sugarcane plantation and fishing communities in Kagera northwestern Tanzania. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* , 13(5), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007225>

Mgode, G. F., Mhamphi, G. G., Massawe, A. W., & Machang'u, R. S. (2021). Leptospira Seropositivity in humans, livestock, and wild animals in a semi-arid area of Tanzania.

Pathogens , 10(6), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10060696>

Mlowe, G. D., Makundi, I., Katakweba, A. S., & Machang, R. (2023). *Seropositivity of Leptospira in rodents, shrews, and domestic animals in Unguja, Tanzania*. 6, 878–886.

- Motto, S. K., Hernandez-Castro, L. E., Shirima, G. M., Mengele, I. J., Bwatota, S. F., Bronsvort, B. M. de C., Lyatuu, E. T., Komwihangilo, D. M., & Cook, E. A. J. (2023). Seroprevalence of *Leptospira* serovar Hardjo and associated risk factors in smallholder dairy cattle in Tanzania. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *17* (4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011199>
- Motto, S. K., Shirima, G. M., de Clare Bronsvort, B. M., & Cook, E. A. J. (2021). Epidemiology of leptospirosis in Tanzania: A review of the current status, serogroup diversity and reservoirs. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *15*(1 1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009918>
- Msemwa, B., Mirambo, M. M., Silago, V., Samson, J. M., Majid, K. S., Mhamphi, G., Genchwere, J., Mwakabumbe, S. S., Mngumi, E. B., Mgode, G., & Mshana, S. E. (2021). *Existence of Similar Leptospira Serovars among Dog Keepers and Their Respective Dogs in Mwanza, Tanzania, the Need for a One Health Approach to Control Measures.*
- Ngugi, J. N., Fèvre, E. M., Mgode, G. F., Obonyo, M., Mhamphi, G. G., Otieno, C. A., Anne, E., & Cook, J. (2019). *Seroprevalence and associated risk factors of leptospirosis in slaughter pigs; a neglected public health risk, western Kenya* . 1–11.
- Niloofo, R., Fernando, N., De Silva, N. L., Karunanayake, L., Wickramasinghe, H., Dikmadugoda, N., Premawansa, G., Wickramasinghe, R., De Silva, H. J Premawansa, S., Rajapakse, S., & Handunnetti, S. (2015). Diagnosis of leptospirosis: Comparison between microscopic agglutination test, IgM-ELISA, and IgM rapid immunochromatography test. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(6), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129236>
- Nthiwa, D., Alonso, S., Odongo, D., Kenya, E., & Bett, B. (2019). Zoonotic Pathogen Seroprevalence in Cattle in a Wildlife–Livestock Interface, Kenya. *EcoHealth* , *16*(4), 712–725. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-019-01453-z>
- Schoonman, L., & Swai, E. S. (2009). Risk factors associated with the seroprevalence of Leptospirosis, amongst at-risk groups in and around Tanga city, Tanzania. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, *103*(8), 711–718. <https://doi.org/10.1179/000349809X12554106963393>
- Soares, P. M., Gomes, D. O., Macedo, F. P., Soares, M. M., Lemes, K. R., Jaeger, L. H., Lilenbaum, W., & Lima, A. M. C. (2020). Serological and molecular characterization of *Leptospira* Kirschner serogroup Grippotyphosa isolated from bovine in Brazil. *Microbial Pathogenesis* , *138*(September 2019), 103803. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103803>
- Swai, E. S., & Schoonman, L. (2012a). A survey of zoonotic diseases in trade cattle slaughtered at Tanga city abattoir: A cause of public health concern. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, *2*(1), 55–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691\(11\)60190-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(11)60190-1)
- The United Republic of Tanzania (URT). (2022). *Ministry of Finance and Planning, Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics and President’s Office - Finance and Planning, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar. The Population and Housing Census: Administrative Units Population Distribution.* 54.
- Torgerson, P. R., Hagan, J. E., Costa, F., Calcagno, J., Kane, M., Martinez-silveira, M. S., Goris, M. G. A., Stein, C., & Ko, A. I. (2015). *Global Burden of Leptospirosis: Estimated in Terms of Disability Adjusted Life Years* . 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004122>
- Vijayachari, P., Sugunan, A. P., & Shriram, A. N. (2008). Leptospirosis: An emerging public health problem. *Journal of Biosciences*, *33*(4), 557–569.

