

1 The optimization of flow conditions in the spawning grounds of the 2 Chinese sturgeon (*Acipenser sinensis*) through Gezhouba Dam units

3

4 **Abstract:**

5 The waters downstream from the Gezhouba Dam are the only spawning grounds of the Chinese
6 sturgeon. To optimize the flow conditions in the spawning grounds by controlling the opening
7 mode of the Gezhouba Dam generator units, a mathematical model of the three-dimensional
8 hydrodynamics of the Chinese sturgeon spawning grounds was established in FLOW-3D. The
9 model was verified with velocity measurements, and the results were in good agreement.
10 Additionally, the model was used to invert the flow field of monitoring results from 2016-2019,
11 and it was concluded that the preferred velocity range for the Chinese sturgeon was 0.6-1.5 m/s.
12 The flow fields of different opening modes of the generator units were simulated with the same
13 flow rate, and the results showed that the suitable velocity area was the largest when all units of
14 the Dajiang Plant of the Gezhouba Dam were open and that conditions were especially favourable
15 on the left side. Comparison of the suitable velocity area with different flow rates showed that
16 when the flow rate was less than 12000 m³/s, more than 90% of the area was suitable and that
17 when the flow rate was greater than 12000 m³/s, the suitable area decreased rapidly with
18 increasing flow rate. Moreover, the suitable areas under different opening modes under high-flow
19 conditions were compared, and the results showed that at flow rates of 12000 ~ 15000 m³/s,
20 opening 11~13 units on the left side was best. When the flow rate reached 15000 m³/s, it was best
21 to open all of the units. In this paper, the optimal opening scheme at different flow rates was
22 analysed, and the results provide new ideas for Chinese sturgeon protection and ecosystem
23 protection.

24

25 **Keywords:**

26 Chinese sturgeon; Spawning ground; 3D simulation; Suitable velocity; Gezhouba Dam; Opening
27 mode of units

28

30 1 INTRODUCTION

31 The Chinese sturgeon is a large anadromous fish and is a national first-class protection animal and
32 a critically endangered species (Wang, Tao, & Chang, 2019). Before the construction of Gezhouba
33 Dam, the spawning grounds of the Chinese sturgeon were mainly in the lower reaches of the
34 Jinsha River and the upper reaches of the Yangtze River (Chang & Cao, 1999; Wei, 2003). After
35 the closure of Gezhouba Dam, a new spawning ground formed in the waters downstream of the
36 Gezhouba Dam and now represents the only Chinese sturgeon spawning ground (Hu, Ke, Zhang,
37 Luo, & Gong, 1992). According to the results of continuous monitoring in recent years, the
38 breeding scale of Chinese sturgeon has decreased (Chang & Cao, 1999; Wang et al., 2019).

39 After the sexual maturity of the Chinese sturgeon, its reproductive behaviour is affected by
40 the environment and hydrological conditions of the spawning ground. Threshold ranges exist for
41 numerous conditions, and the Chinese sturgeon can reproduce normally only within these
42 threshold ranges. One crucial factor is the flow velocity. A study has shown that the Chinese
43 sturgeon will actively choose hydraulic conditions that are beneficial to its habitat and
44 reproduction (Ban, Gao, Diplas, Xiao, & Shi, 2018), and flow velocities exceeding the maximum
45 tolerable velocity of fish will affect the normal habitat (Booker, 2003). The study of spawning
46 grounds has great significance for improving spawning conditions and protecting the Chinese
47 sturgeon. Research on the spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon has mainly focused on three
48 aspects. First, the spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon has been studied by means of
49 historical data and field measurements (Ban, 2009; Chen, 2007; Wei, 2003; Yang et al., 2007;
50 Zhang et al., 2007). Second, the characteristics of the spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon
51 have been inverted by numerical simulation (Tao, Chen, & Wang, 2017; Wang & Xia, 2010;
52 Wang, Xia, & Wang, 2012; Wu & Fu, 2007). Third, the influence of dam operation on the
53 characteristics of water flow in the spawning grounds has been studied (Bi, Tian, Yang, 2016;
54 Huang, Guo, Xing, Jiang, Yang, 2013; & Mao, Li, Dai, & Ke, 2014). Because the spawning
55 ground of the Chinese sturgeon is mainly distributed in the waters downstream of the Gezhouba
56 Dam, differences in the flow rate and operation mode of the Gezhouba Dam units will change the
57 water flow conditions, so it is necessary to thoroughly study these effects.

58 To effectively model the actual situation, the method of numerical simulation and field
59 monitoring was used in this paper. A mathematical model of the 3D hydrodynamics of the
60 spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon was established, the flow field based on sonar
61 monitoring results of the Chinese sturgeon from 2016 to 2019 was simulated by the model, and we
62 obtained the preferred velocity range of the Chinese sturgeon. Furthermore, the optimal scheme of
63 different units of the Gezhouba Dam was simulated and analysed, and methods for improving the
64 flow conditions in spawning ground are proposed. Therefore, the results provide new ideas for

65 Chinese sturgeon protection and ecosystem protection.

66 **2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

67 **2.1 Study area**

68 The Gezhouba Dam Project is the first large hydropower station on the Yangtze River, with a
69 total installed capacity of 2.7 million kilowatts. There are 21 generator units; 14 units with a
70 capacity of 125,000 kilowatts are installed in the Dajiang Plant, and 2 units with a capacity of
71 170,000 kilowatts and 5 units with a capacity of 125,000 kilowatts are installed in the Erjiang
72 Plant (Zhao, 1991). The units are numbered from the left bank to the right bank sequentially. The
73 numbers of the Erjiang Plant units are #1~#7, and the numbers of the Dajiang Plant units are
74 #8~#21.

75 Field surveys have shown that the only stable spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon is
76 located in the section between the Gezhouba Dam and Miaozi, approximately 4 km downstream
77 of Gezhouba Dam (Chang, 1999; Tan, 2002; Wei, 2003; Wei, Yang, Ke, Kynard, & Micah, 1998).
78 Therefore, the area between Gezhouba Dam and Miaozi was selected for investigation in this
79 study, as shown in Figure 1. This area was divided into several cross-sections (Figure 1c), and the
80 velocity of the cross-section was measured with a 300 kHz acoustic Doppler velocity profiler
81 (ADCP). In addition, sonar monitoring was also performed in this area. According to the
82 monitoring results from 2016 to 2019, most of the Chinese sturgeon signals appeared within 1 km
83 below the Dajiang Plant units of the Gezhouba Dam, as shown in the red box in Figure 1c.
84 However, according to the field investigation, the area of the spawning ground has decreased
85 further in recent years because most of the spawning behaviour of Chinese sturgeon has occurred
86 in the red box in Figure 1c since 2008 (Du et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2017). Hence, the range of 700 m
87 downstream of the Dajiang Plant units was the key simulation area in this study. This stretch is
88 shown in Figure 1d, which shows an underwater topographic map of this area, and the colour
89 shading and contours represent the water depth when the water level behind the dam was 41.2 m.
90 The units on the right side are #8~#15, and the corresponding water area under the units was
91 shallow, mostly 7-10 m. There is a deep pit 200 metres from the Gezhouba Dam with a water
92 depth of approximately 13 m. Units #16~#21 are on the left side, and the corresponding water area
93 is deeper, i.e., 12~15 m within 300 m of the dam, and then the water depth becomes shallower to
94 approximately 10 m.

95 **2.2 Numerical model**

96 **2.2.1 Governing equations**

97 FLOW-3D is an advanced commercial CFD package based on the finite volume method
98 (FVM) that solves the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations, and it can effectively estimate

99 the flow structure and velocity distribution in different water layers (Chen & Tfwala, 2018). Based
 100 on the assumption that the water body is an incompressible viscous fluid, the governing equations
 101 include the continuity equation and momentum equations (Flow Science 2012).

102 Continuity equation

$$103 \quad V_F \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho u A_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\rho v A_y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho w A_z) = 0 \quad (1)$$

104 Momentum equations:

$$105 \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left[u A_x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v A_y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right] = \frac{-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + G_x + F_x \quad (2)$$

$$106 \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left[u A_x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v A_y \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right] = \frac{-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + G_y + F_y \quad (3)$$

$$107 \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left[u A_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + v A_y \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right] = \frac{-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + G_z + F_z \quad (4)$$

108 where V_F is the cell fractional volume; ρ is fluid density; u , v , and w are the fluid velocity
 109 components in the x , y , and z directions; A_x , A_y , and A_z are the fluid fractional area in the x , y ,
 110 and z directions; p is pressure; G_x , G_y , and G_z are gravitational components in the x , y , and z
 111 directions; and F_x , F_y , and F_z are viscous accelerations in the x , y , and z directions.

112 The program uses the volume of fluid (VOF) method based on Euler's method to help it
 113 accurately determine the boundary of the free surface (Hirt & Nichols, 1981), and it has a
 114 powerful capability to deal with free surface flows. FAVOR is applied to model complex
 115 geometries (Hirt & Sicilian, 1985), making the code more versatile and applicable to most CFD
 116 applications. The complex change in the free surface in the VOF method can be described as:

$$117 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left[\frac{\partial (F A_x u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (F A_y v)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (F A_z w)}{\partial z} \right] = 0 \quad (5)$$

118 where F is the fluid volume function and the other terms are as defined in Equation (1).

119 2.2.2 Turbulence closure model

120 The $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence closure models include the turbulent kinetic energy equation and
 121 turbulent energy dissipation equation rate. The expression is as follows:

122 Turbulent kinetic energy equation:

$$123 \quad \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left[u A_x \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial x} + v A_y \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial y} + w A_z \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial z} \right] = P_T + \varepsilon_T \quad (6)$$

124 where k_T is the turbulent kinetic energy; ε_T is the turbulent energy dissipation rate; and P_T
 125 is the turbulent kinetic energy generation term, which is determined as follows:

$$126 \quad P_T = \frac{\mu}{\rho V_F} \left[2 A_x \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 A_y \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 2 A_z \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left(A_x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + A_y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \left(A_z \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + A_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \right]$$

127 (7)

128 where μ is the dynamic viscosity coefficient.

129 Turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate equation:

$$130 \quad \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{V_F} \left(u_x A_x \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial x} + u_y A_y \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial y} + u_z A_z \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial z} \right) = C_{\varepsilon 1} \frac{\varepsilon_T}{k_T} P_T - C_{\varepsilon 2} \frac{\varepsilon_T^2}{k_T} \quad (8)$$

131 where $C_{\varepsilon 1}$ and $C_{\varepsilon 2}$ are empirical constants, and the default values in the model are 1.44 and

132 1.92, respectively (Su, 2017).

133 2.2.3 Boundary and initial conditions

134 The inlet boundary used the flow rate boundary, and the flow rate was determined based on
135 the location and the scheduling of the Gezhouba Dam units. The pressure boundary was used for
136 the outlet boundary and was set to the water level. The water surface was a free surface, using a
137 pressure boundary, given standard atmospheric pressure. The wall boundary was used for the solid
138 boundary of the bottom and both sides. The initial condition was the water level, and the initial
139 velocity was 0.

140 2.2.4 Mesh construction

141 A hexahedral orthogonal grid was used to mesh the model, which can iteratively define a
142 base mesh to fit surface geometries. The finite volume method was used to discretize the
143 governing equation, and the GMRES algorithm was used to solve the equation (Flow3D, 2012;
144 Moukalled, Mangani, & Darwish, 2015). Mesh sizes were chosen to respect the requirements of
145 the grid convergence index (GCI) method for testing spatial convergence (Celik et al., 2008). The
146 X-axis direction and Y-axis direction mesh sizes were 3-8 m, and the Z-axis direction mesh sizes
147 were 1-2 m.

148

149 2.2.5 Model validation

150 The measured velocity data from downstream of the Gezhouba Dam were used to verify the
151 model. The discharge of the Gezhouba Dam was 12000 m³/s, and the water level was 41.2 m. The
152 comparisons between the measured and model values for cross-sections 1~6 are presented in
153 Figure 2. According to the comparison results, the model values with a roughness of 0.02 was
154 closest to the measured values, so the calibrated roughness of the model was set to 0.02. From
155 Figure 2, the distribution flow velocity of each cross-section was in good agreement, especially in
156 the Dajiang River area where the spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon was located. The error
157 of the model and measured values were generally less than 0.2 m/s, and the maximum error was
158 0.43 m/s, which appeared next to the dividing dike in cross-section 3. The two-tailed t-test
159 permutation of the model and measured values showed no significant difference, $P=0.45>0.05$.
160 Therefore, the model simulation was reasonable and acceptably simulated the water flow
161 characteristics of the spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon.

162 2.3 Acoustic monitoring

163 Acoustic monitoring is a fast and effective method to study Chinese sturgeon because it can study
164 the number and distribution of fish without approaching and harming the fish (Tao, Qiao, Tan, &
165 Chang, 2009). For acoustic monitoring, this paper used a DIDSON dual-frequency video sonar
166 system, which is currently the only imaging sonar using an acoustic system. This system has been
167 widely used in fishery management, structural detection, pipeline leakage identification,
168 underwater monitoring, underwater searching, underwater security inspection and so on (Belcher,
169 Hanot, & Burch, 2002).

170 The main monitoring area was approximately 4 km long between the Gezhouba Dam and
171 Miaozui, which represents the only spawning ground of the Chinese sturgeon. When investigating,
172 the sonar transmitter was fixed to the side of the survey vessel through a specific support and was
173 located 0.3 m below the water surface. The shooting angle was 45° downward relative to the
174 horizontal plane. A GPS device produced by the Garmin company was used for navigation and
175 positioning. We performed monitoring continuously every day from November to January of the
176 following year for 3~4 hours, with a zigzag survey pattern to ensure full coverage of the spawning
177 ground. The monitoring results were saved in the form of video images, and the images were
178 judged and analysed directly. Chinese sturgeon signals were confirmed by measuring the full
179 length, swimming behaviour, body shape, etc. To reduce the error of judgement and obtain high-
180 accuracy Chinese sturgeon signals, each monitoring signal was confirmed by at least two different
181 researchers.

182 **3 RESULTS**

183 **3.1 Flow velocity threshold**

184 There were 47 Chinese sturgeon signals in 2016, 14 Chinese sturgeon signals in 2017, 20
185 Chinese sturgeon signals in 2018, and 11 Chinese sturgeon signals in 2019, which were identified
186 with the DIDSON dual-frequency video sonar system. The flow field of each sturgeon signal was
187 simulated by the model, and the velocity value of each signal location was obtained. According to
188 the statistical analysis of the flow velocity values, the frequency of the sturgeon signal at different
189 flow velocity values is shown in Figure 3. The results showed that most of the signals were
190 concentrated in areas with flow velocities of 0.6~1.5 m/s, accounting for 88.1% of the signals;
191 areas with flow velocities below 0.6 m/s accounted for 4.3% of the signals, and areas with flow
192 velocities above 1.5 m/s accounted for 7.6%. Therefore, 0.6~1.5 m/s was chosen as the preferred
193 flow velocity range of the Chinese sturgeon.

194 **3.2 Different opening modes with the same flow rate**

195 The flow rate of the spawning day on November 24, 2016, was used to study the flow
196 velocity distribution with different opening modes, and the specific opening mode cases are shown
197 in Table 1. Case 1 was the actual situation on the day of spawning, and the flow rate was 6150 m³/

198 s. The Dajiang Plant featured 7 open units, namely, #8, #11, #13, #14, #16, #19, #21. According to
199 the amounts of electricity generated by the Dajiang Plant and Erjiang Plant on that day, the
200 proportion of the Dajiang River flow was 58.8%, and the average flow rate of each unit was 516.6
201 m^3/s . Case 2 and case 3 also featured 7 open units with the same flow rate, but in case 2, units
202 #15~#21 were open continuously on the right side, and in case 3, units #8~#14 were open
203 continuously near the left side. Case 4 and case 5 were the most concentrated conditions with the
204 flow rate of 6150 m^3/s because the maximum throughflow rate for each unit in the Dajiang Plant is
205 825 m^3/s (Jie & Xu, 2009). In these cases, at least 5 units were open, with an average flow rate of
206 723 m^3/s per unit. Case 4 involved opening units #8~#12 continuously on the left side, and case 5
207 involved opening units #17~#21 continuously on the right side. Case 6 involved opening 14 units
208 on the Dajiang River at the same time, and the average flow rate of each unit was 258.3 m^3/s .

209 Figure 4 shows the flow fields of the spawning ground under different opening modes with
210 the same flow rate, and the studied area is shown in Figure 1d. By comparing the areas with a
211 velocity threshold range of 0.6-1.5 m/s under different cases, the most favourable opening mode
212 was determined. In case 1, the proportion of suitable area was 86.2%. The velocity at the outlet of
213 the units was higher than the flow threshold, but the flow rate of each unit was only 516.6 m^3/s , so
214 the high-velocity range was limited, and most areas were suitable. In case 2 and case 3, there was
215 a large difference in the proportions of suitable area, 90.6% and 63%, respectively. Because the
216 left side was deeper than the right side, the flow velocity on the right side was higher under the
217 same flow rate, and case 3 more easily exceeded the flow threshold, resulting in a larger
218 unsuitable range. Case 2 was more suitable than case 1, which also demonstrated that opening the
219 left-side units was more favourable. In case 4 and case 5, the proportions of suitable area were
220 small, 61% and 72.5%, respectively. Because the units were concentrated, the flow rate of each
221 unit was too high, and the outlet velocity was more than 2 m/s, so a large area of high velocity
222 appears downstream of the units, with obvious backflow under the shut-down units. The
223 proportion of suitable area in case 5 was larger than that in case 4 and case 3, further indicating
224 that opening the left-side units was more favourable than opening the right-side units. Case 6, in
225 which all units were open, has suitable velocity area proportion of 95.9%, greater than that in any
226 other case. Because the flow rate of each unit was only 258.3 m^3/s , the velocity of the unit outlet
227 was less than 1.5 m/s, and almost all areas were suitable except for the small areas on both sides.
228 The suitable velocity area was the largest when all units of the Dajiang Plant of the Gezhouba
229 Dam were open; therefore, for a given flow rate, it is best to open all of the units.

230 3.3 Different flow rates under the same opening mode

231 The velocity distribution of the spawning field is affected not only by the opening mode of
232 the units but also by the flow rate of the Gezhouba Dam. To study the influence of different flow
233 rates, the following 14 cases were simulated, as shown in Table 2. All units of the Dajiang Plant

234 were considered open because the proportion of suitable area was expected to be the largest under
235 such circumstances. From 1982 to the present, the flow rate on the natural spawning day of
236 Chinese sturgeon under the Gezhouba Dam has a wide range, with the highest flow rate of 27290
237 m^3/s in 1990 and the lowest flow rate of 5590 m^3/s in 2012. However, the highest design flow rate
238 of the Gezhouba Dam units is 17930 m^3/s (Zhao, 1991). Once the design flow rate is exceeded, the
239 sluice on the Erjiang River discharges water, and the velocity distribution of the study area is not
240 affected. Therefore, case 1 represented the lowest flow rate of 5590 m^3/s , and case 2 represented a
241 flow rate of 6000 m^3/s . For each subsequent case, the flow rate was increased by 1000 m^3/s to case
242 13 with the highest flow of 17930 m^3/s . In case 14, all units reached the design flow rate, and the
243 flow rate of each unit was 825 m^3/s (Jie & Xu, 2009).

244 Figure 5 shows the proportion of suitable velocity area with all units open under different
245 flow rates. According to the calculation results, the proportion of suitable area fluctuated slightly
246 at approximately 96.2% for flow rates from 5590 m^3/s to 11000 m^3/s , with the lowest value being
247 94.6% for a flow rate of 10000 m^3/s and the highest value being 98% for a flow rate of 8000 m^3/s .
248 Because the flow rate of each unit was lower than 504 m^3/s , the velocity of the unit outlet was low,
249 and most areas were within the velocity threshold. Therefore, it is advantageous to open all units
250 when the flow rate is low. After the flow rate reaches 12000 m^3/s , the proportion of suitable area
251 rapidly decreased to 70.7% and gradually decreased with increases in the flow rate to 20.2% at a
252 flow rate of 17930 m^3/s . Because the flow rate of each unit was higher than 504 m^3/s , on the right
253 side of the Dajiang River, the velocity of the unit outlet exceeded the velocity threshold and
254 increased with increases in the flow rate, and the range of influence gradually increased. In the last
255 case, the proportion of suitable area was only 6% when the units reached the designed flow rate of
256 825 m^3/s . Because the flow rate of each unit was too high, almost all areas exceeded the velocity
257 threshold except for small areas on both sides. Therefore, at flow rates less than 12,000 m^3/s ,
258 opening all the units is favourable, and at flow rate greater than 12000 m^3/s , the higher the flow
259 rate is, the more unfavourable the conditions are.

260 3.4 The optimal scheme under high-flow conditions

261 The critical value of the Gezhouba Dam flow rate is 12000 m^3/s , and the proportion of suitable
262 area exhibits a large turning point at this critical value, so high-flow conditions are considered
263 flow rates greater than 12000 m^3/s . Because opening the units on the left side of the Dajiang Plant
264 is more favourable, to increase the suitable area under high-flow conditions, 20 cases with a left-
265 side opening mode under different flow rates were simulated, as shown in Table 3. Because the
266 highest flow rate of each unit in the Dajiang Plant is 825 m^3/s (Jie & Xu, 2009), at least 9 units
267 need to be open when the flow rate is 12000 m^3/s . Case 1 was designed to open 9 units on the left,
268 namely, units #13~#21, and the flow rate of each unit was 784 m^3/s . Case 2-5 increase by 1 unit
269 from left to right, until 13 units were opened. For flow rates of 13000 m^3/s , 14000 m^3/s , 15000

270 m³/s, and 16000 m³/s, the lowest numbers of open units were 10, 10, 11, and 12. When the flow
271 rate was 17000 m³/s and 17930 m³/s, the lowest number of open units was at least 13.

272 Figure 6 shows the proportions of suitable area for different opening modes under high-flow
273 conditions, where the 12000-09 on the x-axis means that the flow rate is 12000 m³/s and 9 units
274 are open on the left. The calculation results showed that when the flow rate was 12000 m³/s,
275 13000 m³/s, and 14000 m³/s, the proportion of suitable area showed a parabolic trend with the
276 increase in the number of units. When the flow rate was 12000 m³/s, the proportion of suitable
277 area with 11 open units on the left was the largest, 79.4%, which was 8.7% larger than the value
278 for all units open and 15% larger than the value for the lowest number of units open. Opening the
279 12 units on the left yield values approximately the same as opening 11 units, with a difference of
280 only 0.1%. When the flow rate was 13000 m³/s, 12 open units on the left had the largest proportion
281 of suitable flow velocity area, reaching 73.2%, which was 6.3% larger than the value for all units
282 open and 10% larger than the value for the lowest number of units open. Opening the 11 units on
283 the left was approximately the same as opening 12 units, with a difference of only 0.7%. When the
284 flow rate was 14000 m³/s, the proportions of suitable area produced by opening 12 units and 13
285 units on the left were the same, 67.3%, which was 2.1% larger than the value for all units open and
286 11.5% larger than the value for the lowest number of units open. The proportion of suitable area of
287 the lowest number of units open was usually the lowest because the flow rate of each unit was too
288 high, resulting in a large area of high velocity under the unit's outlet, and the influence distance
289 was far, which was not suitable for Chinese sturgeon habitat. For a flow rate of 15000 m³/s, with
290 the increase in the number of units, the proportion of suitable area increased, and there was no
291 parabolic trend because the flow rate of each unit was over 678 m³/s; thus, on the left side, there
292 was a large area of high velocity, and the influence extended very far, which was not suitable for
293 Chinese sturgeon.

294 4. Discussion

295 4.1 Spawning time and the preferred flow rate of Chinese sturgeon

296 Figure 7 shows the spawning date of the Chinese sturgeon downstream of the Gezhouba
297 Dam. According to statistics, Chinese sturgeon spawning activity occurs 1~2 times every year.
298 From 1982 to 2002, two spawning events per year was common, occurring in 76.2% of the years.
299 Since 2003, most years have featured only one spawning event, with a second spawning event
300 occurring only once on December 2, 2012. The spawning date was mainly from mid-October to
301 November. The first spawn was concentrated in late October before 2003, in mid-November in
302 2003-2006 and in late November since 2007. Therefore, the spawning date has become gradually
303 delayed (Shen, Wang, Wang, & Yu, 2017). The second spawning was concentrated between late
304 October and mid-November, generally occurring 2~27 days after the first spawning, with an

305 average of 15 days later. To date, the last spawning of Chinese sturgeon occurred on November
306 24, 2016, and no natural reproduction of Chinese sturgeon was observed downstream of the
307 Gezhouba Dam from 2017 to 2019 (Wang LH, & Huang ZL, 2020).

308 Figure 8 shows the daily flow rate of the Chinese sturgeon during the spawning day
309 downstream of the Gezhouba Dam. The highest flow rate of the first spawning was 27290 m³/s on
310 October 15, 1990, and the lowest flow rate was 5810 m³/s on November 23, 2009. The highest
311 flow rate of the second spawning was 18170 m³/s on November 1, 2000, and the lowest flow rate
312 was 5590 m³/s on December 2, 2012. As the spawning date gradually became delayed, the flow
313 rate of the first spawning showed a downward trend overall. The spawning flow rate was less than
314 12000 m³/s after 2002. Most spawning dates featured flow rates higher than 12000 m³/s before
315 2002, accounting for 75%, with flow rates higher than 15000 m³/s accounting for 55% and flow
316 rates higher than the design flow rate of 17930 m³/s accounting for 25%. The flow rate of the
317 second spawning was lower than that of the first spawning, and flow rates higher than 12000 m³/s
318 accounted for 52.9%, those higher than 15000 m³/s accounted for 17.6%, and a flow rate higher
319 than 179300 m³/s occurred only once, on November 1, 2000.

320 4.2 Changes in the spawning grounds of Chinese sturgeon

321 Before the closure of the Gezhouba Dam Water Conservancy Project, the spawning grounds
322 of the Chinese sturgeon extended from the lower reaches of the Jinsha River to the upper reaches
323 of the Yangtze River, and the main spawning grounds, including 19 different spawning grounds,
324 were concentrated from Pingshan to Hejiang (YARSG,1988).

325 After the closure of the Gezhouba Dam, the Chinese sturgeon formed a new spawning ground
326 downstream of the Gezhouba Dam. Many scholars have studied the distribution of the new
327 spawning ground of Chinese sturgeon by means of the anatomy of egg-eating fish, ultrasonic
328 telemetering and tracing, and egg harvesting at the bottom of the river (Wei et al., 1997; Wei et al.,
329 2009; Yang et al., 2006). During 1983-1995, the range of the spawning ground of the Chinese
330 sturgeon extended from the Gezhouba Dam to Xiaoting, with a length of approximately 30 km,
331 with spawning mainly concentrated in the approximately 12-km reach between the Gezhouba
332 Dam and Yanzhiba Islet (Hu et al., 1992; Yu, Xu, & Deng, 1986).

333 Over the period 1996-2007, the spawning ground was the main channel of the Yangtze River
334 from the Gezhouba Dam to approximately 2 km upstream of Yanzhiba Islet, and the main
335 spawning site was within the approximately 4-km reach from the Gezhouba Dam to Miaozi
336 (Yang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2011). The spawning area could be divided into two parts, the
337 upstream spawning area and downstream spawning area, and the spawning times and scale of the
338 downstream spawning area were obviously larger than those of the upstream spawning area (Wei,
339 2003; Zhang et al., 2011). Because the spawning date was mainly concentrated in October, the
340 spawning flow rate was high, the suitable area of the upstream spawning area was small, and the

341 upstream spawning area did not feature favourable locations for the Chinese sturgeon to perch;
342 thus, the Chinese sturgeon primarily chose to spawn in the downstream spawning area.

343 All the natural reproduction of Chinese sturgeon has occurred in the upstream spawning area
344 since 2008 (Du et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017), which was also the main research area of this paper.
345 Since 2008, the natural reproduction date of Chinese sturgeon has been postponed to middle and
346 late November, or even early December, when the flow rates were less than 10000 m³/s. The
347 suitable area of the upstream spawning ground was large. Thus, because the Chinese sturgeon
348 migrates upstream for reproduction, the Chinese sturgeon chose to reproduce in the upstream
349 spawning ground.

350 **4.3 Factors affecting spawning of Chinese sturgeon**

351 According to current research, the riverbed topography, bottom substrate, velocity, water
352 temperature, water level, flow rate, sediment content and other factors are thought to affect the
353 spawning of Chinese sturgeon. Some researchers emphasize the important role of water level
354 (YARSG,1988). Other researchers suggest that the changes in riverbed bottom substrate may have
355 caused positional changes in the critical spawning ground of Chinese sturgeon (Du et al., 2011; Du
356 et al., 2015). Some researchers believe that the delay in the decrease in water temperature caused
357 by the Three Gorges Reservoir and the low numbers of reproductively mature individuals have
358 contributed to the failure in natural breeding (Chang, Lin, Gao, Liu, Duan, & Liu, 2017; Tao,
359 Wang, Wang, Wu, & Ni. 2018).

360 This study focused on flow velocity because the natural reproduction of Chinese sturgeon
361 requires suitable flow conditions in the spawning ground, and the fish can directly feel the
362 velocity. In addition, the flow velocity can be optimized through changes in reservoir operation
363 and the opening mode of dam units. In contrast, other factors are difficult for humans to change.
364 To protect the Chinese sturgeon, we identified an operational mode that can improve the flow
365 conditions of the spawning ground. However, other factors should also be studied in the future
366 because these factors may work together.

367 **4.4 Suitable water flow conditions for Chinese sturgeon**

368 To determine the suitable flow velocity of Chinese sturgeon, many scholars have chosen
369 different methods. Some researcher, through field measurements and historical data, concluded
370 that the Chinese sturgeon chose an area with a flow velocity of 0.62~1.16 m/s when spawning
371 (Chen, 2007). The hydrological data and the measured data of the spawning grounds of the
372 Chinese sturgeon downstream of the Gezhouba Dam were analysed, and the Tennant method was
373 used to calculate the velocity range of 1.0~2.0 m/s (Ban, 2009). Some scholars measured the
374 spawning days of the Chinese sturgeon on site and concluded that the suitable flow rate range for
375 Chinese sturgeon was 1.07~1.65 m/s (Wei, 2003). Some researchers measured the velocity in the

376 spawning ground by ADCP and found that the average velocity of the spawning ground was
377 0.73~1.75 m/s (Zhang et al., 2007). Some researchers used a numerical model to retrieve the flow
378 field of historical detection days and concluded that the suitable velocity range of Chinese
379 sturgeon was 1.1~1.7 m/s (Yang, Tan, Chang, & Yan, 2007). Some researchers simulated the
380 spawning ground of Chinese sturgeon and concluded that the most suitable velocity range was
381 0.97~1.48 m/s (Wang, Dai, & Dai, 2013). Other researchers thought a velocity of 1.06~1.56 m/s
382 was highly suitable ranges for spawning of the Chinese sturgeon (Yi, Sun, & Zhang, 2016). These
383 results confirmed the preference of the Chinese sturgeon for a certain flow velocity while
384 spawning, but the obtained suitable ranges differ due to differences in research precision and
385 methods.

386 This study established a three-dimensional numerical model of the spawning ground of the
387 Chinese sturgeon downstream of the Gezhouba Dam. The opening mode of the dam units was
388 considered in detail, and the model was used to simulate the flow field of the Chinese sturgeon
389 monitored in the field. The results showed that most of the sturgeon signals appeared in the
390 velocity range of 0.6~1.5 m/s. Therefore, this range was treated as the velocity threshold of the
391 Chinese sturgeon, and it had a certain degree of agreement with the ranges proposed by most other
392 researchers .

393 5 CONCLUSIONS

394 Based on monitoring results from 2016-2019, the FLOW-3D model was used to simulate
395 the flow field of monitored sturgeon signals, and it was concluded that the preferred velocity range
396 for the Chinese sturgeon was 0.6-1.5 m/s. Under a given flow rate, the suitable velocity area was
397 the largest when all units of the Dajiang Plant of the Gezhouba Dam were open, and conditions
398 were more favourable when units on the left side were open. Under different flow rates, when the
399 flow rate was less than 12000 m³/s, the proportion of suitable area fluctuated slightly at
400 approximately 96.2%, and when the flow rate reached 12000 m³/s, the suitable area decreased
401 rapidly with increasing flow rate. Moreover, for different opening modes at high flows, at flow
402 rates of 12000 ~ 13000 m³/s, opening 11~12 units on the left side was best; at a flow rate of 14000
403 m³/s, opening 12~13 units on the left side was best; and when the flow rate reached 15000 m³/s,
404 opening 14 units was best. The optimal scheme for the opening mode of the units at different flow
405 rates was analysed, and the results provide new ideas for Chinese sturgeon protection and
406 ecosystem protection.

407

408

409 Data Availability Statement

410 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding
411 author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

412

413

414 REFERENCES

415 Ban, X. (2009). Study on Physical Habitat Model and Ecological Water Requirement of Chinese
416 Sturgeon Spawning Ground (in Chinese with English abstract). Wuhan University:Wuhan,
417 China.

418 Ban, X., Gao, X., Diplas, P., Xiao, F., & Shi, X. T. (2018). Suitability analysis of three-
419 dimensional hydraulic factors for spawning habitat of Chinese sturgeon. *Advances in Water*
420 *Science*, 29(1),80-88 (in Chinese with English abstract).

421 Belcher, E., Hanot, W., & Burch, J. (2002). Dual-Frequency Identification Sonar (DIDSON)
422 Proceedings of the 2002 International Symposium on Underwater Technology.

423 Bi X, Tian ZF, & Yang MF. (2016). Influence of different operation modes of Gezhouba
424 Hydropower Station on flow conditions of Chinese sturgeon's spawning ground(in Chinese
425 with English abstract). *Yangtze River*, 47(17), 25-29.

426 Booker, D. J. (2003). Hydraulic modelling of fish habitat in urban rivers during high flows.
427 *Hydrological Processes*, 17(3), 577-599.

428 Celik, I. B., Ghia, U., Roache, P. J., Freitas, C. J., Coleman, H., & Raad, P. E. (2008). Procedure for
429 estimation and reporting of uncertainty due to discretization in CFD applications. *J. Fluids*
430 *Eng. Trans. ASME* 130(7), 0780011–0780014.

431 Chang, J. B. (1999). Change trend of composition characteristic and number of Chinese Sturgeon
432 propagation colony (in Chinese with English abstract). Institute of hydrobiology
433 Chinese academy of sciences: Wuhan, China.

434 Chang, J. B., & Cao, W. X. (1999). History and prospect of conservation on the Chinese Sturgeon
435 in the Yangtze River (In Chinese). *Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica*, 23, 713–720.

436 Chang, T., Lin, P. C., Gao X., Liu F., Duan Z. H., & Liu H. Z. (2017). Using adaptive resolution
437 imaging sonar to investigate Chinese sturgeon (*Acipenser sinensis* Gray, 1835) behavior on
438 its only spawning ground in the Yangtze River. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology Volume: 33*,
439 Issue, 4, 681-688.

440 Chen, S. C., & Tfwala S. S. (2018) Performance Assessment of FLOW-3D and XFlow in the
441 Numerical Modelling of Fish-Bone Type Fishway Hydraulics, 7th International Symposium
442 on Hydraulic Structures.

- 443 Chen, Y. B. (2007). Study on Ecological Hydrological Mechanism and Protection
444 Countermeasures of Three Gorges Reservoir Affecting the Breeding of Chinese Sturgeon (in
445 Chinese with English abstract). Institute of Aquatic Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences:
446 Wuhan, China.
- 447 Du, H., Wei, Q. W., Zhang, H., Wang, C. Y., Wu, J. M., & Shen, L. (2015). Changes of bottom
448 substrate characteristics in spawning ground of Chinese sturgeon downstream the Gezhouba
449 Dam from impounding of three gorge reservoir (in Chinese with English abstract). *Acta*
450 *Ecologica Sinica*, 35(9), 3124-3131.
- 451 Du, H., Wei, Q. W., Zhang, H., Liu, Z. G., Wang, C. Y., & Li, Y. H. (2011). Bottom substrate
452 attributes relative to bedform morphology of spawning site of Chinese sturgeon (*Acipenser*
453 *sinensis*) below the Gezhouba dam. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 27, 257-262.
- 454 Flow Science (2012). *Flow-3D User Manual: v10.1*, Flow Science, Inc.
- 455 Hirt, C. W., & Nichols, B. D. (1981). Volume of fluid (VOF) method for the dynamics of free
456 boundaries. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 39(1), 201-225.
- 457 Hirt, C. W., & Sicilian, J. M. (1985). A porosity technique for the definition of obstacles in
458 rectangular cell meshes. Proceeding 4th International Conference on Numerical Ship
459 Hydrodynamics.
- 460 Hu, D. G., Ke, F. E., Zhang, G. L., Luo, J. D., & Gong, M. H. (1992). Investigation and Study on
461 Spawning Ground of Chinese Sturgeon under Gezhouba Dam (in Chinese with English
462 abstract). *Freshwater Fisheries*, 5, 6-10.
- 463 Huang, M. H., Guo, H., Xing, L. H., Jiang, W., & Yang, W. (2013). Influence of Gezhouba Project
464 Dispatching on the Flow Condition of Chinese Sturgeon's Spawning Ground (in Chinese
465 with English abstract). *Journal of Yangtze River Scientific Research Institute*, 30(8), 102-107.
- 466 Mao, J. Q., Li, Z., Dai, H. C., & Ke, Y. (2014). Short-term Hydrodynamic feature of Chinese
467 sturgeon spawning ground under reservoir regulation conditions (in Chinese with English
468 abstract). *Journal of Drainage and Irrigation Machinery Engineering*, 32(5), 399-403.
- 469 Moukalled, F., Mangani, L., & Darwish, M. (2015). *The Finite Volume Method in Computational*
470 *Fluid Dynamics*. Springer International Publishing.
- 471 Shen, Y. X., Wang, P. F., Wang, C., & Yang, Y. (2017). Potential causes of habitat degradation and
472 spawning time delay of the Chinese sturgeon (*Acipenser sinensis*). *Ecological Informatics*
473 *Volume*, 43, 96-105.
- 474 Su W. (2017). Numerical Simulation of Hydrodynamic Interaction between Head-on Ship-ship
475 Based on FLOW3D (in Chinese with English abstract). Wuhan University of Technology,
476 Wuhan, China.
- 477 Tan, X. C. (2002). Hydroacoustic surveys on spawning stock of *Acipenser sinensis* in the Yangtze
478 River and planktivorous carps in the East Lake (in Chinese with English abstract). Institute of
479 hydrobiology Chinese academy of sciences: Wuhan, China.
- 480 Tao, Y. W., Wang Y. K., Wang D., Wu J. C., & Ni L. L. (2018). Changes of water temperature

- 481 under dam of Three Gorges Reservoir and its effect on fish spawning. *Journal of*
482 *Hydroelectric Power*, 37(10), 48-55.
- 483 Tao, J. P., Qiao, Y., Tan, X. C., & Chang, J. B. (2009). Species identification of Chinese sturgeon
484 using acoustic descriptors and ascertaining their spatial distribution in the spawning ground
485 of Gezhouba Dam (in Chinese). *Chinese Sci Bull*, 54(19), 2975-2982.
- 486 Tao, J., Chen, K. Y., & Wang, D. S. (2017). Three-dimensional flow characteristics of spawning
487 ground of Chinese sturgeon (in Chinese with English abstract). *Journal of Hydraulic*
488 *Engineering*, 48(10), 1250-1259.
- 489 Wang, Y. K. & Xia, Z. Q. (2010). Three-dimensional Hydraulics Characteristics of Chinese
490 Sturgeon Spawning Site in the Yangtze River (in Chinese with English abstract). *Journal of*
491 *Sichuan University: Engineering Science Edition*, 42(1), 14-19.
- 492 Wang, H. Z., Tao, J. P., & Chang, J. B. (2019). Endangered Levels and Conservation Options
493 Evaluations for Chinese Sturgeon, *Acipenser sinensis* Gary (in Chinese with English
494 abstract). *Resources and Environment in the Yangtze Basin*, 28(09), 2100-2108.
- 495 Wang, L. H., & Huang, Z. L. (2020). What is actually the main cause for the survival crisis of
496 Chinese sturgeon (in Chinese with English abstract)? *Journal of lake sciences*, 32(4), 924-
497 940.
- 498 Wang, Y. K., Xia, Z. Q., & Wang, D. (2012) Characterization of hydraulic suitability of Chinese
499 sturgeon (*Academensor sinensis*) spawning habitat in the Yangtze River. *Hydrological*
500 *Processes*, 26(23), 3489-3498.
- 501 Wang, Y., Dai, H. C., & Dai, L.Q. (2013). Hydrodynamic characteristics of spawning ground of
502 Chinese sturgeon after impoundment operation of the Three Gorges Reservoir (in Chinese
503 with English abstract). *Journal of Hydroelectric Engineering*, 32(6), 122-126.
- 504 Wei, Q. W. (2003). Assessment of reproductive behavior ecology and resources of Chinese
505 sturgeon (in Chinese with English abstract). Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of
506 Sciences: Wuhan, China.
- 507 Wei, Q. W., Ke, F. E., Zhang, J. M., Zhuang, P., Luo, J. D., Zhou, R.Q., & Yang, W. H. (1997).
508 Biology, fisheries, and conservation of sturgeons and paddlefish in China. *Environment*
509 *Biology Fish*, 48, 241-255.
- 510 Wei, Q. W., Yang, D. G., Ke, F. E., Kynard, B., & Micah, K. (1998). Technique of ultrasonic
511 telemetry for Chinese Sturgeon, *Acipenser sinensis*, in Yangtze River (in Chinese with
512 English abstract). *Journal of Fisheries of China*, 22, 211-217.
- 513 Wei, Q. W., Kynard, B., Yang, D. G., Chen, X. H., Du, H., Shen, L., & Zhang, H. (2009). Using
514 drift nets to capture early life stages and monitor spawning of the Yangtze River Chinese
515 sturgeon (*Acipenser sinensis*). *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 25(Suppl. 2), 100-106.
- 516 Wu, F. Y., & Fu, X. L. (2007). The 3-D flow field numerical simulation of *Acipenser Sinensis*'
517 spawning sites in Gezhouba downstream (in Chinese with English abstract). *Journal of*
518 *Hydroelectric engineering*, 26(2), 114-118.
- 519 Wu, J. M., Wang, C. Y., Zhang, S. H., Zhang, H., Du, H., Liu, Z. G., & Wei, Q. W. (2017). From

- 520 continuous to occasional: Small-scale natural reproduction of Chinese sturgeon occurred
521 in the Gezhouba spawning ground (in Chinese with English abstract). *Journal of Fishery*
522 *Sciences of China*, 24(3), 425-431.
- 523 Xie, H. B., & Xu, H. Q. (2009), Operation Stability of Gezhouba Hydropower Station after its
524 Capacity Increasing (In Chinese). *Large Electric Machine and Hydraulic Turbine*, 6(5), 39-
525 43.
- 526 Yang, D. G., Kynard, B., Wei, Q. W., Chen, X. H., Zheng, W. D., & Du, H. (2006). Distribution
527 and movement of Chinese sturgeon, *Acipenser sinensis*, in spawning ground located below
528 the Gezhouba Dam during spawning seasons. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 22(Suppl. 1), 145–151.
- 529 Yang, D. G., Wei, Q. W., Chen, X. H., Liu, J. Y., Zhu, Y. J. & Wang, K. (2007). Hydrology status
530 on the spawning ground of *Acipenser sinensis* below the Gezhouba Dam and its relation to
531 the spawning run (in Chinese with English abstract). *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 27, 862–869.
- 532 Yangtze Aquatic Resources Survey Group (YARSG). (1988) The biology of the sturgeons and
533 paddlefish in the Yangtze River and their artificial propagation (in Chinese) . Sichuan
534 Scientific and Technical Publishing House, Chengdu, China.
- 535 Yi, Y. J., Sun, J., & Zhang, S. H. (2016). A habitat suitability model for Chinese sturgeon
536 determined using the generalized additive method. *Journal of Hydrology Volume: 534*, pp 11-
537 18
- 538 Yu, Z. T., Xu, W. X., & Deng, Z. L. (1986). Study on reproductive ecology of Chinese sturgeon
539 (*Acipenser sinensis*) in the downstream of Gezhouba hydroelectric project (in Chinese).
540 Transactions of the Chinese Ichthyologic Society, the Chinese Ichthyological Society (Ed.).
541 Science Press, Beijing, 1–14.
- 542 Zhang, H., Wei, Q. W., Kynard, B., Du, H., Yang, D. G., & Chen, X.H. (2011). Spatial structure
543 and bottom characteristics of the only remaining spawning area of Chinese sturgeon in the
544 Yangtze River. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 27, 251–256.
- 545 Zhang, H., Wei, Q. W., Yang, D. G., Du, H., Zhang, H. J., & Chen, X. H. (2007), Preliminary
546 observation of natural propagation velocity field of Chinese sturgeon under Gezhouba dam
547 (in Chinese with English abstract). *Chinese Fisheries Science*, 14(2), 183-191.
- 548 Zhao, R. H. (1991). Reservoir Regulation of Gezhouba Water Control Project (in Chinese).
549 *Central China Electric Power*, 2, 24-29.
- 550

551 **Tables**

552

553 **Table 1** Calculation cases with different opening modes of units under the same flow rate

Case No.	Opening mode of units	Flow rate of each unit (m ³ /s)
1	Open 7 units according to the actual situation, #8, #11, #13, #14, #16, #19, #21	516.6
2	Open 7 units on the left, #15~21	516.6
3	Open 7 units on the right, #8~14	516.6
4	Open 5 units on the right, #8~12	723
5	Open 5 units on the left, #17~21	723
6	Open 14 units, #8~21	258.3

554

555

556

Table 2 Calculation cases with the same opening mode under different flow rates

Case No.	Flow rate (m ³ /s)	Opening mode of units	Flow rate of each unit (m ³ /s)
1	5590	Open 14 units, #8~21	243.2
2	6000	Open 14 units, #8~21	252
3	7000	Open 14 units, #8~21	294
4	8000	Open 14 units, #8~21	336
5	9000	Open 14 units, #8~21	378
6	10000	Open 14 units, #8~21	420
7	11000	Open 14 units, #8~21	462
8	12000	Open 14 units, #8~21	504
9	13000	Open 14 units, #8~21	546
10	14000	Open 14 units, #8~21	588
11	15000	Open 14 units, #8~21	630
11	16000	Open 14 units, #8~21	672
12	17000	Open 14 units, #8~21	714
13	17930	Open 14 units, #8~21	753
14	>17930	Open 14 units, #8~21	825

557

558

Table 3 Calculation cases with different opening modes under high-flow conditions

Case No.	Flow rate (m ³ /s)	Opening mode of units	Flow rate of each unit (m ³ /s)
1	12000	Open 9 units on the left, #13~21	784.0
2	12000	Open 10 units on the left, #12~21	705.6
3	12000	Open 11 units on the left, #11~21	641.5
4	12000	Open 12 units on the left, #10~21	588.0
5	12000	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	542.8
6	13000	Open 10 units on the left, #12~21	764.4
7	13000	Open 11 units on the left, #11~21	694.9
8	13000	Open 12 units on the left, #10~21	637.0
9	13000	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	588.0
10	14000	Open 10 units on the left, #12~21	823.2
11	14000	Open 11 units on the left, #11~21	748.4
12	14000	Open 12 units on the left, #10~21	686.0
13	14000	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	633.2
14	15000	Open 11 units on the left, #11~21	801.8
15	15000	Open 12 units on the left, #10~21	735.0
16	15000	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	678.5
17	16000	Open 12 units on the left, #10~21	784.0
18	16000	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	723.7
19	17000	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	768.9
20	17930	Open 13 units on the left, #9~21	811.0

564 Figure legends

565 **Figure 1** Location of the study area. (a) Location of Yangtze River and Hubei Province in China;
566 (b) Location of the Gezhouba Dam in Hubei Province, where is shown in red; (c) General condition
567 of the field survey and location of the cross-sections (CS1~CS6); (d) Location of the units of the
568 Dajiang Plant and the underwater topographic map of study area.

569

570 **Figure 2** Plots of the measured and model values for cross-sections 1~6 (Figure 1c)

571

572 **Figure 3** Plots of frequency for the different flow velocity ranges of Chinese sturgeon signals.

573

574 **Figure 4** The flow field of the spawning ground under different opening modes with the same
575 flow rate, where the numbers at the top of each picture are the number of the unit to open, and the
576 arrows indicate the direction of water flow.

577

578 **Figure 5** The proportions of suitable velocity area with all units opened under different flow rates.

579

580 **Figure 6** The proportions of suitable area for different opening modes under high-flow conditions,
581 where the 12000-09 on the x-axis means that the flow rate is 12000 m³/s and 9 units are open on
582 the left.

583

584 **Figure 7** Spawning date of the Chinese Sturgeon downstream of the Gezhouba Dam

585

586 **Figure 8** The daily flow rate of the Chinese sturgeon during the spawning day downstream of the
587 Gezhouba Dam.

588

589

590

591

592