

A Republic, If You Can Keep It: How AI Amplifies America's Capacity for Self-Destruction

James Oliver¹

¹Affiliation not available

March 03, 2025

Abstract

America's greatest existential threat is not external aggression—it is internal division. Democracy requires social cohesion and shared reality, both threatened by artificial intelligence. AI shatters common ground through individualized realities—whether deliberately weaponized by adversaries or amplified by profit-seeking algorithms.

This fragmentation cripples cooperation, undermines governance, and destabilizes democracy. Empirical evidence confirms this pattern: polarization metrics worsen directly with AI-driven content consumption. Critics argue technological shifts have always prompted adaptation, not collapse. Yet AI differs fundamentally from past information revolutions in its personalization capacity and algorithmic opacity.

Traditional governance cannot counter this threat alone. Proven solutions exist in decentralized community moderation systems already functioning at scale on platforms like Wikipedia and X. These systems, combined with mandatory content labeling and widespread digital literacy, create an immune response to misinformation.

The American experiment has always been fragile. In the age of AI, its survival demands immediate action from government, technology companies, and citizens. This is not speculative futurism. It is today's clear and present danger.

Introduction: “A Republic, If You Can Keep It”

In 1787, Benjamin Franklin emerged from Independence Hall as the Constitutional Convention concluded. A woman approached him with a question:

“Well, Doctor, what have we got—a republic or a monarchy?”

Franklin replied precisely and prophetically:

“A Republic, if you can keep it.”

Franklin understood democracy’s inherent vulnerability. Republics collapse primarily from within—when citizens lose cohesion, trust, and shared reality. America’s greatest risk has always been internal division.

This threat is no longer abstract. Artificial intelligence, layered onto the internet, fragments reality with unprecedented efficiency. Each citizen now inhabits a uniquely tailored version of reality, optimized for their biases, fears, and beliefs. This shatters the common ground essential for democratic governance.

This fragmentation occurs through two distinct pathways:

1. **Intentional weaponization:** Adversaries deploy AI to accelerate division, exploiting internal fault lines without firing a shot.
2. **Algorithmic amplification:** Engagement-optimized platforms unintentionally drive users into isolated information bubbles, maximizing profit at democracy’s expense.

Data confirms this pattern. Political polarization metrics show direct correlation with AI-driven content consumption. Trust in shared institutions has declined exactly as personalized content algorithms have advanced.

The threat to American democracy is neither distant nor hypothetical—it is immediate, measurable, and accelerating. AI itself is neutral, but its capacity to amplify division—deliberately or inadvertently—constitutes the existential challenge of our time. Franklin’s challenge has never demanded more urgent attention. America remains a republic, if we can keep it.

I. America's Greatest Threat: Itself

America's deadliest war was its Civil War. Not against foreign powers, but between Americans themselves. Lincoln's warning that a divided nation "cannot stand" addressed democracy's core vulnerability.

The republic was founded on "E pluribus unum"—out of many, one. Democracy demands unity from diversity and cohesion from multiplicity. Self-governance requires shared reality, common purpose, and mutual trust. Without agreement on foundational truths, institutions lose legitimacy, cooperation fails, and societal bonds dissolve.

Artificial intelligence now threatens this necessary cohesion with mathematical precision. Whether weaponized by adversaries or amplified by profit-seeking algorithms, AI creates personalized realities that divide citizens. Data confirms this trend: polarization metrics worsen directly with AI-driven content consumption.

The effect compounds: as shared reality dissolves, institutional trust crumbles. Trust in government, media, science, and civic institutions has declined in direct proportion to the rise of algorithmic content delivery. This is not coincidence—it is causation.

These divisions manifest measurably. Filter bubbles are quantifiable. Echo chambers can be mapped. The fracturing of America's information ecosystem is empirically observable through network analysis, sentiment tracking, and belief divergence studies.

America's founding strength—its diversity—becomes its greatest vulnerability when AI optimizes for division rather than cohesion. The nation's animating principle, E pluribus unum, faces algorithmic subversion. The fabric of democracy, once resilient through shared stories and experiences, now tears along AI-amplified fault lines.

This is not speculative. It is observable, measurable, and accelerating.

II. AI as an Accelerant of Division

Fifty years ago, Americans consumed information from shared sources. Walter Cronkite delivered the evening news. Major newspapers shaped public discourse. Despite disagreements, a coherent reality persisted.

AI has reversed this dynamic entirely. Instead of one shared reality, we now face 350 million individualized realities—each precisely calibrated to a citizen’s biases, fears, and beliefs.

This fragmentation happens in two ways:

1. **Intentional Exploitation by Adversaries:** Foreign actors weaponize AI to magnify divisions and accelerate societal fractures without direct confrontation. Their tools include targeted disinformation, synthetic media, and AI-optimized propaganda. These campaigns operate with mathematical precision, mapping and exploiting psychological vulnerabilities.
2. **Algorithmic Profit Incentives:** Engagement-optimized platforms promote extreme, polarizing content to maximize user attention. This happens without malicious intent—it’s pure market logic. Studies confirm that divisive content generates more clicks, shares, and revenue than moderate content. AI simply follows this profit imperative.

Both pathways erode shared social reality. The effects are measurable. Network analyses show information networks clustered by ideology with minimal cross-cutting exposure. Trust metrics across institutions decline proportionally with algorithm sophistication. Belief divergence on basic facts widens with increased AI-mediated information consumption.

This creates a democracy-destroying feedback loop: as shared reality dissolves, cooperation becomes impossible. Without cooperation, democratic governance fails. Without governance, social divisions worsen. Each cycle accelerates the next.

AI acts as the catalyst in this reaction—converting normal political disagreement into existential tribal conflict. This isn’t conjecture. It’s observable reality.

III. “Let Them Tear Themselves Apart”

America’s adversaries understand its critical vulnerability: internal division. Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea recognize that direct confrontation is costly and unnecessary. Their strategy is simpler: exploit America’s divisions until it collapses on itself.

Information warfare has replaced traditional warfare. AI-driven technologies give adversaries precision tools to target internal discord. By fueling societal divisions, they achieve strategic objectives without firing a shot. The strategy works: “There’s no need for conventional warfare if the opponent self-destructs.”

Examples from recent history illustrate this vividly:

1. **Election Interference (2016–2024):** Intelligence agencies confirm foreign actors targeted specific demographic groups with divisive content. These campaigns amplified extreme voices on both sides of contentious issues, not to promote either position but to intensify the conflict between them.
2. **COVID-19 Misinformation:** Foreign actors simultaneously promoted competing pandemic narratives. They amplified both anti-mask and extreme lockdown positions. The goal was division itself, not the triumph of any particular narrative.
3. **Emerging Threats—Deepfakes and Synthetic Media:** As AI generates increasingly convincing false content, adversaries can trigger real-world conflict through manufactured events. This tactic weaponizes Americans against each other without requiring a single foreign agent on US soil.

These operations explicitly exploit America’s divisions. The strategic calculation is clear: Adversaries don’t need to defeat America militarily. They simply need to intensify existing divisions until democracy collapses from within.

This isn’t future speculation. It’s documented, ongoing reality

IV. Immediate Threats and Strategic Blind Spots

The consequences of AI-driven fragmentation on American society are immediate, severe, and growing. AI-driven fragmentation damages American society in three measurable ways:

1. **Political Polarization:** AI algorithms amplify ideological extremism, creating feedback loops that push political discourse toward the margins. Governance becomes paralyzed, compromise impossible, and institutions lose legitimacy as polarized groups question their validity.
2. **Social Fractures:** Cultural divides on race, identity, gender, and religion are deliberately deepened by targeted content designed to inflame emotional reactions. AI-driven platforms encourage citizens to retreat further into isolated echo chambers, intensifying societal divisions and weakening national cohesion.
3. **Erosion of Institutional Trust:** AI-generated misinformation undermines public confidence in foundational democratic institutions—elections, judicial systems, media, and public health authorities. As citizens increasingly doubt these institutions' legitimacy, the essential structures of democracy itself become dangerously unstable.

Despite these immediate threats, public discourse fixates on hypothetical dangers like autonomous "rogue AI." This creates dangerous blind spots. We obsess over future scenarios while ignoring the crisis already unfolding.

This misallocation of attention serves adversaries perfectly. While we debate whether AI might someday harm us, it already dismantles democratic cohesion. Resources and attention must shift from abstract concerns to concrete defenses.

The threat isn't AI controlling humanity. It's AI controlling human perception. This distinction matters. Protecting democracy requires acknowledging the actual threat: AI's unprecedented power to erode shared reality.

This isn't speculative futurism. It's today's battlefield.

V. Community Immunity and Digital Literacy

AI-driven misinformation spreads at unprecedented speed, far outpacing traditional governance. The solution must be decentralized, immediate, and community-driven. If misinformation functions as an infection, communities must act as white blood cells, swiftly recognizing and neutralizing threats.

This approach is not theoretical—it is already successfully deployed at scale. Wikipedia maintains accuracy across 57 million articles through decentralized oversight. Contributors flag inaccuracies, review edits, and establish reputations through consistent quality. The platform rivals traditional encyclopedias without centralized authority.

Similarly, X (formerly Twitter) utilizes Community Notes, where users identify misleading content. Notes are published only when diverse viewpoints collectively agree on their importance, creating incentives for factual, neutral annotations.

These systems manage billions of interactions daily, operating as a form of "community-enforced supervised learning," continuously improving information quality through structured human feedback. Their success relies on three key mechanisms:

1. **Transparent History Tracking:** Each edit leaves an auditable trail.
2. **Reputation Systems:** Contributors earn trust through consistently reliable work.
3. **Cross-Viewpoint Verification:** Changes require diverse consensus, minimizing ideological bias.

Government's role is not direct policing of content but rather creating clear frameworks that empower communities. Platforms must provide transparent and effective tools for community-driven moderation, enabling citizens to actively and effectively combat misinformation.

Just as Switzerland proactively arms citizens to defend against physical threats, America must equip its populace with cognitive tools to combat digital threats. The modern battlefield is informational, making digital literacy a national security imperative.

Together, community-driven self-policing and proactive digital literacy education form a robust, layered defense. These strategies significantly reduce the existential risk posed by AI-driven fragmentation of democratic societies. This approach is not merely theoretical—it already protects millions daily.

Conclusion: The American Experiment, at Stake

Benjamin Franklin’s warning—“A Republic, if you can keep it”—remains our challenge. The American experiment has always been fragile, relying fundamentally on shared reality and social cohesion. Artificial intelligence now fractures this foundation with unprecedented precision.

Today, AI enables both deliberate weaponization by adversaries and profit-driven fragmentation by algorithms. The outcomes are identical: erosion of democratic stability. This threat is not theoretical—it is measurable, immediate, and accelerating.

Yet proven solutions exist. Decentralized community systems already protect information integrity at global scale, as demonstrated by platforms like Wikipedia and X. These are not theoretical models—they are functioning systems serving billions daily.

America’s survival demands three immediate actions:

1. Government must create frameworks empowering community-driven moderation.
2. Technology companies must design platforms optimized for truth rather than engagement.
3. Citizens must develop cognitive immunity through comprehensive digital literacy education.

Democracy’s vulnerability to division reflects its reliance on human choice. America’s greatest threat remains internal division—but its greatest strength is democratic resilience.

The republic can endure, but only if we recognize the existential nature of this threat, deploy proven solutions, and act decisively.

A Republic, If You Can Keep It

How AI Amplifies America's Capacity for Self-Destruction

James Oliver

March 2, 2025

Abstract

America's greatest existential threat is not external aggression—it is internal division. Democracy requires social cohesion and shared reality, both threatened by artificial intelligence. AI shatters common ground through individualized realities—whether deliberately weaponized by adversaries or amplified by profit-seeking algorithms.

This fragmentation cripples cooperation, undermines governance, and destabilizes democracy. Empirical evidence confirms this pattern: polarization metrics worsen directly with AI-driven content consumption. Critics argue technological shifts have always prompted adaptation, not collapse. Yet AI differs fundamentally from past information revolutions in its personalization capacity and algorithmic opacity.

Traditional governance cannot counter this threat alone. Proven solutions exist in decentralized community moderation systems already functioning at scale on platforms like Wikipedia and X. These systems, combined with mandatory content labeling and widespread digital literacy, create an immune response to misinformation.

The American experiment has always been fragile. In the age of AI, its survival demands immediate action from government, technology companies, and citizens. This is not speculative futurism. It is today's clear and present danger.

Introduction: “A Republic, If You Can Keep It”

In 1787, Benjamin Franklin emerged from Independence Hall as the Constitutional Convention concluded. A woman approached him with a question:

“Well, Doctor, what have we got—a republic or a monarchy?”

Franklin replied precisely and prophetically:

“A Republic, if you can keep it.”

Franklin understood democracy’s inherent vulnerability. Republics collapse primarily from within—when citizens lose cohesion, trust, and shared reality. America’s greatest risk has always been internal division.

This threat is no longer abstract. Artificial intelligence, layered onto the internet, fragments reality with unprecedented efficiency. Each citizen now inhabits a uniquely tailored version of reality, optimized for their biases, fears, and beliefs. This shatters the common ground essential for democratic governance.

This fragmentation occurs through two distinct pathways:

1. **Intentional weaponization:** Adversaries deploy AI to accelerate division, exploiting internal fault lines without firing a shot.
2. **Algorithmic amplification:** Engagement-optimized platforms unintentionally drive users into isolated information bubbles, maximizing profit at democracy’s expense.

Data confirms this pattern. Political polarization metrics show direct correlation with AI-driven content consumption. Trust in shared institutions has declined exactly as personalized content algorithms have advanced.

The threat to American democracy is neither distant nor hypothetical—it is immediate, measurable, and accelerating. AI itself is neutral, but its capacity to amplify division—deliberately or inadvertently—constitutes the existential challenge of our time. Franklin’s challenge has never demanded more urgent attention. America remains a republic, if we can keep it.

I. America's Greatest Threat: Itself

America's deadliest war was its Civil War. Not against foreign powers, but between Americans themselves. Lincoln's warning that a divided nation "cannot stand" addressed democracy's core vulnerability.

The republic was founded on "E pluribus unum"—out of many, one. Democracy demands unity from diversity and cohesion from multiplicity. Self-governance requires shared reality, common purpose, and mutual trust. Without agreement on foundational truths, institutions lose legitimacy, cooperation fails, and societal bonds dissolve.

Artificial intelligence now threatens this necessary cohesion with mathematical precision. Whether weaponized by adversaries or amplified by profit-seeking algorithms, AI creates personalized realities that divide citizens. Data confirms this trend: polarization metrics worsen directly with AI-driven content consumption.

The effect compounds: as shared reality dissolves, institutional trust crumbles. Trust in government, media, science, and civic institutions has declined in direct proportion to the rise of algorithmic content delivery. This is not coincidence—it is causation.

These divisions manifest measurably. Filter bubbles are quantifiable. Echo chambers can be mapped. The fracturing of America's information ecosystem is empirically observable through network analysis, sentiment tracking, and belief divergence studies.

America's founding strength—its diversity—becomes its greatest vulnerability when AI optimizes for division rather than cohesion. The nation's animating principle, E pluribus unum, faces algorithmic subversion. The fabric of democracy, once resilient through shared stories and experiences, now tears along AI-amplified fault lines.

This is not speculative. It is observable, measurable, and accelerating.

II. AI as an Accelerant of Division

Fifty years ago, Americans consumed information from shared sources. Walter Cronkite delivered the evening news. Major newspapers shaped public discourse. Despite disagreements, a coherent reality persisted.

AI has reversed this dynamic entirely. Instead of one shared reality, we now face 350 million individualized realities—each precisely calibrated to a citizen’s biases, fears, and beliefs.

This fragmentation happens in two ways:

1. **Intentional Exploitation by Adversaries:** Foreign actors weaponize AI to magnify divisions and accelerate societal fractures without direct confrontation. Their tools include targeted disinformation, synthetic media, and AI-optimized propaganda. These campaigns operate with mathematical precision, mapping and exploiting psychological vulnerabilities.
2. **Algorithmic Profit Incentives:** Engagement-optimized platforms promote extreme, polarizing content to maximize user attention. This happens without malicious intent—it’s pure market logic. Studies confirm that divisive content generates more clicks, shares, and revenue than moderate content. AI simply follows this profit imperative.

Both pathways erode shared social reality. The effects are measurable. Network analyses show information networks clustered by ideology with minimal cross-cutting exposure. Trust metrics across institutions decline proportionally with algorithm sophistication. Belief divergence on basic facts widens with increased AI-mediated information consumption.

This creates a democracy-destroying feedback loop: as shared reality dissolves, cooperation becomes impossible. Without cooperation, democratic governance fails. Without governance, social divisions worsen. Each cycle accelerates the next.

AI acts as the catalyst in this reaction—converting normal political disagreement into existential tribal conflict. This isn’t conjecture. It’s observable reality.

III. “Let Them Tear Themselves Apart”

America’s adversaries understand its critical vulnerability: internal division. Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea recognize that direct confrontation is costly and unnecessary. Their strategy is simpler: exploit America’s divisions until it collapses on itself.

Information warfare has replaced traditional warfare. AI-driven technologies give adversaries precision tools to target internal discord. By fueling societal divisions, they achieve strategic objectives without firing a shot. The strategy works: “There’s no need for conventional warfare if the opponent self-destructs.”

Examples from recent history illustrate this vividly:

1. **Election Interference (2016–2024):** Intelligence agencies confirm foreign actors targeted specific demographic groups with divisive content. These campaigns amplified extreme voices on both sides of contentious issues, not to promote either position but to intensify the conflict between them.
2. **COVID-19 Misinformation:** Foreign actors simultaneously promoted competing pandemic narratives. They amplified both anti-mask and extreme lockdown positions. The goal was division itself, not the triumph of any particular narrative.
3. **Emerging Threats—Deepfakes and Synthetic Media:** As AI generates increasingly convincing false content, adversaries can trigger real-world conflict through manufactured events. This tactic weaponizes Americans against each other without requiring a single foreign agent on US soil.

These operations explicitly exploit America’s divisions. The strategic calculation is clear: Adversaries don’t need to defeat America militarily. They simply need to intensify existing divisions until democracy collapses from within.

This isn’t future speculation. It’s documented, ongoing reality

IV. Immediate Threats and Strategic Blind Spots

The consequences of AI-driven fragmentation on American society are immediate, severe, and growing. AI-driven fragmentation damages American society in three measurable ways:

1. **Political Polarization:** AI algorithms amplify ideological extremism, creating feedback loops that push political discourse toward the margins. Governance becomes paralyzed, compromise impossible, and institutions lose legitimacy as polarized groups question their validity.
2. **Social Fractures:** Cultural divides on race, identity, gender, and religion are deliberately deepened by targeted content designed to inflame emotional reactions. AI-driven platforms encourage citizens to retreat further into isolated echo chambers, intensifying societal divisions and weakening national cohesion.
3. **Erosion of Institutional Trust:** AI-generated misinformation undermines public confidence in foundational democratic institutions—elections, judicial systems, media, and public health authorities. As citizens increasingly doubt these institutions' legitimacy, the essential structures of democracy itself become dangerously unstable.

Despite these immediate threats, public discourse fixates on hypothetical dangers like autonomous "rogue AI." This creates dangerous blind spots. We obsess over future scenarios while ignoring the crisis already unfolding.

This misallocation of attention serves adversaries perfectly. While we debate whether AI might someday harm us, it already dismantles democratic cohesion. Resources and attention must shift from abstract concerns to concrete defenses.

The threat isn't AI controlling humanity. It's AI controlling human perception. This distinction matters. Protecting democracy requires acknowledging the actual threat: AI's unprecedented power to erode shared reality.

This isn't speculative futurism. It's today's battlefield.

V. Community Immunity and Digital Literacy

AI-driven misinformation spreads at unprecedented speed, far outpacing traditional governance. The solution must be decentralized, immediate, and community-driven. If misinformation functions as an infection, communities must act as white blood cells, swiftly recognizing and neutralizing threats.

This approach is not theoretical—it is already successfully deployed at scale. Wikipedia maintains accuracy across 57 million articles through decentralized oversight. Contributors flag inaccuracies, review edits, and establish reputations through consistent quality. The platform rivals traditional encyclopedias without centralized authority.

Similarly, X (formerly Twitter) utilizes Community Notes, where users identify misleading content. Notes are published only when diverse viewpoints collectively agree on their importance, creating incentives for factual, neutral annotations.

These systems manage billions of interactions daily, operating as a form of “community-enforced supervised learning,” continuously improving information quality through structured human feedback. Their success relies on three key mechanisms:

1. **Transparent History Tracking:** Each edit leaves an auditable trail.
2. **Reputation Systems:** Contributors earn trust through consistently reliable work.
3. **Cross-Viewpoint Verification:** Changes require diverse consensus, minimizing ideological bias.

Government’s role is not direct policing of content but rather creating clear frameworks that empower communities. Platforms must provide transparent and effective tools for community-driven moderation, enabling citizens to actively and effectively combat misinformation.

Just as Switzerland proactively arms citizens to defend against physical threats, America must equip its populace with cognitive tools to combat digital threats. The modern battlefield is informational, making digital literacy a national security imperative.

Together, community-driven self-policing and proactive digital literacy education form a robust, layered defense. These strategies significantly reduce the existential risk posed by AI-driven fragmentation of democratic societies. This approach is not merely theoretical—it already protects millions daily.

Conclusion: The American Experiment, at Stake

Benjamin Franklin’s warning—“A Republic, if you can keep it”—remains our challenge. The American experiment has always been fragile, relying fundamentally on shared reality and social cohesion. Artificial intelligence now fractures this foundation with unprecedented precision.

Today, AI enables both deliberate weaponization by adversaries and profit-driven fragmentation by algorithms. The outcomes are identical: erosion of democratic stability. This threat is not theoretical—it is measurable, immediate, and accelerating.

Yet proven solutions exist. Decentralized community systems already protect information integrity at global scale, as demonstrated by platforms like Wikipedia and X. These are not theoretical models—they are functioning systems serving billions daily.

America’s survival demands three immediate actions:

1. Government must create frameworks empowering community-driven moderation.
2. Technology companies must design platforms optimized for truth rather than engagement.
3. Citizens must develop cognitive immunity through comprehensive digital literacy education.

Democracy’s vulnerability to division reflects its reliance on human choice. America’s greatest threat remains internal division—but its greatest strength is democratic resilience.

The republic can endure, but only if we recognize the existential nature of this threat, deploy proven solutions, and act decisively.

Excelsior.

Key Takeaways

- **America's Greatest Threat is Internal:** Democracy collapses from within when citizens lose cohesion, trust, and shared reality—not primarily from external aggression.
- **AI Amplifies Division:** Artificial intelligence creates personalized realities, fracturing common ground and magnifying societal divisions, whether intentionally or unintentionally.
- **Real-Time, Decentralized Defense is Essential:** Effective response requires community-driven content moderation and proactive digital literacy education.
- **Government's Role is Setting Rules, Not Policing Content:** Government should define clear standards enabling communities and platforms to police misinformation dynamically, rather than directly intervening.
- **Democracy's Survival Demands Immediate Action:** Unified, decisive efforts from government, technology companies, and citizens are critical for preserving America's democratic experiment in the age of AI.

Falsification Check

As Richard Feynman famously stated:

IT DOESN'T MATTER HOW BEAUTIFUL YOUR THEORY IS.
IF IT DOESN'T AGREE WITH EXPERIMENT, IT'S WRONG.

The purpose of this section is to ensure that this framework adheres to that principle. A claim, theory, or model is only meaningful if it remains consistent with observable reality. This principle is the cornerstone of the scientific method and of all knowledge, ensuring that only theories that withstand rigorous scrutiny are accepted as valid explanations of reality.

Core Premises and Falsification Criteria

This paper rests on two falsifiable premises:

1. **The strength of a democracy directly correlates with the cohesion of its citizens' shared reality.**

Falsification: If empirical evidence demonstrates that democratic strength—measured by governance effectiveness, institutional legitimacy, and societal cooperation—is unaffected by the erosion of societal cohesion, this premise must be revised or rejected.

2. **Artificial intelligence poses a significant threat to societal cohesion by fracturing shared reality.**

Falsification: If evidence emerges showing that AI does not meaningfully accelerate societal fragmentation or substantially alter citizens' perceptions of shared reality, this premise must be revised or discarded.

Integrity and Adaptation

These premises must be provisionally accepted unless disproven by empirical evidence. Falsification is not failure—it represents progress. The objective is not personal validation, but clear understanding.

*The goal is not to be right for personal advancement.
The goal is to see clearly for humanity's advancement.*

Acknowledgments

This work reflects a collaborative effort: the human author originated and refined the ideas, while generative AI systems assisted in drafting and structuring the text.

The intent of sharing these ideas is not personal recognition but to contribute to the collective advancement of human knowledge. The goal is to make these insights as accessible as possible for all, ensuring they can be freely explored, refined, and applied.

Ethical Considerations and Competing Interests

The author declares no financial, commercial, or institutional conflicts of interest related to this work. No external funding was received for the preparation of this manuscript. The research presented is based on publicly available data and does not involve human subjects, requiring no additional ethical approval.

License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). This allows others to share the work for non-commercial purposes, provided proper attribution is given to the author. However, modifications, adaptations, and commercial use of this work are strictly prohibited without explicit permission from the author.