

# Advancing HIV Diagnostics: Evaluating the Emerging Multisure HIV1/2 Rapid Confirmatory Test as an Alternative to the Traditional Gold Standard Assays

Ahmed Ismail<sup>1</sup>, Israa M. Salameh<sup>2</sup>, Nadin Younes<sup>2</sup>, Parveen B. Nizamuddin<sup>2</sup>, Salma Younes<sup>2</sup>, Sara Abdelmohsen<sup>3</sup>, Mazen N. Abouassali<sup>1</sup>, Manal Elshaikh<sup>1</sup>, Ibrahim W. Karimeh<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed A. Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, Mutaz M. Ali<sup>1</sup>, Ibrahim Al-Shaar<sup>1</sup>, Haris Ong<sup>4</sup>, Çiğdem S. Zhmurov<sup>5</sup>, HADI YASSINE<sup>2</sup>, Laith Abu-Raddad<sup>6</sup>, Houssein H. Ayoub<sup>7</sup>, and Gheyath Nasrallah<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ministry of Public Health Qatar

<sup>2</sup>Qatar University

<sup>3</sup>Qatar University College of Health Sciences

<sup>4</sup>MP Biomedicals Asia Pacific Pte Ltd

<sup>5</sup>TC Uskudar Universitesi

<sup>6</sup>Weill Cornell Medicine - Qatar

<sup>7</sup>Qatar University College of Arts and Sciences

February 14, 2025

## Abstract

**Background:** HIV remains a significant global health challenge, demanding routine testing for early detection. CLIA screening assay, particularly Architect HIV, followed by immunoblot confirmation assay such as INNO-LIA, is the standard procedure. However, due to indeterminate results and limitations of immunoblot assays, the CDC recommended utilizing Geenius HIV1/2 assay for confirmatory testing. This study compares two advanced HIV1/2 rapid tests as efficient alternatives for HIV confirmation. **Method:** 224 Architect HIV positive and HIV negative samples were utilized. These included true positives ( $n=38$ ; Architect positive & INNO-LIA positive), true negatives ( $n=139$ ; Architect negative & INNO-LIA negative), false positives ( $n=20$ ; Architect positive & INNO-LIA negative) and INNO-LIA indeterminate ( $n=27$ ). Samples were screened with Architect HIV and confirmed by INNO-LIA and PCR. All samples were re-tested by Multisure HIV1/2 and Geenius HIV1/2. Assessment performed via performance evaluation metrics. **Results:** Both rapid tests showed 100% sensitivity and specificity compared to INNO-LIA. For IND cases, Multisure HIV1/2 classified 81.5% as negative, while Geenius HIV1/2 classified 55.6%. Multisure had higher specificity (89.2%) and PPV (89.5%) than Geenius (82.9% and 84.6%) when compared to PCR. **Conclusion:** Multisure HIV1/2 is a reliable potential addition to the CDC algorithm as an alternative to immunoblot assays.

## Hosted file

Multisure Manuscript submission draft.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/603318/articles/1269107-advancing-hiv-diagnostics-evaluating-the-emerging-multisure-hiv1-2-rapid-confirmatory-test-as-an-alternative-to-the-traditional-gold-standard-assays>

