

1 **PROLONGED COVID-19 INFECTION IN A CHILD WITH LYMPHOBLASTIC NON**  
2 **HODGKIN LYMPHOMA: WHICH IS THE BEST MANAGEMENT?**

3 Giovanna Gattuso<sup>1</sup>, Elisabetta Schiavello<sup>1</sup>, Chiara Oltolini<sup>2</sup>, Veronica Biassoni<sup>1</sup>, Monica  
4 Terenziani<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Chiaravalli<sup>1</sup>, Marta Giorgia Podda<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Meazza<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Luksch<sup>1</sup>,  
5 Andrea Ferrari<sup>1</sup>, Michela Casanova<sup>1</sup>, Giovanna Sironi<sup>1</sup>, Luca Bergamaschi<sup>1</sup>, Nadia Puma<sup>1</sup>, Filippo  
6 Spreafico<sup>1</sup>, Maura Massimino<sup>1</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup> Pediatric Oncology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

8 <sup>2</sup> Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Division of Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases,  
9 San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

10

11 Giovanna Gattuso MD

12 Pediatric Oncology Unit,

13 Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Via G. Venezian, 1 -20133 Milan, Italy

14 Phone: +39 02 23902588; Fax: +39 02 23902648

15 e-mail: [giovanna.gattuso@istitutotumori.mi.it](mailto:giovanna.gattuso@istitutotumori.mi.it)

16

17 --Word Count: abstract 96; main text 1191

18 --Figures: 2

19 --Short running title: Prolonged COVID-19 in a child with lymphoma

20 --Keywords: COVID-19, lymphoma, children, prolonged infection, treatments

21 --Abbreviations key:

CP	Convalescent plasma
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019

22

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 During SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, oncologists manage patients at higher risk of having a severe  
25 course of this infection. This raises new questions about their correct management, as well as the  
26 difficulty of distinguishing tumor/treatments complications from those related to Coronavirus  
27 disease 2019 (COVID-19).

28 We report a case of an 11 year-old boy undergoing treatment for T cell lymphoblastic lymphoma  
29 who experienced a prolonged SARS-CoV-2 infection. Oncological therapy was continued without  
30 significant changes compared to the initially planned treatment. No relevant complications  
31 occurred. COVID-19 convalescent plasma (CP) was administered, resulting in a positive antibody  
32 titer after 24 days.

33

34 **INTRODUCTION**

35 SARS-CoV-2 pandemic led healthcare professionals to face new challenges. Oncologists have to  
36 manage patients with cancer, known to be at higher risk of severe COVID-19.<sup>1-3</sup> Although it is  
37 recognized that children have a more favorable course of the disease from SARS-CoV-2,<sup>4</sup> pediatric  
38 patients with cancer have potentially a higher risk of morbidity and mortality from viral respiratory  
39 infections.<sup>1,5</sup> Since a consistent proportion of children and adolescents with cancer are potentially  
40 curable, a key issue in case of SARS-CoV-2 infections how to balance the risk of  
41 immunosuppression due to oncological treatments and the risk of tumor failure in case of delay or  
42 major treatment deviations.<sup>6</sup>

43 International guidelines that help clinicians to manage these situations have recently been drawn up,  
44 as well as some works of reaction to the pandemic's initial experiences.<sup>7,8</sup>

45 For each positive patient a careful balance between the aggressiveness of the oncological disease  
46 and the risk and severity of the viral infection should however be made.<sup>9</sup>

47

48    **CASE DESCRIPTION**

49    We report the case of a 11-year-old male with a T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma (stage III St.Jude's  
50    - Murphy's) treated with intravenous and intrathecal polichemotherapy according to the Italian  
51    guidelines (after the EURO-LB02 Protocol<sup>10</sup>) from October 2020.

52    On November 9, 2020, he performed a naso-pharyngeal surveillance molecular test, that resulted  
53    positive for SARS-CoV-2 (the contemporary anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer was negative).

54    Despite his good clinical conditions, because of known significant immunosuppression, he was  
55    hospitalized in order to continue the induction phase (Fig.1a) in a safer setting knowing that  
56    induction is the most intense part of the treatment and with the greatest risk of infectious  
57    complications.<sup>10</sup>

58    No antibiotic nor antiviral drugs were administered except for the continuation of usual prophylaxis  
59    (oral trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and acyclovir).

60    However, in order to reduce the risk of possible severe COVID-19, the patient received CP on days  
61    11 and 12 from the first positive swab.

62    He did not developed SARS-CoV-2-related symptoms, nor signs of severe organ disease. We could  
63    not find however an explanation for a transient episode of acute pancreatitis associated with  
64    hypertransaminasemia and for a prolonged antithrombin III deficiency after the Peg-Asparaginase  
65    administration. Furthermore a CT scan performed for other indication showed basal bilateral  
66    parenchymal disventilative areas without any evidence of ground-glass opacities.

67    The anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer (total IgG-IgM) was found finally positive 24 days after  
68    plasma administration.

69    After 47 days of hospitalization, the patient completed the induction phase and was discharged.

70    Due to the previous pancreatic and hepatic toxicity and the concomitant and persistent SARS-CoV-  
71    2 positivity we decided to reduce the dose of methotrexate (3 g/m<sup>2</sup>) for the first administration  
72    (protocol M) (Fig.1b), while, given the absence of toxicity, the subsequent courses were

administered at full doses but reducing the infusion time to 6 hours instead of 24; the clearance of the drug was normal and no toxicity was observed.

At the time of writing this report the child is still on treatment and the COVID-19 swab has just become negative, 107 days after the first positive swab.

## **DISCUSSION**

We have described the case of a child with an aggressive lymphoma and protracted SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The concomitance of these two conditions aroused several problems: did the immunosuppression expose our patient to the risk of a severe infection? Continuing chemotherapy had more advantages or disadvantages? Using one of the therapies suggested for severe COVID-19 infection would have been of some help? How to distinguish between the comorbidities related to the tumor and chemotherapy from those related to infection?

A large multicenter Italian study on COVID-19 in 759 children revealed that older age (>5 years old) and underlying chronic diseases (including cancers) are risk factors for symptomatic COVID-19.<sup>11</sup> However, the few data on pediatric cancer population seem to suggest that in these subjects, compared to adults, COVID-19 appears with a lower severity or even asymptomatic. Although the limited information do not yet allow to draw up guidelines on pediatric oncological treatments, the literature reports on different experiences in SARS-CoV-2-positive children who continued chemotherapy.<sup>5,12,13</sup>

A case series on 15 Spanish children with cancer and COVID-19 reported a mild course of the disease, with only 13% requiring oxygen and a few receiving specific therapies; interestingly, 60% of patients did not delay chemotherapy.<sup>12</sup> Conversely, a recent study of the French Society of Pediatric Oncology reported a less encouraging experience on 37 patients with cancer and COVID-19: 76% patients were symptomatic for SARS-2-CoV infection and 65% had received

98 chemotherapy a month prior to COVID-19 diagnosis. 14% required intensive care unit admission  
99 because of COVID-19 (2/5 had undergone autologous stem cell transplantation within 2 months  
100 before COVID-19 diagnosis) and one died.<sup>13</sup>

101 It must be considered that the immunosuppression could be associated with a prolonged infection  
102 and delayed viral clearance,<sup>14-16</sup> so waiting the negativization of the swab to resume treatments  
103 could lead to significant delays and reductions in dose density/intensity that is crucial for many  
104 pediatric cancers. If children and young adults treated for cancer may be at risk for severe COVID-  
105 19 disease, and should be closely monitored, it seems also desirable to continue oncological  
106 treatments to prevent any delay which can negatively affect the prognosis.<sup>6</sup>

107 In our patient management the absence of virus-related symptoms prevented us from starting any  
108 specific treatment for COVID-19 at the time of its diagnosis. We decided however to administer  
109 CP, even if the data on its efficacy were discordant.<sup>17-19</sup> The state of immunodepression justified the  
110 late antibody response.<sup>20</sup> Several trials fail to demonstrate the clinical improvement by CP  
111 administration if compared to placebo arm;<sup>19,21</sup> a recent report showed instead that an early  
112 administration of high-titer CP against SARS-CoV-2 to mildly ill infected patients significantly  
113 reduced the progression of COVID-19.<sup>22</sup> Starting from these considerations and following the  
114 favorable experience with CP in adult patients with COVID-19 and severe humoral deficiency,<sup>20</sup> we  
115 treated our patient with two courses of CP to prevent the progression of infection, continuing  
116 chemotherapy.

117 Though after a longer time, the anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer of our patient became measurable.  
118 We cannot assert with certainty whether seroconversion occurred thanks to the CP but we feared  
119 that the child's immune system was too compromised to independently guarantee the production of  
120 antibodies.<sup>20</sup>

121 Since the first positive swab, the clinical course of the patient was generally regular. We had twice  
122 the dilemma of whether the unexpected manifestations that we observed were of iatrogenic or of

123 infectious origin. The acute pancreatitis we observed could be due either to the therapy with Peg-  
124 Asparaginase for lymphoma or to SARS-CoV-2 infection, as reported in the literature.<sup>23–26</sup>

125 We also observed a prolonged antithrombin III deficiency which is known to be associated with  
126 Asparaginase and Peg-Asparaginase<sup>23</sup> but that in our case was more severe and more protracted  
127 than expected. The infection could have indeed played a role, also considering the evidence on  
128 thrombotic alterations related to COVID-19.<sup>27,28</sup>

129 Overall, the management of the child was not complicated by the infection and continuing the  
130 treatment proved to be the correct choice.

131 We believe that our report could be useful for all those professionals facing the challenge of treating  
132 pediatric hemato-oncological patients during COVID-19 pandemic. The aggressiveness of most  
133 pediatric cancers imposes to balance the continuation of the chemotherapy and the potential risk of  
134 severe COVID-19 course.

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142 The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## 143 REFERENCES

- 144 1. Hrusak O, Kalina T, Wolf J, et al. Flash survey on severe acute respiratory syndrome  
145 coronavirus-2 infections in paediatric patients on anticancer treatment. *Eur J Cancer*. 2020.  
146 doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2020.03.021
- 147 2. Malard F, Genthon A, Brissot E, et al. COVID-19 outcomes in patients with hematologic  
148 disease. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41409-020-0931-4
- 149 3. Robilotti E V., Babady NE, Mead PA, et al. Determinants of COVID-19 disease severity in  
150 patients with cancer. *Nat Med*. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0979-0
- 151 4. Zimmermann P, Curtis N. Why is COVID-19 less severe in children? A review of the  
152 proposed mechanisms underlying the age-related difference in severity of SARS-CoV-2  
153 infections. *Arch Dis Child*. 2020. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2020-320338
- 154 5. André N, Rouger-Gaudichon J, Brethon B, et al. COVID-19 in pediatric oncology from  
155 French pediatric oncology and hematology centers: High risk of severe forms? *Pediatr Blood*  
156 *Cancer*. 2020. doi:10.1002/pbc.28392
- 157 6. Saab R, Obeid A, Gachi F, et al. Impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)  
158 pandemic on pediatric oncology care in the Middle East, North Africa, and West Asia region:  
159 A report from the Pediatric Oncology East and Mediterranean (POEM) group. *Cancer*. 2020.  
160 doi:10.1002/cncr.33075
- 161 7. Sullivan M, Bouffet E, Rodriguez-Galindo C, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid global  
162 response for children with cancer from SIOP, COG, SIOP-E, SIOP-PODC, IPSO, PROS,  
163 CCI, and St Jude Global. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020. doi:10.1002/pbc.28409
- 164 8. Bouffet E, Challinor J, Sullivan M, Biondi A, Rodriguez-Galindo C, Pritchard-Jones K.  
165 Early advice on managing children with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic and a call  
166 for sharing experiences. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020. doi:10.1002/pbc.28327
- 167 9. Percival M-EM, Lynch RC, Halpern AB, et al. Considerations for Managing Patients With  
168 Hematologic Malignancy During the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Seattle Strategy. *JCO Oncol*

169        *Pract.* 2020. doi:10.1200/op.20.00241

170    10.    Landmann E, Burkhardt B, Zimmermann M, et al. Results and conclusions of the European  
171        intergroup EURO-LB02 trial in children and adolescents with lymphoblastic lymphoma.  
172        *Haematologica.* 2017. doi:10.3324/haematol.2015.139162

173    11.    Garazzino S, Lo Vecchio A, Pierantoni L, et al. Epidemiology, Clinical Features and  
174        Prognostic Factors of Pediatric SARS-CoV-2 Infection: Results from an Italian Multicenter  
175        Study. *SSRN Electron J.* 2020. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3731450

176    12.    de Rojas T, Pérez-Martínez A, Cela E, et al. COVID-19 infection in children and adolescents  
177        with cancer in Madrid. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2020. doi:10.1002/pbc.28397

178    13.    Rouger-Gaudichon J, Thébault E, Félix A, et al. Impact of the first wave of COVID-19 on  
179        pediatric oncology and hematology: A report from the french society of pediatric oncology.  
180        *Cancers (Basel).* 2020. doi:10.3390/cancers12113398

181    14.    Avanzato VA, Matson MJ, Seifert SN, et al. Case Study: Prolonged Infectious SARS-CoV-2  
182        Shedding from an Asymptomatic Immunocompromised Individual with Cancer. *Cell.* 2020.  
183        doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.049

184    15.    Nakajima Y, Ogai A, Furukawa K, et al. Prolonged viral shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in an  
185        immunocompromised patient. *J Infect Chemother.* 2021. doi:10.1016/j.jiac.2020.12.001

186    16.    Turner JS, Day A, Alsoussi WB, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral RNA Shedding for More Than 87  
187        Days in an Individual With an Impaired CD8<sup>+</sup> T Cell Response. *Front Immunol.* 2021.  
188        doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.618402

189    17.    Bakhtawar N, Usman M, Khan MMU. Convalescent Plasma Therapy and Its Effects On  
190        COVID-19 Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review of Current Literature. *Cureus.* 2020.  
191        doi:10.7759/cureus.9535

192    18.    Rajendran K, Krishnasamy N, Rangarajan J, Rathinam J, Natarajan M, Ramachandran A.  
193        Convalescent plasma transfusion for the treatment of COVID-19: Systematic review. *J Med*  
194        *Virol.* 2020. doi:10.1002/jmv.25961



- 195 19. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Time to Clinical  
196 Improvement in Patients with Severe and Life-threatening COVID-19: A Randomized  
197 Clinical Trial. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc*. 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.10044
- 198 20. Hueso T, Pouderoux C, Péré H, et al. Convalescent plasma therapy for B-cell-depleted  
199 patients with protracted COVID-19. *Blood*. 2020. doi:10.1182/BLOOD.2020008423
- 200 21. Simonovich VA, Burgos Pratx LD, Scibona P, et al. A Randomized Trial of Convalescent  
201 Plasma in Covid-19 Severe Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2021. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2031304
- 202 22. Libster R, Pérez Marc G, Wappner D, et al. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent  
203 Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2021. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2033700
- 204 23. Bade NA, Lu C, Patzke CL, et al. Optimizing pegylated asparaginase use: An institutional  
205 guideline for dosing, monitoring, and management. *J Oncol Pharm Pract*. 2020.  
206 doi:10.1177/1078155219838316
- 207 24. Zippi M, Fiorino S, Occhigrossi G, Hong W. Hypertransaminasemia in the course of  
208 infection with SARS-CoV-2: Incidence and pathogenetic hypothesis. *World J Clin Cases*.  
209 2020. doi:10.12998/WJCC.V8.I8.1385
- 210 25. Samanta J, Gupta R, Singh MP, Patnaik I, Kumar A, Kochhar R. Coronavirus disease 2019  
211 and the pancreas. *Pancreatology*. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2020.10.035
- 212 26. Zhang YY, Yang QS, Qing X, et al. Peg-Asparaginase-Associated Pancreatitis in  
213 Chemotherapy-Treated Pediatric Patients: A 5-Year Retrospective Study. *Front Oncol*. 2020.  
214 doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.538779
- 215 27. Han H, Yang L, Liu R, et al. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-  
216 CoV-2 infection. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2020. doi:10.1515/cclm-2020-0188
- 217 28. Panigada M, Bottino N, Tagliabue P, et al. Hypercoagulability of COVID-19 patients in  
218 intensive care unit: A report of thromboelastography findings and other parameters of  
219 hemostasis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020. doi:10.1111/jth.14850

221   **LEGENDS**

222

223   Fig.1   Flow-chart from the treatment plan EURO-LB 02 for Lymphoblastic Lymphoma. A)  
224   Induction phase; B) Protocol M

225

226   Fig.2   Timeline of treatments and diagnostic tests.

227           PA: Peg-Asparaginase; AT: antithrombin III; P: plasma; CP: convalescent plasma; red  
228           square: negative anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer; green square: positive anti-SARS-CoV-2  
229           antibody titer; red circle: positive anti-SARS-CoV-2 swab; green circle: negative anti-SARS-  
230           CoV-2 swab.