

1**Title:** Ecological restoration of fly ash-dumped area: Challenges and Opportunities

2**Running title:** Ecorestoration of fly ash deposits

3**Authors:** Swati Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Vimal Chandra Pandey<sup>2,\*</sup>, Lal Singh<sup>1,\*</sup>

4<sup>1</sup>CSIR-National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nehru Marg, Nagpur, Maharashtra  
5440 020, India

6

7<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, Uttar  
8Pradesh 226 025, India

9

10**\*Correspondence**

11Vimal Chandra Pandey, Department of Environmental Science, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambdekar

12University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email: [vimalcpandey@gmail.com](mailto:vimalcpandey@gmail.com)

13Lal Singh, CSIR-National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nehru Marg, Nagpur,

14Maharashtra, India, Email: [lalsingh82@gmail.com](mailto:lalsingh82@gmail.com)

15

16**Abstract**

17Fly ash (FA) is the 80% of coal burnt by-product of thermal power plants (TPPs), its disposal  
18in landfills causes environmental and health issues. The amount of FA production is  
19increasing continuously to fulfil the worldwide energy for demand, which possibly never find  
20a practically safe method for FA dumping. Its fine particle size disperses in the air and causes  
21air pollution and water pollution is resulted due to slurry erosion from FA dumps and  
22contamination by leachate. Health issues and environmental concerns due to fly ash  
23landfills/dumpsites can be prohibited by covering with phytoaccumulator plant species.  
24Limitations of plant growth in FA includes alkaline pH, contain metals such as Cr, Cd, As, Hg  
25and Pd, toxic level of B, pozzolanic properties of FA and lack of microbial activity.  
26Generally, the phytoremediation process is slow therefore, to accelerate the phytoremediation  
27process FA require organic amendments and bio-fertilizers. This article focuses on the role of  
28naturally occurring plants in stabilization of FA dumpsite and physiochemical changes in FA.  
29This review summarises the different holistic approaches of rehabilitations of FA landfills and  
30also compiles how to convert FA landfills into useful landfills for bioenergy productions.  
31Utilization of organic matter and industrial waste has been proved to provide essential  
32nutrients for plant establishment and heavy metal accumulation. The outcomes of this learning  
33are beneficial for classifying site-specific ecological restoration of FA landfills through  
34holistic approach.

35**Keywords** Coal combustion residue, toxic metals, restoration, opportunities, plant responses,

### 371. Introduction to Fly ash dumps

38Fly ash (FA), as a primary discharge from the Coal Thermal power plants (CTPPs), makes  
 39use of it in hot steam to generate electricity. It is about 80% of coal burnt by-product  
 40(Temuujin *et al.* 2019) and its production is growing continuously to mitigate the ever  
 41growing energy requirements across the globe, which could not yet discover a harmless way  
 42of dumping. In general, there are two ways of FA dumping viz. dry ash and wet ash dumping.  
 43Wet ash dumping in form of slurry requires large amount water that too leads to shear  
 44wastage of another valuable resource (Madhumita *et al.* 2018). FA disposed land thus  
 45invades and contaminates thousands hectares of valuable agricultural land adjacent to the  
 46TPPs over the world. The dry FA disposal contaminates the air and causes air pollution. The  
 47fine particles disperse in the air and cause pollution. The water pollution results due to slurry  
 48erosion from FA dumps and contamination by leachate (Chakraborty & Mukherjee, 2009).  
 49The primary cause of FA pollution is due to toxic heavy metals such as Pd, B, Hg, Cd, Cr  
 50present in it (Pandey *et al.* 2014). FA contains hazardous organic pollutants besides  
 51radionuclides (Celik *et al.* 2007; Ruhl *et al.* 2009). The organic pollutants include dioxin,  
 52polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, polychlorinated biphenyls, chlorinated benzofurans, and  
 53methyl sulphate (Wheatley & Sadhra, 2004; Jambhulkar *et al.* 2018a). These elements  
 54bioaccumulate and enter into the food chain and cause serious health issues for the living  
 55organisms that need to be remediated.

56 FA dumps are required to be covered with vegetation so as to remediate such hazardous  
 57organic and inorganic pollutants. However, some physiochemical and biological limitations  
 58of FA landfills hinder plant establishment and further growth. Alkaline pH, soluble salts, lack  
 59of nitrogen, phosphorus, organic carbon (OC), presence of toxic level of boron, absence of  
 60bacterial and fungi activities, and pozzolanic property of FA making in compacted layers are  
 61few major limitations of FA that need serious attention (Pandey & Singh, 2010). FA landfills  
 62can be converted into concentrated vegetation cover by utilising organic manures and  
 63microbial strains during plantation (Mulhern *et al.* 1989). The properties of FA can be of  
 64better-quality by adding of suitable amendments for plant establishment. Additionally,  
 65biofertilizers can be used for improving plant- biomass and canopy cover. ??? FA carries  
 66nutrients such as organic carbon (OC), nitrogen (N), and available phosphorous (P) for  
 67supplementing plant growth and development, and reducing the toxicity of heavy metals in

68soil (Haynes, 2009). Earthworms are the first colonizers in FA landfills as studied by  
69Eijsackers (2010).

70 Establishment of plants on such contaminated lands are still very challenging mission for  
71the preservationists, biologist, conservationists and law makers. Nonetheless, it can be  
72achived through repetitive afforestation with different approaches (Singh *et al.* 2011).  
73Transformation of FA landfills in biodiversity rich ecosystem facilitates alleviation of  
74hazardous pollutants. Ultimately, conversion of contaminated land into a resourceful and  
75bioenergy productive land is the requirement of present scenario of the growing  
76environmental issues. This review paper compiles all possible ways to remediate/reclaim the  
77FA contaminated land with different organic amendments and bio-fertilizers. It also focuses  
78on the role of naturally growing plants on FA dumps to pave the way forward for undertaking  
79further afforestation programmes.

80

## 812. Physicochemical properties of Fly Ash

82The physical and chemical properties of FA are mostly based on the characteristics of the  
83parent coal, combustion method, type of emission device, storage and treatment, and  
84methods of combustion (Ukwattage *et al.* 2013). Based on different types of coals such as  
85bituminous, lignite, and anthracite coals, fly ashes are classified into two categories viz. class  
86F and class C. The classification is according to the presence of silica, alumina, and iron. The  
87burning of lignite and subbituminous coal produces class C ash and the burning of anthracite  
88and bituminous coal produces class F ash. The class C has higher concentration of CaO than  
89class F (Da Costa *et al.* 2004; Ahmaruzzaman, 2010). FA consist of very fine particles with  
90average diameter of less than 10 micron and the average particle size ranges in between 0.01  
91to 100 mm, and thus FA is easily leachable (Pandey & Singh, 2010). Generally, FA is  
92alkaline in nature and its pH ranges from 4.5 to 12 owing to the presence of S, Ca, and Mg in  
93it. Coal containing higher concentration of S produces acidic pH on combustion, whereas,  
94alkaline pH is due to higher concentration of hydroxide and carbonate salts of Mg and Ca in  
95parent coal. Bituminous and lignite coal have low S concentration therefore, produces  
96alkaline pH of 8.50-9.90 (Weber *et al.* 2015); 9.40, (Pandey & Singh, 2014). Whereas, in  
97India, Jambhulkar and Juwarkar (YEAR) reported 5.01 pH of FA from TPP (Jambhulkar &  
98Juwarkar, 2009).

99 FA contains essential nutrients such as P, K, Ca, Mg, and S along with heavy metals like  
100B, Ni. Cu, Cd, Cr, Mn, Mo, As, Se and Al. The Ca is dominantly present in FA than Mg, Na

101and K. Due to smaller particle size, FA is easily leachable for heavy metals and thus become  
102bio-available (Ukwattage *et al.* 2013). FA is devoid of N, organic carbon and available P  
103that are highly essential for plant growth and development (Ram & Masto, 2014). Practically,  
104FA is observed to have changed the electrical conductivity of soil on unweathering of FA  
105than weathering. However, the N, available P and organic carbon contents are low in  
106unweathering FA than on weathering (Gajić *et al.* 2016). Collectively, it confers that the  
107physicochemical properties of soil changes due the plant species that create favourable  
108conditions for further plantations. The above-mentioned section defines the variability in  
109physicochemical properties of FA that is required before plantation and for undertaking  
110further amendments on land for expected outcomes.

111

### 1123. Limitation for plant growth on FA dumpsite

113Plantation on FA to recover its ecological status is an upsurging strategy being employed  
114across the world. Several successful attempts have been made at different degrees of land-  
115mass cover by FA in various parts of world. Despite of success, the slow processing rate is  
116major drawback of this approach (Pandey *et al.* 2009). Plants required specific  
117physicochemical and biological environments for healthy growth. Harsh environment of FA  
118creates considerable hindrance in the growth of plant that affects the growth rate and limits  
119the success rate of phytoremediation.

120

### 1214. Fly ash dumps restoration

#### 1224.1 Phytorestoration

123*Naturally growing plant-based restoration*—Phytoremediation is a holistic method which was  
124introduced before few decades ago, using plants for rehabilitation of contaminated land. Although,  
125several chemical and extraction methods are available for reclamation of heavy metal  
126contaminated land but they are not economically suitable for vast contaminated land. Generally,  
127FA landfills do not support plant stabilization due to its harsh physiochemical conditions. In many  
128plants, growth is restricted due to alkaline pH, toxicity heavy metals, lack of nitrogen, lack of  
129organic material and unavailable phosphorus (Pandey and Singh 2010). Still numbers of naturally  
130growing plants survive on FA landfills after few years because of their innate capability. In this  
131aspect, worldwide, many naturally occurring plants have been detected on FA dumps (Pandey  
1322012b, a, 2013; Gajić *et al.* 2019). On the bases of phytoremediation, the naturally growing plants  
133have potential for phytostabilization and phytoextraction. Phytostabilization involves reduction of

the mobility of metals and phenols in substrate and prevent metal bioavailability in the food chain (Prasad 2006; Nwoko 2010). It is a productive method that can be functional for heavy metal contaminated land such as, FA dumps. The phytostabilization potential plant species for phytostabilization must have enlarged root structures and capability to arrest contaminants at the rhizosphere level and prevents the passage of metals in above parts of plant (Baker 1981). Phytoextraction is a process in which plants extract heavy metals from the substrate to plants tissue (Keller et al. 2005). Phytoextractor plants are hyperaccumulator that sequester high amount of heavy metals from root to shoot, higher biomass, fast growth and tolerance against heavy metal (Tong et al. 2004). According to the field survey by (Gupta and Sinha 2008), five naturally growing plants *Sida cardifolia*, *Calotropis procera*, *Chenopodium album*, *Blumea lacera* and *Cassia tora* are found to be a phytostabilisers and phytoaccumulators in FA dumpsites. In this study the translocation of metals (Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, and Cd) was reported in *Sida cardifolia* and metal (Ni, Pb, Co and Zn) in *C. album* it shows that *S. cardifolia* and *C. album* are suitable for phytoextraction of metals in FA landfills. The Phytostabilisers of FA dumps are *Blumea lacera* (Co and Mn), *Cassia tora* (Cr, Cu, and Fe), *Calotropis procera* (Zn and Co), *C. album* (Cr, Mn and Cd), and *S. cardifolia* (Pd, Ni and Co). The maximum bioconcentration factor (BCF) was recorded in *S. cardifolia* and *C. procera*. Several researches in the literature have reported that the naturally growing plants on FA dumps are more potential to sustain and tolerate harsh conditions. Therefore, it has been suggested in many case studies that naturally colonised plants are suitable for phytoremediation/ revegetation of toxic metal contaminated lands (**Table 1**). The maximum of flora studies discovered that a large variety plants are naturally colonized plants and planted on FA landfills for revegetation belong to Asteraceae, Brassicaceae, Chenopodiaceae, , Poaceae, Rosaceae and Fabaceae families (Gajić et al. 2019). Leguminous and grassy plants have fast proliferating and self-improving properties therefore have potential for revegetation and rehabilitation of FA landfills (Pandey and Singh 2010; Pandey 2015)). Naturally growing plants in FA landfills such as *Saccharum munja*, *Cassia siamea*, and *R. communis* perform well as primary coloniser for phytoremediation (Pandey and Singh 2011). The above-mentioned plants also accelerate the rehabilitation process on FA landfills and accumulate metal concentrations in plant tissue. According to the restoration potential of naturally growing plants more research is required for identification of the restoration potential of these plants. There are many research studies on remediation of FA dumps by introducing plant species. However, these approaches have limited opportunities due to the long-term process and low possibilities of economic return. Therefore, assisted phytoremediation through naturally occurring and economic plants will be the facilitator for fast green capping of the FA dump sites.

168 In India, naturally occurring plants on FA deposits are *Acacia nelotica*,, *Achyranthes*  
 169*aspera*. *Aerva lanata*, *Ageratum conyzoides* , *Amaranthus spinosus* , *Amaranthus viridis* *Azolla*  
 170*caroliniana*, *Bergia ammannioides*, *Blumea lacera*, *Calotropis gigantea*, *Calotropis procera* ,  
 171*Cassia occidentalis* , *Cassia tora* ,. *Chenopodium album* . *Croton bonplandianum*. *Cynodon*  
 172*dactylon*, *Cyprus esculentus* , *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* *Datura metal*, *Delbergia sissoo*,  
 173*Desmodium triflorum*, *Dicliptera paniculate*, *Eclipta prostrata*, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Eragrostis*  
 174*nutans*, *Eragrostis Pilosa*, *Eragrostis unioides*, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Fernandoa adenophylla*, *Ficus*  
 175*racemose*, *Fimbristylis bisumbellata*, *Glinus oppositifolius*, *Holoptelea integrifolia*, *Ipomoea*  
 176*aquatica*, *Ipomoea carnea*, *Kyllinga brevifolia*, *Lantana camera*, *Launaea procumbence*, *Leucas*  
 177*aspera*, *Lowsonia inermis*, *Parthenium hysterphorus*, *Phragmites karka*, *Phyla nodiflora*, *Physalis*  
 178*minima*, *Physalis peruviana*, *Polygonum glabrum*, *Prosopis juliflora*, , *Sida cordifolia*,  
 179*Sacchrum munja* *Saccharum spontaneum*, *Sonchus asper*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Saccharum*  
 180*bengalense*, *Solanum virginianum*, *Tephrosia purpurea*, *Tridax procumbens*, *Typha latifolia*,  
 181*Ziziphus nummularia* (Gupta and Sinha 2008; Rau et al. 2009; Pandey 2012b, a, 2015; Kumari et  
 182al. 2013; Pandey et al. 2015a, b; Madhumita et al. 2018; Maiti and Pandey 2020).

183 Naturally growing plants have been listed across the world. In Australia, the ecologically  
 184potential plants on fly ash deposits are *A. hybridus*, *B. serrata*, *C. bienis*, *C. dactylon*, *C.*  
 185*esculentus*, *H. contortus*, *D. eriantha*, *Eragrostis sp.*, *H. hirta*, *L. bonariensis*, *L. cunea*, *Setaria*  
 186*sphacelate*, *T. leucothrix* (Van Rensburg et al. 2003;(Morgenthal et al. 2001) *Atriplex*, *E.*  
 187*tomentosa*, *Halosarcia*, *Mesembryanthemum*, *N. billardieri* and *S. colloris* (Jusaitis and Pillman  
 1881997). Various plants species found on FA dumps are *C acuminatum*, *E. indica*, *N. reynaudiana*,  
 189*T chinensis*, *P. aquilinum*, *F. polytrichoides*, *P. repens* (Chu 2008). In South Africa, plant  
 190species identified on fly ash dump sites are *A. hybridus*, *C. bienis*, *C. gayana.*, *C. dactylon*,  
 191*Eragrostis sp.* *C. esculentus*, *D. eriantha*, *H. hirta*, *L. bonariensis*, *L. cunea*, *B. serrata*, *H.*  
 192*contortus*, *T. leucothrix*, *S. sphacelate* (Morgenthal et al. 2001; Van Rensburg et al. 2003).  
 193Summarise data could pave way to frame restoration strategies at newly form FA catena as per its  
 194respective spatial distribution of plants. Furthermore, timely documentation should be the process  
 195in newly formed FA dumps with emerging thermal power plant.

196

197Tree planting-based restoration–FA is the waste disposal of TPPs and its quantity is increasing to  
 198achieve global demands, which has not yet been able to find the suitable mechanism. The  
 199formation of green belt on FA landfills is the ecological approach to cope up with the pollution  
 200due to FA. Suitable approach is required to reduce the ecological and environmental issues due to  
 201FA by establishing potential tree species around the FA dumps. Such afforestation protects the

environment through different ways. Firstly, it supports heavy metals stabilization to prevent leaching of metals to groundwater and secondly it generates bioenergy and lastly increases carbon sequestration. Thus, the restoration of FA landfills with potential tree species would be an active ecological approach to preserve the environment. After a suitable period, it paves the path in formation of the ecosystem and raises socio-economic standards of the localities. Several studies have focused on the potential of the assisted vegetation and naturally growing tree species on FA landfills as mentioned in Table 2. It is widely known that naturally occurring plants take long time to cover the FA landfill it occurs slowly due to the unfavourable condition of FA landfills (Pandey and Singh, 2012). Therefore, plantation of potential grass, leguminous plant and tree species control soil erosion and facilitate heavy metal uptake by the plants (Pandey et al., 2012, Pandey et al., 2015a). The goal of this learning is to evaluate the revegetation of the tolerant tree species and to expand the knowledge about the rejuvenation status of planted and naturally growing trees under reformed FA ecosystems. The study suggests that the suitable tree species producing higher biomass on FA landfill such as *Acacia*, *P. juliflora* are grown for generating profit as their growth does not get affected by harsh properties of FA. In this favour, a conceptual research presents the role of afforestation for the mitigation of environmental pressures of FA landfills accompanying advantages for ecological and economic benefits.

219

#### 2204.2 Mycorrhizoremediation

In several studies, the phytoremediation practices have been resulted in unproductive outcomes in decreasing the death rate of planted seedlings due to the lack of essential available nutrients like N, available P, high soluble salt, low soil microbial activity, and pozzolanic property of FA makes cement layers on FA landfills (Selvam and Mahadevan 2002). The lack of symbiotic association between plants and mycorrhiza that increases the nutrient source to the host plants and decrease biotic stress, may be responsible for the difficulties in revegetation (Sylvia and Williams 1992). Mycorrhizal fungi are universal in nature as a soil microorganism that make symbiotic association with plant roots (Smith and Read 2008). Ecologically, Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) play principal part in the rehabilitation of contaminated landfills by enhancing the fertility of polluted land and plant nutrition (Chen et al. 2001). Utilization of potential AMF strains may accelerate the rate of rehabilitation and productivity of phytoremediation process (Vosatka 2001). Mycoremediation is the bioremediation technology to overcome the limitation of phytoremediation. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) develops the mutual symbiotic association with plant roots and facilitate the uptake of P, several soil nutrients and increases the

235stress tolerance of host plants (Yang et al. 2016). In the symbiotic association between the fungi  
 236and plant roots in which fungi obtain source of carbon from the roots of the plant and it also has  
 237been estimated that 20% of total carbon sequestered by the plants are released as root exudates in  
 238the rhizosphere (Ely and Smets 2017). In return, fungi promote the phosphate solubilization,  
 239fixing N and forming plant growth regulator which accelerate the plant growth and development.  
 240Several trials have been conducted to study the role of fungi in phytoremediation of FA dumps  
 241(Suhara et al. 2003; Awoyemi and Dzantor 2017). (Selvam and Mahadevan (2002) have isolated  
 242and identified 15 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) species from different plant species grown  
 243in FA dump such as *A. leucophloea*, *A. occidentale*, *A. indica*, *C. equisetifolia*, *T. indica* and *T.*  
 244*grandis*. The isolated AMF were *Acaulospora gerdemannii*, *Gigaspora sp.* *G. decipiens*, *G.*  
 245*gigantea*, *G. margarita*, *Glomus sp.*, *G. citricola*, *G. formosanum*, *G. fasciculatum*, *G. fulvum*, *G.*  
 246*mosseae*, *G. maculosum*, *G. magnicaule*, *G. tenebrosum*, *Scutellospora erythroa*, *Scutellospora*  
 247*fulgida*, and *Sclerocystis pachycaulis*. Moreover, few endomycorrhizal association have also been  
 248observed in naturally growing plants on the same FA dump site (Selvam and Mahadevan 2002).

249 Babu and Reddy (2011) have reported different AMF from dominant plants grown in FA  
 250deposits in Damnjodi, Odisha, India. The isolated AMF were *Glomus species*, *G. etunicatum*, *G.*  
 251*heterogama*, *G. maculosum*, *G. magnicaule*, *G. multicaule*, and *G. Rosea*, and *Scutellospora*  
 252*heterogama* and *Scutellospora nigra*. It has been observed that the AMF diversity varies with the  
 253rhizospheres of different plant species on FA. According to the above identified AMF *Glomus*  
 254fungal species is abundantly present in FA dump and tolerant to FA (Babu and Reddy, 2011).  
 255Furthermore, the inoculated plants with AMF improves the plant growth, chlorophyll content, and  
 256total P content of *Eucalyptus tereticornis* saplings grown on FA, and decreased Al, Cu, Zn, and Fe  
 257translocation. Therefore, it is suggested that the utilization of FA adapted AMF supports the  
 258remediation of contaminated sites. Moreover, the researchers at The Energy and Resources  
 259Institute (TERI) proposed mycorrhizal mediated reclamation technology. They have isolated, and  
 260multiplied different strains of AMF under green cover conditions (Das et al. 2013). The isolated  
 261and multiplied AMF along with farm yard manure (FYM) were inoculated with plants on three  
 262different FA dumps at 200 infectious propagules rate per plant (Das et al. 2013). It improved the  
 263physico-chemical and biological properties significantly by accepting mycorrhiza technology.  
 264There were manyfold increase in organic matter and rise in microbial activities (Das et al. 2013).  
 265Hrynkiewicz et al. (2009) conducted the pot experiment and estimated the *Salix* plant growth  
 266inoculated with a mycorrhiza-associated bacterial strain (*Sphingomonas sp.* 23L) and observed  
 267enhanced shoot and root growth of a *S. viminalis* x *S. caprea* hybrid. The inoculation with  
 268mycorrhiza promoting bacterial strains might be a suitable method to promote mycorrhizal



formation with autochthonous site-adopted ectomycorrhizal fungi in FA and thus to progress re-vegetation of FA dumps with *Salix spp.* (Hryniewicz et al. 2009).

Another research study conducted by Ultra (YEAR) on *Delonix regia*, in pot experiment by planting *Cymbopogon citratus* in FA with different amendments such as mycorrhiza, NPK fertilizers, compost and there the different combinations. NPK alone resulted manyfolds of yield and growth than control. Compost resulted in lowering the metal availability and provided nutrient availability while mycorrhiza improved the nutrient and water uptake. Combined utilization of NPK, compost and mycorrhiza decreased the concentration of Cr, Cu, Ni, and Pb in shoots and in essential oil. Overall, the combined application improves the phytostabilization potential of *C. citratus* in FA (Ultra, 2020). Inoculation of *Rhizophagus fasciculatus* fungi in *Paspalum scrobiculatum* with different doses of FA.

The plant growth improved with FA doses of 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with inoculated AM fungi (Channabasava et al. 2015). Results depicted that both FA amendment and AMF inoculation significantly improved the number and weight of grains and suggested that the inoculation of AMF could be beneficial for rehabilitation of FA dumps.

In another research study (Ultra and Manyiwa, 2020) conducted to evaluate the growth and phytoaccumulation capacity of three dominant *Acacia species* in mine tailing amended with FA and mycorrhiza. The result of this study revealed that mycorrhizal inoculation boosted the existence of *A. luederitzii*, and *A. albida* and reduced the *A. tortilis* survival in mine tailings and the combined mycorrhizal inoculation and FA amendment boosted the establishment of *A. luederitzii* (Ultra and Manyiwa 2020). The symbiotic association of mycorrhiza and plants root has powerfully co-evolved over a time and depends on each other. The AMF support the root system enlargement of the host plants and improve their nutrient up-take capacity such as N, P and water more efficiently than roots alone. In summary, literature reports evidenced that AMFs isolated from heavy metal contaminated area show high tolerance and hence, can be utilised for phytoremediation of FA dumps. Mycorrhizal symbiotic association can greatly improve host plant heavy metal tolerance by improving plant nutrient acquisition and by inducing the fate of the metals in both the plants and substrate (Hryniewicz et al. 2009).

### 4.3 Bacterial mediated remediation of FA dumps

FA disposal and dumps are the serious problems across the world. Phytoremediation is a holistic approach to remediate and improve it when coupled with microbes (Ullah et al. 2015). Various plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) have been isolated from naturally growing plants on FA dump-sites as detailed in Table 1. The Phytobacterial association is more productive than the

303phytoremediation alone in removal of heavy metals in stressful condition. *Enterobacter sp.* and  
304*Bacillus sp.* are predominantly present in FA dumps that are tolerant to heavy metals (Tiwari et al.  
3052008; Kumar et al. 2009; Kumari and Singh 2011; Raja and Omine 2013; Mukherjee et al. 2017).  
306Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) efficiently enhance yield and growth of plants by  
307N fixation and accelerated growth hormone generation *i.e.* indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)  
308that improves the availability of plant nutrients by producing siderophore and solubilising  
309phosphate. Ethylene hormone has inhibitory role on root system and plant growth (Lv et al. 2018).  
310PGPR lowers the ethylene concentration through release of 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic  
311acid deaminase enzyme which favours in plant growth in stressed conditions (Ullah et al. 2015;  
312Chen et al. 2017). Soil is rich in P in unavailable form and plants cannot absorb it directly.  
313Bacteria release organic acids that promote the P solubilization. Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria  
314include *Rhizobium sp.*, *Bacillus sp.*, and *Pseudomonas sp.* (Chen et al. 2006). The studies  
315mentioned in Table 3. describe that the FA associated microbes are well adapted to heavy metal  
316contaminated sites and increase the bioavailability of metalloids from FA to complement  
317phytoremediation.

318 The habitat of microorganism is mainly in the rhizospheric region of plants which play  
319important role in solubilization of organic and inorganic pollutants. Inoculation of PGPR and  
320AMF in revegetation is beneficial because they have incredible ability to improve plant growth,  
321increase biomass and defend plants from phytotoxicity. It is required to isolate /study/ multiply  
322such bacteria and fungi tolerant to harsh substrate. Active metabolism of microflora in response  
323to organic extract from roots subsidizes the rhizofiltration. It can be determined that potential  
324strains of bacteria and fungi have the ability to access metals that should be included in the  
325consortia for further progress in phytoremediation of contaminated and barren land. However,  
326phytoremediation is slow and spontaneous process and takes long time for desired results however  
327through this approach rehabilitation speeds up. Along with it selection of native plant species is  
328desirable in phytoremediation and research should focus on elevating the effectiveness of native  
329varieties by identifying and studying microflora from the rhizospheric microorganism of these  
330plants through several biotechnological approaches.

331

#### 3324.4 Organic amendments

333FA dumping is a serious problem for the environment which causes soil, water and air pollution.  
334Therefore, it is required to restore the FA dump-site with phytoaccumulating plant species to  
335control the heavy metal leaching to the groundwater and dispersion of FA into the air. Although,  
336FA contains micro nutrients, but are devoid of essential macro element such as N, available P and

organic carbon (Pandey and Singh 2010). Therefore, plants face difficulties to cope with harsh physiochemical condition of FA. Due to the lack of organic matter in FA, it is necessary to add organic matter into the FA to maintain the nutrient cycle and also to maintain the concentration of N, available P and organic carbon (Jambhulkar and Juwarkar 2009). Reforestation is tough on FA dumps unless the organic amendments and bio-fertilizers are applied (Pandey and Singh, 2010). A number of field trials and green house experiment have been performed with selected plant species and with various organic and industrial waste amendments on FA landfills worldwide and the results of various studies have been discussed in (table 4). Some organic amendments such as vermicompost, farm yard manure, animal manure, industrial wastes, sewage sludge, and press mud are well proven for the making of FA suitable for plant growth (Ram and Masto 2014). Various studies have been proposed with positive role in reclamation and revegetation of FA dumps and have been put forth for effective remediation such as 1) suitable plant species 2) nutrient rich organic amendments and industrial waste, and 3) bio-fertilizers (Pierzynski et al., 2004; Gupta et al., 2007).

351

## 352 **5. Morphological responses**

The study on the morphological character of plant adaptation on contaminated habitat is required for revegetation of plants at disturbed lands (Pandey et al. 2020). According to the studies, the unfavourable properties of FA for the plant growth such as high pH, lack of N may significantly affect the morphology of plants. The naturally growing plants *Withania somnifera* at Badarpur thermal power plant (BTPP) resulted in significant decrease in the leaf morphology such as leaf length, leaf area and leaf width (Qadir et al. 2020). Similarly, the leaf length, leaf area and leaf width of naturally growing *Azadiracta indica* presented a decreasing trend from the control site near to BTPP. The leaves of *A. indica* also showed chlorosis and necrosis (Qadir et al. 2016). In contrast, the study of two *Betula* species growing on FA landfills shown 1.5-2 folds more in leaf area ratio and leaf mass ratio compared with the *Betula* species growing in forest near FA landfills. This reflects the growth of a larger leaf assimilating surface per tree. Leaf thickness was higher in both *Betula* species on FG dump sites (Kalashnikova et al. 2020). Due to the presence of toxic concentration of As, the morphological impairment has been observed in leaves of *D. glomerata* grown on FA dump site in the form of chlorosis like yellowing and light green as well as leaf necrosis, wilting, reduction in root and leaf growth (Gajić et al. 2020). These plant and contaminated landfills interactions convert the plant's metabolism and leaf morphology to adapt

369in new habitats. Therefore, plants can be used as a bio-indicator and bio-indicator for environmental  
370contamination.

371

## 3726. Physiological responses

373Wide gaps about the knowledge related to the potential of the physiological tolerance of the  
374invasive plant species towards the harsh macro and micro- climatic stress of FA deposits. More  
375concentrated screening of physiological response of naturally growing plants on FA dump site for  
376ecore restoration and revegetation of contaminated landfills. The plants grow in stressful  
377environmental condition such as low and high temperature, lack and excess of heavy metal,  
378deficiency of essential nutrients, and salt stress have great distinctions in their physiological  
379mechanisms. These physiological mechanisms are photosynthesis, respiration, relative water  
380content, water saturation deficit, sap osmosis, chlorophyll, and carotenoids get effected due to  
381environmental stress (Pandey et al. 2020). Physiological potential/responses have been observed  
382in naturally growing plants on FA deposits. Physiological measures have been observed in  
383naturally occurring plants on FA dump-sites such as *Typha latifolia*, *Ipomea carnea*, *Prosopis*  
384*juliflora*, and *Saccharum spontaneum*. *Saccharum spontaneum* is most effective in carbon  
385sequestration it which reflected its maximum photosynthetic rate ( $29.29 \pm 0.46 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ).  
386The maximum water use efficiency ( $9.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ mmol CO}_2 \text{ mol H}_2\text{O}^{-1}$ ), high carboxylation, the  
387lowest transpiration rate ( $3.15 \pm 0.13 \text{ mmol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and stomatal conductance ( $0.2 \pm 0.004$   
388 $\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) as compared to other three species (Pandey et al. 2020). Chlorophyll content  
389were low at 50 and 100 % FA in *Cicer arietinum* but carotenoids concentration improved (Pandey  
390et al. 2010a). Also, chlorophyll and carotenoid level reduced in leaves of *Lolium perenne* in FA  
391amended soil (Lopareva-Pohu et al. 2011). Lower content of carotenoids and chlorophyll reflects  
392the sensitivity of photosynthetic pigments towards the harsh stress factors of FA.

393 However, movement of Mg in the chlorophyll molecule structure with metal ions leads to  
394the chlorophyll in non-functional molecule (Van Assche and Clijsters 1990). Moreover, less  
395content of carotenoids in plants can be advised in decreased PSII which leads to the dropping of  
396photosynthetic efficiency of plants (Gajić et al. 2016). The negative impact of 100% FA amended  
397with *L. leucocephala* grown revealed less content of chlorophyll, carotenoids, protein, and in-vivo  
398nitrate reductase activity as compared to 100% soil and FA and 50% press mud amendments  
399(Gupta et al. 2000). The efficiency of photosynthetic unit PSII in plants were lower than the  
400optimal range of plants growing on FA landfills (Björkman and Demmig 1987). The lower value  
401of PSII reaction centre has been observed in various plants species grown on FA landfills such as

402 *Epilobium collinum*, *Eupatorium cannabinum*, *Verbascum phlomoides*, *Cirsium arvense*,  
 403 *Calamagrostis epigejos*, *Crepis bienis*, *Oenothera biennis*, *Festuca rubra* and tree species  
 404 *Populus alba*, and *Robinia pseudoaccacia* and shrub species such as *Tamarix tetandra*, *Amorpha*  
 405 *fruticosa*, and *Spiraea van-houttei* (0.429–0.727) (Mitrović et al. 2008; Pavlović et al. 2004 )  
 406 Gajić et al. 2013) (Gajić et al. 2016), (Kostić et al. 2012). Oppositely, *Festuca rubra* growing for  
 407 11 years on FA lagoons showed improved photosynthetic efficiency (PSII) that reflected the  
 408 adaptive nature that increases the tolerance of the photosynthetic apparatus to stress after some  
 409 time (Gajić et al. 2016). Moreover, the relative water content has been observed high in plants  
 410 growing on FA landfills such as *Verbascum phlomoides*, *Crepus setosa*, *Epilobium collinum*, and  
 411 *Eupatorium cannabinum* but it was low in *Populus alba* (Pavlović et al. 2004). The relation  
 412 between the plant physiology and eco-restoration offers the new point of view on the association  
 413 between plant physiological response to stressful environment condition and ecorestoration.  
 414 Physiological and biochemical tools can be used to predict plant response to stressful  
 415 environmental conditions and towards the success of restoration projects.

416

## 417 7. Antioxidant responses

418 In several studies, the response of antioxidants has been observed to fight against the oxidative  
 419 stress due to the overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS). FA, consisting of metals and  
 420 metalloids like Fe, Ni, Pb, Cr, Pb, Cu and Cd are the alarms of toxicity which not only pollute the  
 421 environment but also result in oxidative stress with the production ROS such as peroxide and  
 422 superoxide radicals (Qadir et al. 2019). It creates oxidative stress by electron transfer and  
 423 inhibition of metabolic reaction (Pandey et al. 2010b). The raised level of Malondialdehyde in  
 424 plants is the indicators of ROS production which is the authentic key to measure oxidative stress  
 425 level in host plants (Randjelovic et al. 2016). Plants have a defence mechanism to control the ROS  
 426 through enzymatic and non- enzymatic antioxidants (Hossain et al. 2012), and also, the plants  
 427 grow in contaminated and toxic metal substrate having high antioxidant capacity (Randjelovic et  
 428 al. 2016). In several studies, the role of antioxidants have been noticed due to the presence of  
 429 higher concentrations of As enhanced the concentration of malondialdehyde in the leaves of  
 430 *Festuca rubra* grown on FA deposits (Gajić et al. 2016), *Zea mays* [(Stoeva et al. 2003),  
 431 *Adiantum capillillus-veneris* (Singh et al. 2010).

432 In a comparative study of plants growing in Badarpur Thermal Power Plants (BTPP) with  
 433 non-polluted site by Qadir et al. (2020) the plants indicated the signs of oxidative stress by higher  
 434 levels of malondialdehyde and electrolyte leakage from cells. Furthermore, the oxidative stress

has been observed in *Withania somnifera* through noteworthy and higher production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). In this study, the ability of *Withania somnifera* to well coordinate ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase, superoxide dismutase, and activities involved in the foraging of ROS along with the enhanced increment of nonenzyme activities (total ascorbic acid, proline, and oxidized glutathione) could be related to FA stress tolerance in *W. somnifera* (Qadir et al. 2020). In the observation of field survey on FA dump site near Nikola Tesla Thermal power plant the antioxidants properties have been noticed in *D. glomerata*. The level of Malondialdehyde, is higher in leaves that can occur due to the presence of As in leaves and the content of total phenolics. Ascorbic acid is higher in leaves and root of *D. glomerata* sown on FA dump as compared to the control site. The activation of antioxidant properties reflects the stress condition in plants growing in FA deposits. The DPPH scavenging activity is also higher in the roots of *D. glomerata* growing on FA deposits (Gajić et al. 2020). Though, higher concentration of Malondialdehyde indicates the sign for stimulation of antioxidant biosynthesis increasing the total phenolics, ascorbic acid, and scavenging capacity, which in turn provides recovery of PSII photochemistry and systematic electron transfer that supports in reducing the oxidative stress (Gajić et al. 2020). These studies indicated that the above-mentioned plant species i.e. *W. somnifera* and *D. glomerata* are potential species for phytoremediation of FA landfills and other contaminated sites. However, more studies and research are required to know about the potential plant species whereas to identify their role in oxidative stress that occur due to the contaminated substrate. The lack of explanation of complete mechanism of the role of antioxidants during the stressful condition is urgently required.

456

## 4578. Opportunities in fly ash dump restoration

Several prospects exist for improving FA landfills quality by application of different organic amendments such as FYM, press mud, sewage, sludge, cow dung, vermicompost and bio-fertilizers that improve the physicochemical properties of FA (Pandey and Singh, 2010). Phytoremediation is a low input approach that decreases the greenhouse gases production and accelerates the carbon sequestration (Pandey et al. 2016b). Combined utilization of potential mycorrhiza and bacteria would be effective in establishment of plants in harsh conditions of FA landfills and also support in dropping the level of HMs (Lewandowski et al. 2003; Dzantor et al. 2013; Pandey et al. 2016a; Pandey 2017). Continuous rejuvenation of FA dumping area with biomass production and accumulation of heavy metals through phytomanagement is a holistic approach that will also help in climate change mitigation (Pandey et al. 2016a). FA landfills

restoration promotes the enhancement of above and below ground biodiversity. The economically and ecologically valuable plant-based green technology such as phytoaccumulation and phytostabilization not only covers the FA dumps with the reduction of the toxic effect of HMs in FA but also generates revenue through phytoproducts (i.e. essential oil, biomass, biofuels, biodiesel, fibre, wood biomass for paper and packaging material, etc.) produced from phytoremediation (Pandey 2017; Pandey, 2020).

474

## **9. Future prospects and conclusion**

Revegetation/rehabilitation of huge FA landfills is the present research priority. FA landfills can be utilised for both ecological and socio-economical purposes. The ecological purposes can be solved by utilising of potential plant species for phytoremediation which have good biomass, higher N<sub>2</sub> fixing capacity and flourishing the microbial diversity of rhizosphere and soil. The socio-economical purpose is dependent on biomass production and market value of the biomass of the planted bioenergy crops. There is a need to create general awareness among the localities and farmers for utilization of such contaminated landfills into bioenergy crop for economical balance. To achieve ecological and socio-economic benefits more field scale work are required with analysis of both environmental issues and cost: benefit ratio. In biological point of view, isolation and identification of potential microorganism from re-vegetated FA landfills with the genomic markers is the latest dimension of upcoming research. This combined study will help to understand the physiological and molecular levels to attempt the proper scientific method for restoration of FA landfills. The expected outcomes of this review paper compile different holistic approaches to accelerate the phytoremediation process. As it is well known that the remediation is very slow unless a suitable approach is undertaken to accelerate the remediation process. The suitable approach involves the utilization of organic amendments, bio-fertilizers and potential plant species. More research work needs to be done on field scale to explore the outcomes of amended plantation. Flora and fauna play active role in rehabilitation of the FA landfills. Therefore, regular monitoring of the successions of the on-going rehabilitated FA landfills for better understanding towards stability and sustainability is indispensable. However, a very few studies are traceable on the bioindicators of the remediated FA landfills.

497

**Abbreviations** FA, Fly ash; CTPPs, Coal thermal power plants; CEA, Central electricity authority; VAM, Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza; OC, Organic carbon; MTs, Million tonnes

500

501**Acknowledgement** The authors are thankful to the Director, CSIR-NEERI, Nagpur, India for  
502encouragement and providing necessary facilities.

503

504**Authors' contributions** Swati Yadav (compiling and writing—original draft), Vimal Chandra  
505Pandey (conceptualization, visualization, writing—review and editing), Lal Singh (writing—  
506review and editing).

507**Competing interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

508**Funding information** No external funding was received for this review assignment.

509**Data availability statement** The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this  
510study are available within the article.

511**Conflict of Interest** There is no conflict of interest among the authors.

## 512References

513Ahmaruzzaman M (2010) A review on the utilization of fly ash. Progress in energy and  
514combustion science 36:327–363.

515Ali H, Khan E, Sajad MA (2013) Phytoremediation of heavy metals—concepts and applications.  
516Chemosphere 91:869–881.

517Awoyemi OM, Dzantor EK (2017) Toxicity of coal fly ash (CFA) and toxicological response of  
518switchgrass in mycorrhiza-mediated CFA-soil admixtures. Ecotoxicology and  
519environmental safety 144:438–444.

520Babu AG, Reddy MS (2011) Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with plants  
521growing in fly ash pond and their potential role in ecological restoration. Current  
522microbiology 63:273.

523Baker AJ (1981) Accumulators and excluders-strategies in the response of plants to heavy metals.  
524Journal of plant nutrition 3:643–654.

525Björkman, O., & Demmig, B. (1987). Photon yield of O<sub>2</sub> evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence  
526characteristics at 77 K among vascular plants of diverse origins. *Planta*, 170(4), 489-504.

527Carlson CL, Adriano DC (1991) Growth and elemental content of two tree species growing on  
528abandoned coal fly ash basins. Journal of environmental quality 20:581–587.

529Celik M, Donbak L, Unal F, et al (2007) Cytogenetic damage in workers from a coal-fired power  
530plant. Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis 627:158–  
531163.



532Chakraborty R, Mukherjee A (2009) Mutagenicity and genotoxicity of coal fly ash water leachate.  
533 Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 72:838–842.

534Channabasava A, Lakshman HC, Muthukumar T (2015) Fly ash mycorrhizoremediation through  
535 *Paspalum scrobiculatum* L., inoculated with *Rhizophagus fasciculatus*. *Comptes rendus*  
536 *biologies* 338:29–39.

537Chen B, Christie P, Li X (2001) A modified glass bead compartment cultivation system for  
538 studies on nutrient and trace metal uptake by arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Chemosphere*  
539 42:185–192.

540Chen Y, Yang W, Chao Y, et al (2017) Metal-tolerant *Enterobacter* sp. strain EG16 enhanced  
541 phytoremediation using *Hibiscus cannabinus* via siderophore-mediated plant growth  
542 promotion under metal contamination. *Plant and Soil* 413:203–216.

543Chen YP, Rekha PD, Arun AB, et al (2006) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil  
544 and their tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. *Applied soil ecology* 34:33–41.

545Cheung KC, Wong JPK, Zhang ZQ, et al (2000) Revegetation of lagoon ash using the legume  
546 species *Acacia auriculiformis* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Environmental Pollution*  
547 109:75–82.

548Choudhary KK (2020) Biochemical and Molecular Responses of Plants Exposed to Radioactive  
549 Pollutants. In: *Plant Responses to Soil Pollution*. Springer, pp 39–57.

550Chu LM (2008) Natural revegetation of coal fly ash in a highly saline disposal lagoon in Hong  
551 Kong. *Applied Vegetation Science* 11:297–306.

552Da Costa JD, Prasad P, Pagan RJ (2004) Modern environmental improvement pathways for the  
553 coal power generation industry in Australia. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*  
554 82:191–199.

555Das M, Agarwal P, Singh R, Adholeya A (2013a) A study of abandoned ash ponds reclaimed  
556 through green cover development. *International journal of phytoremediation* 15:320–329.

557Das M, Agarwal P, Singh R, Adholeya A (2013b) A study of abandoned ash ponds reclaimed  
558 through green cover development. *International journal of phytoremediation* 15:320–329.

559Dzantor EK, Pettigrew H, Adeleke E, Hui D (2013) Use of fly ash as soil amendment for biofuel  
560 feedstock production with concomitant disposal of waste accumulations. In: *World*  
561 *Congress of Coal Ash (WOCA) Conference*, Lexington, KY. pp 23–25.

562Eijsackers H (2010) Earthworms as colonisers: primary colonisation of contaminated land, and  
563 sediment and soil waste deposits. *Science of the total environment* 408:1759–1769.

564Ely CS, Smets BF (2017) Bacteria from wheat and cucurbit plant roots metabolize PAHs and  
565 aromatic root exudates: implications for rhizodegradation. *International journal of*  
566 *phytoremediation* 19:877–883.

567Gajić G, Djurdjević L, Kostić O, et al (2016) Assessment of the phytoremediation potential and an  
568 adaptive response of *Festuca rubra* L. sown on fly ash deposits: Native grass has a pivotal  
569 role in ecorestoration management. *Ecological Engineering* 93:250–261.

570Gajić G, Mitrović M, Pavlović P (2019) Ecorestoration of fly ash deposits by native plant species  
571 at thermal power stations in Serbia. In: *Phytomanagement of Polluted Sites*. Elsevier, pp  
572 113–177.

573Gajić, G., Djurdjević, L., Kostić, O., Jarić, S., Mitrović, M., Stevanović, B., & Pavlović, P.  
574 (2016). Assessment of the phytoremediation potential and an adaptive response of *Festuca*  
575 *rubra* L. sown on fly ash deposits: Native grass has a pivotal role in ecorestoration  
576 management. *Ecological Engineering*, 93, 250-261.

577Gajić, G., Djurdjević, L., Kostić, O., Jarić, S., Mitrović, M., Stevanović, B., & Pavlović, P.  
578 (2016). Assessment of the phytoremediation potential and an adaptive response of *Festuca*  
579 *rubra* L. sown on fly ash deposits: Native grass has a pivotal role in ecorestoration  
580 management. *Ecological Engineering*, 93, 250-261.

581Gajić, G., Djurdjević, L., Kostić, O., Jarić, S., Mitrović, M., Stevanović, B., & Pavlović, P.  
582 (2016). Assessment of the phytoremediation potential and an adaptive response of *Festuca*  
583 *rubra* L. sown on fly ash deposits: Native grass has a pivotal role in ecorestoration  
584 management. *Ecological Engineering*, 93, 250-261.

585Gajić, G., Pavlović, P., Kostić, O., Jarić, S., Đurđević, L., Pavlović, D., & Mitrović, M. (2013).  
586 Ecophysiological and biochemical traits of three herbaceous plants growing on the  
587 disposed coal combustion fly ash of different weathering stage. *Archives of Biological*  
588 *Sciences*, 65(4), 1651-1667.

589Gupta AK, Sinha S (2008) Decontamination and/or revegetation of fly ash dykes through  
590 naturally growing plants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 153:1078–1087.

591Gupta, M., Kumar, A., & Yunus, M. (2000). Effect of fly-ash on metal composition and  
592 physiological responses in *Leucaena leucocephala* (lamk.) De. Wit. *Environmental*  
593 *monitoring and assessment*, 61(3), 399-406.

594Haynes RJ (2009) Reclamation and revegetation of fly ash disposal sites—Challenges and research  
595 needs. *Journal of environmental management* 90:43–53.

596Hossain, M. A., Piyatida, P., da Silva, J. A. T., & Fujita, M. (2012). Molecular mechanism of  
597 heavy metal toxicity and tolerance in plants: central role of glutathione in detoxification of

598 reactive oxygen species and methylglyoxal and in heavy metal chelation. *Journal of*  
599 *Botany*, 2012.

600 Hryniewicz K, Baum C, Niedojadło J, Dahm H (2009) Promotion of mycorrhiza formation and  
601 growth of willows by the bacterial strain *Sphingomonas* sp. 23L on fly ash. *Biology and*  
602 *Fertility of Soils* 45:385–394.

603 Huang H, Yu N, Wang L, et al (2011) The phytoremediation potential of bioenergy crop *Ricinus*  
604 *communis* for DDTs and cadmium co-contaminated soil. *Bioresource technology*  
605 102:11034–11038.

606 Jambhulkar HP, Juwarkar AA (2009) Assessment of bioaccumulation of heavy metals by different  
607 plant species grown on fly ash dump. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 72:1122–  
608 1128.

609 Jambhulkar HP, Shaikh SMS, Kumar MS (2018) Fly ash toxicity, emerging issues and possible  
610 implications for its exploitation in agriculture; Indian scenario: A review. *Chemosphere*  
611 213:333–344.

612 Jamil S, Abhilash PC, Singh N, Sharma PN (2009) *Jatropha curcas*: a potential crop for  
613 phytoremediation of coal fly ash. *Journal of hazardous materials* 172:269–275.

614 Jusaitis M, Pillman A (1997) Revegetation of waste fly ash lagoons. I. Plant selection and surface  
615 amelioration. *Waste Management & Research* 15:307–321.

616 Juwarkar AA, Jambhulkar HP (2008) Restoration of fly ash dump through biological  
617 interventions. *Environmental monitoring and assessment* 139:355–365.

618 Kalashnikova, I. V., Migalina, S. V., Ronzhina, D. A., Ivanov, L. A., & Ivanova, L. A. (2020).  
619 Functional response of *Betula* species to edaphic and nutrient stress during restoration of  
620 fly ash deposits in the Middle Urals (Russia). *Environmental Science and Pollution*  
621 *Research*, 1-11.

622 Keller C, Marchetti M, Rossi L, Lugon-Moulin N (2005) Reduction of cadmium availability to  
623 tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants using soil amendments in low cadmium-contaminated  
624 agricultural soils: a pot experiment. *Plant and soil* 276:69–84.

625 Khan MA, Asaf S, Khan AL, et al (2020) Thermotolerance effect of plant growth-promoting  
626 *Bacillus cereus* SA1 on soybean during heat stress. *BMC microbiology* 20:1–14.

627 Kostić O, Jarić S, Gajić G, et al (2018) Pedological properties and ecological implications of  
628 substrates derived 3 and 11 years after the revegetation of lignite fly ash disposal sites in  
629 Serbia. *Catena* 163:78–88.

- 630Kostić O, MIĆROVIĆ Miros, Knežević M, et al (2012) The potential of four woody species for the  
631 revegetation of fly ash deposits from the 'Nikola Tesla-a' thermoelectric plant (Obrenovac,  
632 Serbia). *Archives of Biological Sciences* 64:145–158.
- 633Kostić, O., MIĆROVIĆ, M., Knežević, M., Jarić, S., Gajić, G., Đurđević, L., & Pavlović, P.  
634 (2012). The potential of four woody species for the revegetation of fly ash deposits from  
635 the 'Nikola Tesla-a' thermoelectric plant (Obrenovac, Serbia). *Archives of Biological*  
636 *Sciences*, 64(1), 145-158.
- 637Kumar KV, Patra DD (2012) Alteration in yield and chemical composition of essential oil of  
638 *Mentha piperita* L. plant: effect of fly ash amendments and organic wastes. *Ecological*  
639 *engineering* 47:237–241.
- 640Kumar KV, Srivastava S, Singh N, Behl HM (2009) Role of metal resistant plant growth  
641 promoting bacteria in ameliorating fly ash to the growth of *Brassica juncea*. *Journal of*  
642 *Hazardous Materials* 170:51–57.
- 643Kumari A, Pandey VC, Rai UN (2013) Feasibility of fern *Thelypteris dentata* for revegetation of  
644 coal fly ash landfills. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration* 128:147–152.
- 645Kumari B, Singh SN (2011) Phytoremediation of metals from fly ash through bacterial  
646 augmentation. *Ecotoxicology* 20:166–176.
- 647Landi M, Margaritopoulou T, Papadakis IE, Araniti F (2019) Boron toxicity in higher plants: An  
648 update. *Planta* 1–22.
- 649Lewandowski I, Scurlock JM, Lindvall E, Christou M (2003) The development and current status  
650 of perennial rhizomatous grasses as energy crops in the US and Europe. *Biomass and*  
651 *bioenergy* 25:335–361.
- 652Lopareva-Pohu, A., Verdin, A., Garçon, G., Sahraoui, A. L. H., Pourrut, B., Debiene, D., ... &  
653 Shirali, P. (2011). Influence of fly ash aided phytostabilisation of Pb, Cd and Zn highly  
654 contaminated soils on *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens* metal transfer and  
655 physiological stress. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(6), 1721-1729.
- 656Lv B, Tian H, Zhang F, et al (2018) Brassinosteroids regulate root growth by controlling reactive  
657 oxygen species homeostasis and dual effect on ethylene synthesis in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS*  
658 *Genetics* 14:e1007144.
- 659Madhumita ROY, Roychowdhury R, Mukherjee P (2018) Remediation of fly ash dumpsites  
660 through bioenergy crop plantation and generation: a review. *Pedosphere* 28:561–580.
- 661Maiti D, Pandey VC (2020) Metal remediation potential of naturally occurring plants growing on  
662 barren fly ash dumps. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health* 1–12.

663Maiti SK, Jaiswal S (2008) Bioaccumulation and translocation of metals in the natural vegetation  
664 growing on fly ash lagoons: a field study from Santaldih thermal power plant, West  
665 Bengal, India. *Environmental monitoring and assessment* 136:355–370.

666Maiti SK, Maiti D (2015) Ecological restoration of waste dumps by topsoil blanketing, coir-  
667 matting and seeding with grass–legume mixture. *Ecological Engineering* 77:74–84.

668Marimon-Junior BH, Hay JDV, Oliveras I, et al (2020) Soil water-holding capacity and  
669 monodominance in Southern Amazon tropical forests. *Plant and Soil* 450:65–79.

670Meravi N (2019) Reclamation of fly ash dykes using naturally growing plant species. *Proceedings*  
671 *of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences* 9:137.

672Mitrović, M., Pavlović, P., Lakušić, D., Djurdjević, L., Stevanović, B., Kostić, O., & Gajić, G.  
673 (2008). The potential of *Festuca rubra* and *Calamagrostis epigejos* for the revegetation of  
674 fly ash deposits. *Science of the total environment*, 407(1), 338-347.

675Morariu F, Mășu S, Lixandru B, Popescu D (2013) Restoration of ecosystems destroyed by the fly  
676 ash dump using different plant species. *Scientific Papers Animal Science and*  
677 *Biotechnologies* 46:180–184.

678Morgenthal TL, Cilliers SS, Kellner K, et al (2001) The vegetation of ash disposal sites at  
679 Hendrina Power Station II: Floristic composition. *South African journal of botany* 67:520–  
680 532.

681Mukherjee P, Roychowdhury R, Roy M (2017) Phytoremediation potential of rhizobacterial  
682 isolates from Kans grass (*Saccharum spontaneum*) of fly ash ponds. *Clean Technologies*  
683 *and Environmental Policy* 19:1373–1385.

684Mulhern DW, Robel RJ, Furness JC, Hensley DL (1989) Vegetation of Waste Disposal Areas at a  
685 Coal-Fired Power Plant in Kansas. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 18:285–292.

686Nwoko CO (2010) Trends in phytoremediation of toxic elemental and organic pollutants. *African*  
687 *Journal of Biotechnology* 9:6010–6016.

688Pandey SK, Bhattacharya T, Chakraborty S (2016a) Metal phytoremediation potential of naturally  
689 growing plants on fly ash dumpsite of Patratu thermal power station, Jharkhand, India.  
690 *International journal of phytoremediation* 18:87–93.

691Pandey VC (2012a) Invasive species based efficient green technology for phytoremediation of fly  
692 ash deposits. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration* 123:13–18.

693Pandey VC (2012b) Phytoremediation of heavy metals from fly ash pond by *Azolla caroliniana*.  
694 *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 82:8–12.

695Pandey VC (2013) Suitability of *Ricinus communis* L. cultivation for phytoremediation of fly ash  
696 disposal sites. *Ecological engineering* 57:336–341.

697Pandey VC (2015) Assisted phytoremediation of fly ash dumps through naturally colonized  
698 plants. *Ecological Engineering* 82:1–5.

699Pandey VC (2016) Phytoremediation efficiency of *Eichhornia crassipes* in fly ash pond.  
700 *International journal of phytoremediation* 18:450–452.

701Pandey VC (2017) Managing waste dumpsites through energy plantations. In: *Phytoremediation  
702 Potential of Bioenergy Plants*. Springer, pp 371–386.

703Pandey VC, Abhilash PC, Singh N (2009) The Indian perspective of utilizing fly ash in  
704 phytoremediation, phytomanagement and biomass production. *Journal of Environmental  
705 Management* 90:2943–2958.

706Pandey VC, Bajpai O, Pandey DN, Singh N (2015a) *Saccharum spontaneum*: an underutilized tall  
707 grass for revegetation and restoration programs. *Genetic resources and crop evolution*  
708 62:443–450.

709Pandey VC, Bajpai O, Singh N (2016c) Energy crops in sustainable phytoremediation. *Renewable  
710 and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 54:58–73.

711Pandey VC, Bajpai O, Singh N (2016d) Plant regeneration potential in fly ash ecosystem. *Urban  
712 Forestry & Urban Greening* 15:40–44.

713Pandey VC, Prakash P, Bajpai O, et al (2015b) Phytodiversity on fly ash deposits: evaluation of  
714 naturally colonized species for sustainable phytorestoration. *Environmental Science and  
715 Pollution Research* 22:2776–2787.

716Pandey VC, Singh JS, Singh DP, Singh RP (2014a) Methanotrophs: promising bacteria for  
717 environmental remediation. *International Journal of Environmental Science and  
718 Technology* 11:241–250.

719Pandey VC, Singh K (2011) Is *Vigna radiata* suitable for the revegetation of fly ash landfills?  
720 *Ecological Engineering* 37:2105–2106.

721Pandey VC, Singh K, Singh B, Singh RP (2011) New approaches to enhance eco-restoration  
722 efficiency of degraded sodic lands: critical research needs and future prospects. *Ecological  
723 Restoration* 29:322–325.

724Pandey VC, Singh N (2010) Impact of fly ash incorporation in soil systems. *Agriculture,  
725 ecosystems & environment* 136:16–27.

726Pandey VC, Singh N (2014) Fast green capping on coal fly ash basins through ecological  
727 engineering. *Ecological engineering* 73:671–675.

728Pandey VC, Singh N, Singh RP, Singh DP (2014b) Rhizoremediation potential of spontaneously  
729 grown *Typha latifolia* on fly ash basins: study from the field. *Ecological Engineering*  
730 71:722–727.

- 731Pandey, V. C., Sahu, N., & Singh, D. P. (2020). Physiological profiling of invasive plant species  
732 for ecological restoration of fly ash deposits. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 54,  
733 126773.
- 734Pandey, V. C., Singh, J. S., Kumar, A., & Tewari, D. D. (2010). Accumulation of heavy metals by  
735 chickpea grown in fly ash treated soil: effect on antioxidants. *CLEAN–Soil, Air,*  
736 *Water*, 38(12), 1116-1123.
- 737Pandey, V. C., Singh, J. S., Kumar, A., & Tewari, D. D. (2010). Research Article Accumulation  
738 of Heavy Metals by Chickpea Grown in Fly Ash Treated Soil: Effect on Antioxidants.  
739 *CLEAN – Soil, Air, Water* 38: 1116-1123
- 740Pandey VC (2020) An appraisal on phytomanagement of fly ash with economic returns. In:  
741 Phytomanagement of fly ash, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 289-321. DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818544-5.00010-9)  
742 10.1016/B978-0-12-818544-5.00010-9
- 743Pavlović, P., Mitrović, M., & Djurdjević, L. (2004). An ecophysiological study of plants growing  
744 on the fly ash deposits from the “Nikola Tesla–A” thermal power station in  
745 Serbia. *Environmental Management*, 33(5), 654-663.
- 746Pierzynski GM, Heitman JL, Kulakow PA, et al (2004) Revegetation of waste fly ash landfills in a  
747 semiarid environment. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 57:312–319.
- 748Pietrzykowski M, Krzaklewski W, Woś B (2015) Preliminary assessment of growth and survival  
749 of green alder (*Alnus viridis*), a potential biological stabilizer on fly ash disposal sites.  
750 *Journal of Forestry Research* 26:131–136.
- 751Pietrzykowski M, Woś B, Pająk M, et al (2018) Reclamation of a lignite combustion waste  
752 disposal site with alders (*Alnus* sp.): assessment of tree growth and nutrient status within  
753 10 years of the experiment. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25:17091–  
754 17099.
- 755Piha MI, Vallack HW, Michael N, Reeler BM (1995) A low input approach to vegetation  
756 establishment on mine and coal ash wastes in semi-arid regions. II. Lagooned pulverized  
757 fuel ash in Zimbabwe. *Journal of applied ecology* 382–390.
- 758Prasad MNV (2006) Stabilization, remediation, and integrated management of metal-  
759 contaminated ecosystems by grasses (Poaceae). *Trace elements in the environment:*  
760 *biogeochemistry, biotechnology, and bioremediation* 405–424.
- 761Prasad PV, Craufurd PQ, Summerfield RJ (2000) Effect of high air and soil temperature on dry  
762 matter production, pod yield and yield components of groundnut. *Plant and soil* 222:231–  
763 239.

764Qadir, S. U., Raja, V., & Siddiqui, W. A. (2016). Morphological and biochemical changes in  
765 Azadirachta indica from coal combustion fly ash dumping site from a thermal power plant  
766 in Delhi, India. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental safety*, 129, 320-328.

767Qadir, S. U., Raja, V., Siddiqui, W. A., Abd\_Allah, E. F., Hashem, A., Alam, P., & Ahmad, P.  
768 (2019). Fly-Ash Pollution Modulates Growth, Biochemical Attributes, Antioxidant  
769 Activity and Gene Expression in Pithecellobium Dulce (Roxb) Benth. *Plants*, 8(12), 528.

770Qadir, S. U., Raja, V., Siddiqui, W. A., Alyemeni, M. N., & Ahmad, P. (2020). Foliar  
771 Concentrations of Selected Elements, Assessment of Oxidative Stress Markers and Role of  
772 Antioxidant Defense System is Associated with Fly Ash Stress Tolerance in Withania  
773 somnifera. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 1-16.

774Rai UN, Pandey K, Sinha S, et al (2004) Revegetating fly ash landfills with Prosopis juliflora L.:  
775 impact of different amendments and Rhizobium inoculation. *Environment International*  
776 30:293–300.

777Raja CE, Omine K (2013) Characterization of boron tolerant bacteria isolated from a fly ash  
778 dumping site for bacterial boron remediation. *Environmental geochemistry and health*  
779 35:431–438.

780Ram LC, Jha SK, Tripathi RC, et al (2008) Remediation of fly ash landfills through plantation.  
781 Remediation Journal: The Journal of Environmental Cleanup Costs, Technologies &  
782 Techniques 18:71–90.

783Ram LC, Masto RE (2014) Fly ash for soil amelioration: a review on the influence of ash  
784 blending with inorganic and organic amendments. *Earth-Science Reviews* 128:52–74.

785Randelović, D., Gajić, G., Mutić, J., Pavlović, P., Mihailović, N., & Jovanović, S. (2016).  
786 Ecological potential of Epilobium dodonaei Vill. for restoration of metalliferous mine  
787 wastes. *Ecological Engineering*, 95, 800-810.

788Rao MG, Kolay PK, Singh DN (1998) Thermal characteristics of a class F fly ash. *Cement and*  
789 *concrete research* 28:841–846.

790Rau N, Mishra V, Sharma M, et al (2009) Evaluation of functional diversity in rhizobacterial taxa  
791 of a wild grass (Saccharum ravennae) colonizing abandoned fly ash dumps in Delhi urban  
792 ecosystem. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 41:813–821.

793Rockwood DL, Naidu CV, Carter DR, et al (2004) Short-rotation woody crops and  
794 phytoremediation: opportunities for agroforestry? In: *New vistas in agroforestry*. Springer,  
795 pp 51–63.



796Rodríguez H, Fraga R, Gonzalez T, Bashan Y (2006) Genetics of phosphate solubilization and its  
797 potential applications for improving plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Plant and soil*  
798 287:15–21.

799Ruhl L, Vengosh A, Dwyer GS, et al (2009) Survey of the potential environmental and health  
800 impacts in the immediate aftermath of the coal ash spill in Kingston, Tennessee.  
801 *Environmental Science & Technology* 43:6326–6333.

802Sarwar N, Imran M, Shaheen MR, et al (2017) Phytoremediation strategies for soils contaminated  
803 with heavy metals: modifications and future perspectives. *Chemosphere* 171:710–721.

804Selvam A, Mahadevan A (2002) Distribution of mycorrhizas in an abandoned fly ash pond and  
805 mined sites of Neyveli Lignite Corporation, Tamil Nadu, India. *Basic and Applied*  
806 *Ecology* 3:277–284.

807Shan G, Xu J, Jiang Z, et al (2019) The transformation of different dissolved organic matter  
808 subfractions and distribution of heavy metals during food waste and sugarcane leaves co-  
809 composting. *Waste Management* 87:636–644.

810Singh JS, Pandey VC, Singh DP (2011) Efficient soil microorganisms: a new dimension for  
811 sustainable agriculture and environmental development. *Agriculture, ecosystems &*  
812 *environment* 140:339–353.

813Singh, N., Raj, A., Khare, P. B., Tripathi, R. D., & Jamil, S. (2010). Arsenic accumulation pattern  
814 in 12 Indian ferns and assessing the potential of *Adiantum capillus-veneris*, in comparison  
815 to *Pteris vittata*, as arsenic hyperaccumulator. *Bioresource technology*, 101(23), 8960-  
816 8968.

817Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) Mycorrhizal symbiosis.,(Academic Press Ltd: Cambridge, UK).

818Stoeva, N., Berova, M., & Zlatev, Z. (2003). Physiological response of maize to arsenic  
819 contamination. *Biologia Plantarum*, 47(3), 449-452.

820Suhara H, Daikoku C, Takata H, et al (2003) Monitoring of white-rot fungus during  
821 bioremediation of polychlorinated dioxin-contaminated fly ash. *Applied microbiology and*  
822 *biotechnology* 62:601–607.

823Świątek B, Woś B, Chodak M, et al (2019) Fine root biomass and the associated C and nutrient  
824 pool under the alder (*Alnus* spp.) plantings on reclaimed technosols. *Geoderma* 337:1021–  
825 1027.

826Sylvia DM, Williams SE (1992) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and environmental stress.  
827 *Mycorrhizae in sustainable agriculture* 54:101–124.

828Técher D, Laval-Gilly P, Bennasroune A, et al (2012) An appraisal of *Miscanthus x giganteus*  
829 cultivation for fly ash revegetation and soil restoration. *Industrial Crops and Products*  
830 36:427–433.

831Temuujin J, Surenjav E, Ruescher CH, Vahlbruch J (2019) Processing and uses of fly ash  
832 addressing radioactivity (critical review). *Chemosphere* 216:866–882.

833Tiwari S, Kumari B, Singh SN (2008) Microbe-induced changes in metal extractability from fly  
834 ash. *Chemosphere* 71:1284–1294.

835Tiwari S, Singh SN, Garg SK (2012) Stimulated phytoextraction of metals from fly ash by  
836 microbial interventions. *Environmental technology* 33:2405–2413.

837Tiwari S, Singh SN, Garg SK (2013) Microbially enhanced phytoextraction of heavy-metal fly-  
838 ash amended soil. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis* 44:3161–3176.

839Tong Y-P, Kneer R, Zhu Y-G (2004) Vacuolar compartmentalization: a second-generation  
840 approach to engineering plants for phytoremediation. *Trends in Plant Science* 9:7–9.

841Tripathi RD, Vajpayee P, Singh N, et al (2004) Efficacy of various amendments for amelioration  
842 of fly-ash toxicity: growth performance and metal composition of *Cassia siamea* Lamk.  
843 *Chemosphere* 54:1581–1588.

844Ukwattage NL, Ranjith PG, Bouazza M (2013) The use of coal combustion fly ash as a soil  
845 amendment in agricultural lands (with comments on its potential to improve food security  
846 and sequester carbon). *Fuel* 109:400–408.

847Ullah A, Heng S, Munis MFH, et al (2015) Phytoremediation of heavy metals assisted by plant  
848 growth promoting (PGP) bacteria: a review. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*  
849 117:28–40.

850Ultra VU (2020) Growth and yield of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) in fly ash with nutrient  
851 amendments and Mycorrhiza for three-ratoon period. *International Journal of*  
852 *Phytoremediation* 1–11.

853Ultra VU, Manyiwa T (2020) Influence of mycorrhiza and fly ash on the survival, growth and  
854 heavy metal accumulation in three *Acacia* species grown in Cu–Ni mine soil.  
855 *Environmental Geochemistry and Health* 1–17.

856Usmani Z, Kumar V, Gupta P, et al (2019) Enhanced soil fertility, plant growth promotion and  
857 microbial enzymatic activities of vermicomposted fly ash. *Scientific reports* 9:1–16.

858Van Assche, F., & Clijsters, H. (1990). Effects of metals on enzyme activity in plants. *Plant, Cell*  
859 *& Environment*, 13(3), 195-206.

860 Van Rensburg L, Morgenthal TL, Van Hamburg H, Michael MD (2003) A comparative analysis  
861 of the vegetation and topsoil cover nutrient status between two similarly rehabilitated ash  
862 disposal sites. *Environmentalist* 23:285–295.

863 Volk TA, Abrahamson LP, Nowak CA, et al (2006) The development of short-rotation willow in  
864 the northeastern United States for bioenergy and bioproducts, agroforestry and  
865 phytoremediation. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 30:715–727.

866 Vosatka M (2001) A future role for the use of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil remediation: a  
867 chance for small-medium enterprises? *Minerva Biotechnologica* 13:69.

868 Vurayai R, Nkoane B, Moseki B, Chaturvedi P (2017) Phytoremediation potential of *Jatropha*  
869 *curcas* and *Pennisetum clandestinum* grown in polluted soil with and without coal fly ash:  
870 Selibe-Phikwe, Botswana

871 Weber J, Strączyńska S, Kocowicz A, et al (2015) Properties of soil materials derived from fly ash  
872 11 years after revegetation of post-mining excavation. *Catena* 133:250–254.

873 Wheatley AD, Sadhra S (2004) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in solid residues from waste  
874 incineration. *Chemosphere* 55:743–749.

875 Yang Y, Liang Y, Han X, et al (2016) The roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) in  
876 phytoremediation and tree-herb interactions in Pb contaminated soil. *Scientific reports*  
877 6:20469.

878 Yao ZT, Ji XS, Sarker PK, et al (2015) A comprehensive review on the applications of coal fly  
879 ash. *Earth-Science Reviews* 141:105–121.

880

# 881 **Figure captions**

882 **Figure 1** Bamboo plantation on fly ash dumpsite near Koradi Thermal Power Plant (Nagpur,  
883 Maharashtra) (A) Fly ash dumpsite before revegetation (B) Rehabilitated fly ash dumpsite  
884 after one year

885 **Figure 2** Toxic metals enriched fly ash in the environment and their impacts on flora and  
886 fauna

887