

**Root traits for low input agroecosystems in Africa: lessons from three case studies**

Mame Sokhatil NDOYE<sup>1,2,3,#</sup>, James BURRIDGE<sup>3,#</sup>, Rahul BHOSALE<sup>4</sup>, Alexandre GRONDIN<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Laurent LAPLAZE<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CERAAS, Thies escale, Thies, Senegal

<sup>2</sup> LMI LAPSE, Centre de Recherche ISRA/IRD de Bel Air, Dakar, Senegal

<sup>3</sup> UMR DIADE, Université de Montpellier, IRD, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

<sup>4</sup> Future Food Beacon of Excellence and School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, LE12 5RD, UK

# These authors contributed equally to this review.

\* Corresponding author. Email: laurent.laplaze@ird.fr, +33 467416495

## **Abstract**

In Africa, agriculture is largely based on low-input and small-holder farming systems that use little inorganic fertilizers and have limited access to irrigation and mechanization. Improving agricultural practices and developing new cultivars adapted to these low-input environments, where production already suffers from climate change, is a major priority for ensuring food security in the future. Root traits improving water and nutrient uptake could represent a solution toward achieving these goals. In this review, we illustrate how breeding for specific root traits could improve crop adaptation and resilience in Africa using three case studies covering very contrasted low-input agroecosystems. First, we review how targeted changes in root system architecture allowed a dramatic increase in common bean yield in low input agroecosystems of South East Africa. We next discuss how root traits could be targeted to improve the productivity and resilience of dryland cereals in the face of climate change and soil degradation. Finally, we evaluate how root traits could be mobilized to develop water-saving rice agroecosystems for West Africa. We conclude with a discussion on how to prioritize target root traits, how they could be validated and made available to breeders and farmers through participatory approaches.

## Introduction

The Green Revolution was driven by the development of novel cultivars along with government subsidised fertilizer inputs, mechanization and irrigation and has dramatically increased crop yield globally but has excluded large parts of Africa (Pingali 2012). These changes required massive and coordinated investment across public and private institutions. As a consequence, most of the world enjoyed a significant increase in agricultural productivity while Africa and particularly its sub-Saharan parts, maintained the same degree of productivity (Johnson, Hazell & Gulati 2003). Recent surveys on agriculture practices in six sub-Saharan countries (Niger, Nigeria, Ethiopia, Malawi, Tanzania and Uganda) covering over 62,000 plots estimated that only 1 to 3 % of the lands cultivated by smallholders are irrigated and no more than 10% of the households have irrigation capacity (Sheahan & Barrett 2017). Concerning fertilization, 41% of the cultivated plots in Nigeria, 17% in Niger and 3.2% in Uganda used inorganic fertilizer, while 84% of the total studied area did not use agro-chemicals (pesticides, herbicides, fungicides and insecticides; Sheahan & Barrett 2017). Moreover, a large part of African soils suffers from degradation due to erosion, desertification, deforestation and poor agricultural practices (Eswaran, Lai & Reich 2001). Soil degradation is exacerbated by the increased frequency of extreme events such as heat waves, drought, flood or high wind associated with climate change (Olsson *et al.* 2019). Phosphorus depletion from agricultural soils due to water erosion are more predominant in regions with intensive extreme climates such as arid and semi-arid regions of Africa (Alewell *et al.* 2020). Poor soils along with climate change are serious threats to agricultural agroecosystems and crop production in Africa and are major impediments to achieve food security (ELD Initiative & UNEP, 2015).

In Africa, efforts to deal with low fertility soils are restricted by the challenges associated with transporting and applying massive amounts of fertilizers (organic or chemical) to dispersed and inaccessible farms. In contrast, improving agricultural practices and developing new cultivars of key food crops can have a substantial impact on food security, income production and agroecosystem dynamics while minimizing expenditure (Lynch 2007; Fess, Kotcon & Benedito 2011; Gemenet *et al.* 2016; Joshi *et*

al. 2016). For this, identification of useful traits and their combinations for crops grown in low-input agroecosystems and their integration into breeding programs is of major importance. Foremost among the challenges is developing and deploying phenotyping tools in these environments, understanding genotype by environment interactions (GxE, i.e. how the environment influences the response of different genotypes) and generating truly integrative phenotyping and selection approaches that ultimately increase yield and smallholder incomes (Reynolds *et al.* 2021).

Root architectural and anatomical traits that increase the efficient acquisition of soil resources, as defined by carbon investment per resource gained, are potentially valuable selection targets. These traits can improve crop tolerance to the main primary constraints in the low-input agroecosystems of Africa, namely water and phosphorus scarcity (extensively reviewed in Lynch 2018, 2019; Schneider & Lynch 2020; Lynch *et al.* 2021). However, trade-offs for specific traits have been identified due to the contrasting spatial and temporal dynamics of these two resources. For example, shallow root growth promotes topsoil foraging and P acquisition, while deep root growth promotes subsoil foraging and water acquisition (Ho, Rosas, Brown & Lynch 2005; Lynch 2011). Root ideotypes (target root phenotypes) for agroecosystems in Africa also need to consider agricultural practices. The implementation of new sustainable approaches for water saving and promotion of soil fertility through the use of beneficial root-soil microorganisms interactions is another potentially fruitful option (de la Fuente Cantó *et al.* 2020). For instance, improving nitrogen fixation by legumes would have a huge impact. This aspect has been addressed in recent articles (Vanlauwe, Hungria, Kanampiu & Giller 2019; Paliwal, Abberton, Faloye & Olaniyi 2020) and will not be discussed in our review. Similarly, we will not address specific root traits related to root and tuber crops that play an important role for food security in sub-Saharan Africa and were discussed in recent reviews (Duque & Villordon 2019; Zierer, Rüschler, Sonnewald & Sonnewald 2021).

In this review, we will illustrate how breeding for specific root traits could improve crop adaptation to low-input African agroecosystems subject to climate change using three case studies. We then discuss how these traits and innovations could be validated, made available to breeders and agronomists and finally adopted by farmers.

90

91 **Case study 1: improving phosphorus acquisition efficiency in common bean in**  
92 **South East Africa**

93 Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) has critical food security, economic and  
94 agroecosystem value throughout South East Africa (Wortmann, Kirkby, Eledu & Allen  
95 1998; Beebe 2012). It is fundamental for food security at the household level, as well as  
96 economically important at the household, village and regional level. Furthermore,  
97 common bean has a key agroecosystem role as a nitrogen (N) fixer. Bean in South East  
98 Africa is grown either as a sole crop or intercropped with maize, in both cases usually in  
99 rotation with the latter. The primary constraints to production in typical low-input small  
100 holder cropping systems in South East Africa include a) low phosphorus (P) availability in  
101 highly N fixing soils, b) terminal and/or intermittent drought and c) acid soil complexes,  
102 pathogens and insects limiting root growth and causing elevated root mortality (Lynch  
103 2019). These challenges are further complicated by irrigation systems, fertilizers and  
104 other soil amendments being inaccessible due to cost and transportation limitations.

105 In Mozambique, researchers targeted root traits to develop novel varieties that  
106 substantially improved small-holder crop production and benefits to local  
107 agroecosystems. In this context, increasing P acquisition efficiency, defined by the ratio  
108 of carbon investment to P recovered, was identified as a key cross-cutting element  
109 because it impacts multiple aspects of plant productivity and agroecosystem function  
110 (Lynch & Ho 2005). For instance, shallow root growth has an advantage for the acquisition  
111 of soil resources with greater availability in shallow soil zones, particularly P. Greater P  
112 acquisition is in turn related to increased shoot growth, biological nitrogen fixation and  
113 yield. With a larger shoot biomass shielding the soil, erosion is also reduced (Henry,  
114 Kleinman & Lynch 2009; Henry, Chaves, Kleinman & Lynch 2010).

115 Greater basal root whorl number and longer and denser root hairs traits were  
116 targeted to increase common bean P acquisition efficiency. These traits affect the zone  
117 of soil explored (Fig. 1a), they can be easily and rapidly phenotyped in the field with  
118 resources readily available in less developed countries (Burridge, Jochua, Bucksch &

Lynch 2016), modifications of these traits do not require any change to the cropping system or farmer practices, and they have multi-scale impact.

Basal roots are a class of roots specific to dicots with epigeal germination and emerge from the transition zone between radicle and hypocotyl (Burridge, Rangarajan & Lynch 2020). In common bean, basal roots emerge in whorls composed of four individual roots, due to its tetrarch vascular patterning (Fig. 1b; Miguel, Widrig, Vieira, Brown & Lynch 2013). Basal root whorl number is under genetic control and ranges from one to five, with two being the mode (Miguel *et al.* 2013). It can be phenotyped using low cost root roll-ups five to nine days after germination (Fig. 1b) and is associated with field phenotypes and field performance (Strock *et al.* 2019; Jochua, Strock & Lynch 2020). Greater basal root whorl number increases the vertical zone of soil explored, enabling the efficient exploration of both deep and shallow soil. It also affords a degree of root redundancy. While root redundancy could reduce root system efficiency by increasing metabolic burden, the benefits of root redundancy likely outweigh the costs. In typical small-holder environments where roots are frequently lost due to biotic (pathogen or insect attack) or abiotic stresses, redundancy ensures that at least a few roots will survive to contribute to both deep and shallow resource acquisition (Strock *et al.* 2019).

Root hairs are subcellular protrusions emerging from specialized epidermal cells on all root classes (Bhosale *et al.* 2018). Root hair length and density are under genetic control and have been related to greater P acquisition in multiple crops and experimental systems (Ma, Walk, Marcus & Lynch 2001; Yan, Liao, Beebe, Blair & Lynch 2004; Hanlon *et al.* 2018). Root hairs have minimal construction and maintenance costs making them an extremely efficient means to increase root volume and acquire immobile soil resources such as P (Lynch 2019). They are relatively easy to phenotype at the seedling stage using a low-cost root roll-up protocol (Vieira, Jochua & Lynch 2007). Substantial synergy between greater root hairs and basal root growth angle have been observed in common bean in which the advantage of longer and denser root hairs is magnified when paired with shallow basal root growth (Miguel, Postma & Lynch 2015). Shallower basal root

growth angle and longer and increased density of root hairs traits are ideal selection targets for improving P acquisition efficiency (Fig. 2A).

In Mozambique, the selection strategy for improving P acquisition efficiency varieties involved extensive phenotyping of varieties and breeding lines in order to identify accessions possessing high basal root whorl number as well as long and dense root hairs (Burridge *et al.* 2019). These superior lines were then crossed with common varieties either already grown in Mozambique or to varieties with similar and desirable agronomic and market characteristics, such as seed colour and size. Several early generations of these lines were grown at the experimental stations with non-limiting conditions to select them for acclimation, grain quality, shoot architecture, disease and pest tolerance. Subsequently, seeds from F4 plants were evaluated for key root hair traits with those possessing longer and denser root hairs being advanced. Targeted field-based selection of varieties took place first on multiple stations across Mozambique with single constraints, such as low soil phosphorus or terminal drought. Simultaneously, selections were made on more remote stations and farmers' fields with multiple, interacting constraints. A final set of 40 varieties were included in a round of on-farm participatory variety selection using a citizen science approach called the triadic comparison of technology options (tricot) to allow farmers to identify the most suitable varieties for their local agricultural conditions (van Etten *et al.* 2019). Each of many individual farmers received a random pack of three varieties to evaluate on their farm and under their management practices. As a result, three new varieties of common bean, named Kufuna, Tiyyela and Matina, have been released in Mozambique. Trials on station and on farmer's fields suggest these lines have roughly double the yield of local varieties when grown with or without P fertilizer (Table 1). This is a noteworthy achievement, in part because no new varieties had been released in Mozambique for over 12 years, and none of those previously released were bred specifically for Mozambique.

To further promote and study how new varieties are shared and impact yield, food security and local economies, a pilot promotional campaign was organized in three different regions and compared to three regions that received the improved varieties but not the promotional campaign (Fig. 1c&d). Stocks of breeder and pre-basic seed are

maintained by the Mozambican Agricultural Research Institute and a collaborative model involving the Mozambican Agricultural Research Institute, farmers organization, and seed companies was used to amplify these varieties from zero to 45 tons of seeds in just 3 years from 2018 to 2021. This amount of seeds will enable 3,600 hectares of improved P-efficient varieties to be planted in the 2021-2022 season, up from zero in the 2018-2019 season. This mixed public, private and farmer organization model promotes the maximum penetration of the new varieties and promotes long-term sustainability for both companies and farmer organizations. Other varieties of common bean are in various stages of development with some nearly ready for release and others in on-farm trials.

The accomplishments in Mozambique demonstrate the utility of a root trait oriented selection strategy traits, which was followed by on-station trials targeting specific abiotic constraints and soil types and then on-farm trials with multiple biotic and abiotic constraints and the use of local practices. Similar systems have proved successful and continue to offer great potential (Humphries et al. 2015; Ryan et al. 2018; van Etten et al. 2019). Other key legumes, namely cowpea, groundnut and chickpea have very similar food security, economic and agroecosystem roles across broad swaths of Africa. Lessons from common bean can be in large applied to these other legumes due to similar root system, phenology as well as seed saving, sharing and buying systems.

## **Case study 2: Improving water and nutrient acquisition in dryland cereals in West Africa**

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* [L.] Moench) and pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* [L.] R. Br.) are the fifth and sixth most important cereal crop in the World (FAOSTAT 2021). They are well adapted to arid and semi-arid environments found in sub-Saharan Africa and India. In these regions, they are usually grown one cycle a year during the rainy season by smallholder farmers and represent a major source of micronutrient protein for humans and fodder for livestock. They are usually sown at low density (around 10,000 plants per hectare, Pearson, Norman & Dixon 1995) before or right after the first rain of the season and grown with no or low inputs simply because water and fertilizers are inaccessible and/or unaffordable (Matlon 1990; vom Brocke et al. 2010). Although sorghum and pearl



millet prevail in these environments where other mainstream crops tend to fail, their cultivation is increasingly threatened by high temperature and intermittent drought caused by climate change and soil degradation caused by loss of nutrients and soil organic carbon, a phenomenon accentuated by desertification and erosion (Jones *et al.* 2013; Sultan, Defrance & Iizumi 2019). Therefore, improving the resilience of sorghum and pearl millet is particularly important for food security in arid and semi-arid regions of Africa and for adaptation of African agriculture to future climates.

Sorghum and pearl millet root systems are characterized by a single embryonic seminal root (Singh *et al.* 2010; Passot *et al.* 2016). In sorghum and pearl millet, as in their genetic relative maize, multiple post-embryonic crown and brace roots that originate from the belowground and aboveground nodes, respectively, can be observed (Tsuji *et al.* 2005; Chopart, Sine, Dao & Muller 2008; Singh *et al.* 2010; Faye *et al.* 2019). Primary, seminal and nodal roots all have lateral roots. In pearl millet, as in maize, three different types of lateral roots have been identified that differ by length, diameter and internal structures (Varney, Canny, Wang & McCully 1991; Passot *et al.* 2016), as well as by their growth dynamics (Passot *et al.* 2018). In sorghum, large variability in crown root angle and root area was observed in diversity panels, backcross nested association mapping (BCNAM) populations and recombinant inbred lines (Mace *et al.* 2012; Joshi *et al.* 2017). Similarly, diversity in primary root growth and root branching (Passot *et al.* 2016), and root length density (Faye *et al.* 2019) was observed in pearl millet. Despite this observed root phenotypic diversity and the fact that several authors have proposed root traits as breeding targets for improvement of sorghum and pearl millet (Gemenet *et al.* 2016; Joshi *et al.* 2016), selection strategies involving root traits have not been deployed in breeding programmes so far.

Architectural traits such as deep rooting might be particularly interesting for drought tolerance of sorghum and pearl millet grown in sub-Saharan Africa where soils are generally deep and sandy with low water retention (Joshi *et al.* 2016). In maize, reducing crown root number or lateral root density was associated with deeper root growth, greater water capture at depth and improved plant water status and yield under drought (Zhan, Schneider & Lynch 2015; Gao & Lynch 2016). This response is linked to

a carbon allocation mechanism in which plants that develop fewer roots are able to invest more carbon in individual roots that can grow deeper (Lynch 2013, 2018; Van Oosterom et al. 2016). Another means for a plant to grow deeper roots is to develop nodal roots with steeper growth angle (Lynch 2013). In sorghum, quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for steep nodal root angle co-localizing with previously identified stay-green and grain yield QTLs showed synteny with previously identified root angle QTLs in maize (Mace et al. 2012; Borrell et al. 2014). In fact, conserved genetic control of root architectural traits have been observed in sorghum and maize (Zheng *et al.* 2020), suggesting that comparative analyses of root traits from both species could be useful for improvement of their root functions (Lynch 2018).

Anatomical traits such as reduced root cortical cell file number and cortical cell size were also hypothesized to reduce the metabolic cost of soil exploration and be beneficial for drought tolerance (Lynch 2018). Maize lines with reduced root cortical cell file number and greater cortical cell size showed reduced root respiration, increased root growth at depth, better shoot growth and water status, and significant increase in yield under drought as compared to lines with greater root cortical cell file number and reduced cortical cell size (Burton, Brown & Lynch 2013; Jaramillo, Nord, Chimungu, Brown & Lynch 2013; Chimungu, Brown & Lynch 2014). Similarly, maize lines with more root cortical aerenchyma showed decreased root respiration, increased deep rooting, shoot growth and yield (Zhu, Brown & Lynch 2010; Chimungu et al. 2015). Root cortical aerenchyma formation was observed both in sorghum and pearl millet indicating that this trait could be explored for diversity, genetic control and used for improving drought tolerance (Promkhambut, Polthanee, Akkasaeng & Younger 2011; Jaffuel et al. 2016; Passot et al. 2016). No information exists however on root cortical cell file number and cortical cell size diversity nor their impacts in sorghum and pearl millet drought response.

Reduced xylem conductance capacity has been targeted in strategies aiming at improving transpiration efficiency (biomass produced/water transpired), the latter being also associated with drought tolerance (Vadez, Kholova, Medina, Kakkera & Anderberg 2014). In wheat for instance, reduced xylem diameter and the associated reduced root hydraulic conductance resulted in plants with more conservative water use that yielded

11% more grains under drought conditions (Richards & Passioura 1989). In fact, annual crop plants adapted to drought stress environments tend to favour smaller xylem diameter as a water conservation strategy (Henry, Cal, Batoto, Torres & Serraj 2012; Kadam, Yin, Bindraban, Struik & Jagadish 2015; Grondin, Mauleon, Vadez & Henry 2016). Sorghum lines with higher number of xylem vessels showed higher transpiration rate, suggesting that reducing xylem vessel number in this crop is associated with water saving strategies (Salih *et al.* 1999). Improving transpiration efficiency over the entire crop cycle, possibly by restricting maximum transpiration at high vapor pressure deficit, through reduction in root xylem diameter, could conserve soil water for the critical reproduction and grain filling stage (Kholová *et al.* 2010; Vadez, Kholová, Yadav & Hash 2013; Vadez 2014).

Root hairs are well-known to improve P acquisition from the soil by increasing the absorption area of the root system (Lynch 2019). In sorghum and pearl millet, characterization of root hair density and length, genotypic variation, as well as their role in P uptake, remains limited. However, a recent study of the genetic architecture of phosphorus efficiency in sorghum showed colocalization between QTLs for P acquisition efficiency, grain yield, total root surface area and individual root diameter (Bernardino *et al.* 2019). Interestingly, one of these sorghum QTL located close to the homolog of the rice serine/threonine kinase *OsPSTOL1*, which was previously found to enhance early root growth and grain yield in rice under low-P (Gamuyao *et al.* 2012; Bernardino *et al.* 2019). Increasing exploration of shallow soil by increasing root hair length and density might be beneficial for P capture.

There are clear trade-offs between root traits beneficial for drought and P acquisition. In environments where P scarcity is always a constraint but drought is often intermittent, increased top-soil root hair length and density combined with drought-inducible plasticity in deeper root growth possibly through reduced top-soil root carbon cost (more root cortical aerenchyma for instance) could co-optimize drought and low-P tolerance. Drought-related root plastic response has been described in *Setaria italica* (a close relative of sorghum and pearl millet), where an interruption in crown root growth under drought was observed (Sebastian *et al.* 2016). This plastic response appeared to

be conserved in sorghum and pearl millet where nodal root length was significantly reduced when grown in split-pot system where the seminal root grew in moist soil while the crown roots grew in dry soil (Rostamza, Richards & Watt 2013). These observations suggest that root plasticity in response to drought exists, and could be exploited to improve drought tolerance. Intercropping systems where root systems of neighbouring plants (sorghum/pearl millet and cowpea/groundnut for instance) could have complementary interactions in terms of water and nutrient availability without competing with each other may also help reducing these trade-offs (Brooker *et al.* 2015).

Beyond root architectural and anatomical traits, targeting the rhizosphere could be another future avenue to improve dryland cereals performance (de la Fuente Cantó *et al.* 2020). The rhizosphere is the volume of soil around the root under the influence of the root system, i.e. whose physico-chemical and biological properties are modified by the root, which, in turn, impacts plant nutrition, development and physiology (York, Carminati, Mooney, Ritz & Bennett 2016; de la Fuente Cantó *et al.* 2020). One potential rhizosphere target trait in sorghum and pearl millet would be rhizosheath formation, i.e. the aggregation of soil particles around the roots (Ndour, Heulin, Achouak, Laplace & Cournac 2020). This fraction of the soil firmly attached to the roots corresponds to the most biologically active fraction of the rhizosphere. First described in desert grasses, rhizosheath formation has since been reported in many cereal crops including sorghum and pearl millet (Duell & Peacock 1985; Brown, George, Neugebauer & White 2017; Ndour *et al.* 2017). A positive impact of rhizosheath on water and mineral nutrition was reported for several plants in laboratory conditions and could be in part explained by improved contact between the soil and the root surface (Ndour *et al.* 2020). Phenotyping for rhizosheath size is high throughput and this trait is largely under plant genetic control and large variability exists in the germplasm in pearl millet thus making it a potential target for breeding (Ndour *et al.* 2021). However, rhizosheath formation can represent a significant carbon sink, and further work is needed to demonstrate the impact of a larger rhizosheath on dryland cereals in field conditions.

In conclusion, greater root hair length and density for increased P acquisition, combined with more aerenchyma for decreased carbon cost of root tissues and potentially reduced xylem vessel diameter for increased water use efficiency are potential selection targets for dryland cereals grown in low-P soils and drought-prone regions of West Africa (Fig. 2B). Plasticity (GxE) in crown root number and lateral root branching at depth as well as rhizosheath formation may be considered potential drought adaptive responses (Fig. 2B).

### **Case study 3: Water-saving rice agroecosystems for West Africa**

Rice is the most consumed cereal in West-Africa and demand for rice is strongly increasing mostly due to population growth and changes in consumption pattern linked to increased urbanization (Elbehri, Kaminski, Koroma, lafrate & Benali 2013; ECOWAS 2019). Currently, local production covers only roughly 60% of the demand, the remainder being met by imports that strongly impact the region's economy and increase vulnerability to price changes in the global commodity market (ECOWAS 2019). Since a majority of West Africans are projected to live in urban areas in the future, demand for rice is expected to strongly increase (ECOWAS 2019). Several programs have been launched to increase local production to meet this future demand and guarantee food sovereignty. As a consequence, total rice production in West Africa increased by 104,3% from 2009 to 2019 through an increase in total cultivated surface (FAOSTAT 2021) and a further 79,4% increase will be needed between 2019 and 2025 to fully meet the projected demand (ECOWAS 2019). In West Africa, roughly 12% of the rice harvested area is irrigated, with large areas cultivated along the Senegal, the Niger and the Benue rivers (You, Wood, Wood-Sichra & Wu 2014). Irrigated rice is the most productive agroecosystem and 2 cycles of culture can be conducted per year so that irrigated rice contributes strongly to local rice production (van Oort & Zwart 2018). Moreover, interannual yield variability is about two times less in irrigated than in rainfed systems and with the adoption of adaptation measures irrigated rice systems could play a major role for rice production resilience in response to climate change in the region (van Oort &

Zwart 2018). As a consequence, some countries such as Senegal, are strongly investing to increase the surface of irrigated paddies.

However, irrigated rice cultivation requires a large amount of freshwater. It was estimated that in a dry environment with an evaporation rate higher than precipitation rate, as is found in large parts of West Africa, traditional irrigated rice cultivation requires between 700 to 1,500 mm of water to produce 1 kg of rice per year (Bhuiyan 1992). Furthermore, climate change is trending towards a hotter and dryer atmosphere in the region, which will increase evaporative demand. With increased competition from industries and city growth for freshwater and increased uncertainty in precipitation patterns resulting in reduced water availability in the region, it will not be possible to meet the growing demand with local rice production using the conventional irrigated rice cultivation system (Nie *et al.* 2012). Several water-saving alternatives have been suggested including alternate wetting and drying or aerobic cultivation in order to reduce water consumption and increase cultivated surfaces. While these practices can save up to 50% of the water used for rice production, they often incur a yield penalty with the current varieties that have been selected for irrigated agro-ecosystems (Bouman, Peng, Castañeda & Visperas 2005; Peng *et al.* 2006; Kreye *et al.* 2009; Sasaki *et al.* 2010).

Aerobic rice cultivation aims to maximize crop water use efficiency by growing plants in soil without flooding or puddling (Matsunami, Matsunami & Kokubun 2009; Matsuo & Mochizuki 2009). It allows greater water savings and can be deployed in regions without access to irrigation water but has a high yield penalty and is associated with increased weed management and risks of nematodes. In this system, periodic drought stress may reduce yield stability and yield potential (Sandhu *et al.* 2019). For these reasons, aerobic rice has not become popular among farmers in irrigated areas (Meena, Bhusal, Kumar, Jain & Jain 2019). On the other hand, alternate wetting and drying is a simple practice where, instead of keeping the fields permanently flooded, irrigation is periodically stopped until the soil water table reaches a certain depth, easily measured using a pipe set in the soil, and then re-started until the field is flooded again (Bouman & Tuong 2001). Alternate wetting and drying cycles are repeated either during the vegetative or flowering stage or throughout the rice cultivation cycle, although keeping

paddies flooded in hot environments during the flowering stage help avoid the problems linked to heat sensitivity though the cooling effect of evaporation (Jagadish, Murty & Quick 2015). Two types of alternate wetting and drying have been described: moderate alternate wetting and drying when field water level is allowed to drop down to 15 cm below the soil surface, and severe alternate wetting and drying when soils are allowed to dry beyond  $-20$  kPa (Carrijo, Lundy & Linquist 2017). Alternate wetting and drying can be easily adopted in these areas as it does not change the cultivation practices, is not associated with increased labour needs and can contribute to a reduction in water consumption of 5 to 30%, depending on the season and soil, as well as reduce methane emissions and grain arsenic levels (Linquist et al. 2015; Carrijo et al. 2017). In general, alternate wetting and drying has a limited yield penalty (5.4% in a meta-analysis of 56 studies, Carrijo et al. 2017) but the yield decrease is more important in severe alternate wetting and drying or if alternate wetting and drying is maintained throughout the crop cycle (Carrijo et al. 2017). The impact on yield is also very dependent on the genotype and most of the currently used high-yield varieties show yield reduction in alternate wetting and drying (Carrijo et al. 2017; Sandhu et al. 2017). Thus, there is a clear need to develop new varieties to optimize yield in alternate wetting and drying rice agroecosystems.

The use of root traits has been little explored in such agroecosystems, but could support increased water use efficiency, phosphorus (P) use efficiency and nitrogen (N) use efficiency, thus reducing inputs globally alternate wetting and drying. Indeed, alternate wetting and drying results in periodic changes in water content in the topsoil but also changes the dynamics of nutrient availability and in particular of N and P availability (Wang et al. 2016; Acosta-Motos et al. 2020). For instance, it increases topsoil P availability and has been linked with changes in the soil microbiota and the stimulation of aerobic P-solubilizing bacteria in the aerobic topsoil compartment (Li et al. 2018). Conversely, N availability seemingly decreases upon alternate wetting and drying due to increased denitrification, volatilisation and leaching although these losses can be avoided by timely N application (Tan et al. 2013; Djaman et al. 2018). Water and N signalling are known to interact in ways that affect root traits for synergistic or antagonistic resource

uptake (reviewed in (Araus, Swift, Alvarez, Henry & Coruzzi 2020). Hence, varieties for alternate wetting and drying agro-ecosystems need to be adapted to fluctuations in soil water and nutrient content in the topsoil.

Root traits could be mobilized to that end as a large genetic diversity for these traits is available in rice (Ahmadi *et al.* 2014). Root traits that increase the volume of topsoil exploration and promote P uptake should be prioritized to improve rice yields in alternate wetting and drying systems. Traits that merit investigation include crown root number, lateral root density (there are two types of lateral roots in rice, thin and determinate short lateral roots and indeterminate long lateral roots; (Rebouillat *et al.* 2009), and root hair length and density.

A recent large-scale study by (Sandhu *et al.* 2017) is consistent with nodal root number and lateral root density positively impacting yield in alternate wetting and drying . Evaluation of new rice varieties derived from crosses between popular varieties and drought-tolerant accessions was performed in fully-irrigated and alternate wetting and drying systems in seven sites across Asia (Sandhu *et al.* 2017). Out of 82 lines tested in at least three sites, lines with stable and high yield in alternate wetting and drying conditions compared to irrigated conditions were identified. Comparison of the root phenotype of a subset of these stable high-yielding lines and the control line IR64 (high yield variety that shows a reduction in yield in alternate wetting and drying) showed that higher nodal root number and root dry weight at 10–20 cm depth played an important role in maintaining grain yield under alternate wetting and drying (Sandhu *et al.* 2017). Interestingly, quantitative changes in these root traits induced after initiation of alternate wetting and drying cycle, i.e. plasticity response of the (Catolos *et al.* 2017) root system, were shown to be important for yield stability (Sandhu *et al.* 2017).

Alternate wetting and drying seems to have a positive effect on root development as indicated by increased root biomass and maximum root length (Wang *et al.* 2016; Acosta-Motos *et al.* 2020). This might simply be a positive response to a mild water stress on root growth potentially mediated by ABA (Miao *et al.* 2021). These observations are in agreement with previous analyses describing the important role of root system plasticity for drought and low P tolerance in field and controlled conditions (Sandhu *et al.* 2016). In



the best performing lines under alternate wetting and drying (initially selected as drought tolerant breeding lines), the number of nodal roots below 20 cm and deep root length at the flowering stage appear to have a positive effect on yield. Therefore, while root branching plasticity in the topsoil improved P uptake in these lines, root growth plasticity in deeper soil layers may have improved water and nitrogen acquisition (Fig. 2C). Similarly, a study performed using a combination of pot experiments and functional-structural plant model indicated that root system P acquisition efficiency is improved by increased root branching both in irrigated and water stress conditions (De Bauw *et al.* 2020). In alternate wetting and drying, P acquisition was shown to occur mainly at the root tip and led to P depletion along the root due to the low mobility of P in the soil (De Bauw *et al.* 2020).

Root interactions with soil symbiotic microbes such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, which are inhibited by flooding, might also improve water and nutrient acquisition in the topsoil in alternate wetting and drying (Vallino, Fiorilli & Bonfante 2014; Mbodj *et al.* 2018). Furthermore, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi were shown to confer drought tolerance in rice (Chareesri, De Deyn, Sergeeva, Polthannee & Kuyper 2020). Interestingly, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonization was also shown to reduce P loss from paddy fields, thus improving P use efficiency and reducing environmental impacts (Zhang *et al.* 2020). Thus, root traits that promote arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi infection in topsoil such as increased number of crown roots and large lateral roots with more cortex cells and less aerenchyma to accommodate intracellular fungal structures may lead to improved water and nutrient uptake. Moreover, rice response to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi infection is dependent on the plant genotype and could be targeted for plant breeding (Diedhiou *et al.* 2016; Huang *et al.* 2020; Lefebvre 2020).

Overall, improving root traits in rice for alternate wetting and drying systems could lead to improved water use efficiency but also P use efficiency (Acosta-Motos *et al.* 2020) and N use efficiency (Wang *et al.* 2016b) through synergistic interactions between water and nutrients, thus leading to more sustainable rice agroecosystems with reduced water and fertilizer consumption. Lines adapted to aerobic conditions that show root plasticity in response to alternate wetting and drying in topsoil (increased branching) could be

interesting materials to test. Rhizosphere traits could also be targeted to improve water and nutrient acquisition efficiency in this system.

## **Conclusion**

We propose two main root trait-based strategies to accelerate the development of new cultivars better adapted to low-input environments in Africa.

The first is to identify simple traits that have the broadest positive influence on enhanced performance and that minimize trade-offs. First and foremost, long and dense root hairs are promising selection criteria as greater root hair length and density promotes soil exploration and nutrient acquisition with minimal cost. Additionally, root plasticity traits could be another potential selection criteria as for topsoil root branching plasticity could be beneficial upon partial dry-down, especially for rice in alternate wetting and drying agroecosystems. Subsoil root length plasticity could be beneficial during prolonged drought stress particularly in the reproduction and grain filling stage (for sorghum and pearl millet in arid and semi-arid agroecosystems for example).

The second major strategy is to understand and target trait synergisms and integrated phenotypes. Synergisms between root traits are defined as interactions that have more than additive effect, as in the case of long and dense root hairs paired with shallow root system architecture for P acquisition (Miguel *et al.* 2015). Integrated phenotypes would clearly affect the utility of selecting for a single component trait without selecting for their complementary phenotypes. For example, the utility of high conductance capacity xylem likely depends on root traits that affect rooting depth since deep roots can access and thus transport greater volumes of soil water (Strock, Burrige, Niemiec, Brown & Lynch 2020). The development of root structural and functional models for crops such as sorghum or pearl millet that can evaluate the effects of architectural and anatomical traits as well as trait combinations in changing soil environments will be particularly useful (Ndour, Pradal & Lucas 2017). Other less well characterized trait assemblages, especially those involving transpiration, should be further investigated and validated in particular stress scenarios (Strock et al. 2019; Klein, Schneider, Perkins, Brown & Lynch 2020). Considering resource acquisition and use, especially that of water within the context of phenology, leads to acknowledging the importance of interactions

among roots and shoots for timely water use across the crop cycle (Vadez *et al.* 2014). In that regard, combining root models with crop models could potentially link above-ground traits to root traits, the former serving as a proxy for root function (Benes *et al.* 2020).

In order to make these innovations readily available to breeders, researchers and breeders need to work together to validate trait utility, develop phenotyping protocols (including field sites) and the type of genetic material to work with (e.g. recombinant inbred lines, near isogenic lines, tester lines, germplasm deployment strategy) and ultimately identify marker or genes controlling beneficial traits. As in the case of common bean (case study 1) demonstrated, identifying traits and deploying a selection strategy at a particular stage of cultivar development, is important. To maximize deployment of improved cultivars and to then secure the adoption of those improved cultivars, social scientists and farmers should be integrated in the selection process (Amelework *et al.* 2016). The inclusion of useful root traits in such approaches may help to stimulate a new Green Revolution in Africa.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank Dr Alain Ghesquière and Dr Vincent Vadez (IRD) for critical reading of our manuscript. MSN is supported by a PhD grant from the Sorghum and Millet Innovation Lab (SMIL / Feed the Future) through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID, grant S19182.01). RB is supported by a BBSRC Discovery and Future Food Nottingham Research Fellowships. Work in our labs is supported by the IRD, the French Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR Grant PlastiMil n°ANR20-CE20-0016, ICARUS n°ANR17-MPGA-0011 and RootAdapt n°ANR17-CE20-0022-01), the Royal Society (Anatomics grant ICA\R1\180356), the Access to Research Infrastructures activity in the Horizon 2020 Programme of the EU (EPPN2020 Grant Agreement 731013) and by the CGIAR Research Programme on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals (GLDC).

## References

- Acosta-Motos J.R., Rothwell S.A., Massam M.J., Albacete A., Zhang H. & Dodd I.C. (2020) Alternate wetting and drying irrigation increases water and phosphorus use efficiency independent of substrate phosphorus status of vegetative rice plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **155**, 914–926.
- Ahmadi N., Audebert A., Bennett M.J., Bishopp A., de Oliveira A., Courtois B., ... Xu J. (2014) The roots of future rice harvests. *Rice* **7**, 29.
- Alewell C., Ringeval B., Ballabio C., Robinson D.A., Panagos P. & Borrelli P. (2020) Global phosphorus shortage will be aggravated by soil erosion. *Nature Communications* **11**, 4546.
- Amelework B.A., Shimelis H.A., Tongoona P., Mengistu F., Laing M.D. & Ayele D.G. (2016) Sorghum production systems and constraints, and coping strategies under drought-prone agro-ecologies of Ethiopia. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil* **33**, 207–217.
- Araus V., Swift J., Alvarez J.M., Henry A. & Coruzzi G.M. (2020) A balancing act: How plants integrate nitrogen and water signals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **71**, 4442–4451.
- De Bauw P., Mai T.H., Schnepf A., Merckx R., Smolders E. & Vanderborght J. (2020) A functional-structural model of upland rice root systems reveals the importance of laterals and growing root tips for phosphate uptake from wet and dry soils. *Annals of Botany* **126**, 789–806.
- Beebe S. (2012) Common bean breeding in the tropics. In *Plant Breeding Reviews*, First Edit. (ed J. Janick), John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Benes B., Guan K., Lang M., Long S.P., Lynch J.P., Marshall-Colón A., ... Turk M.J. (2020) Multiscale computational models can guide experimentation and targeted measurements for crop improvement. *Plant Journal* **103**, 21–31.
- Bernardino K.C., Pastina M.M., Menezes C.B., De Sousa S.M., Maclel L.S., Geraldo Carvalho G.C., ... Magalhaes J. V. (2019) The genetic architecture of phosphorus efficiency in sorghum involves pleiotropic QTL for root morphology and grain yield under low phosphorus availability in the soil. *BMC Plant Biology* **19**, 1–15.
- Bhosale R., Giri J., Pandey B.K., Giehl R.F.H., Hartmann A., Traini R., ... Swarup R. (2018) A mechanistic framework for auxin dependent Arabidopsis root hair elongation to low external phosphate. *Nature Communications* **9**, 1–9.

565 Bhuiyan S.I. (1992) Water management in relation to crop production: case study on  
566 rice. *Outlook on Agriculture* **21**, 293–299.

567 Borrell A.K., Mullet J.E., George-Jaeggli B., Van Oosterom E.J., Hammer G.L., Klein  
568 P.E. & Jordan D.R. (2014) Drought adaptation of stay-green sorghum is associated  
569 with canopy development, leaf anatomy, root growth, and water uptake. *Journal of*  
570 *Experimental Botany* **65**, 6251–6263.

571 Bouman B. a. M. & Tuong T.P. (2001) Field water management to save water and  
572 increase its productivity in irrigated lowland rice. *Agricultural Water Management*  
573 **1615**, 1–20.

574 Bouman B.A.M., Peng S., Castañeda A.R. & Visperas R.M. (2005) Yield and water use  
575 of irrigated tropical aerobic rice systems. *Agricultural Water Management* **74**, 87–  
576 105.

577 vom Brocke K., Trouche G., Weltzien E., Barro-Kondombo C.P., Gozé E. & Chantereau  
578 J. (2010) Participatory variety development for sorghum in Burkina Faso: Farmers'  
579 selection and farmers' criteria. *Field Crops Research* **119**, 183–194.

580 Brooker R.W., Bennett A.E., Cong W.F., Daniell T.J., George T.S., Hallett P.D., ...  
581 White P.J. (2015) Improving intercropping: A synthesis of research in agronomy,  
582 plant physiology and ecology. *New Phytologist* **206**, 107–117.

583 Brown L.K., George T.S., Neugebauer K. & White P.J. (2017) The rhizosheath – a  
584 potential trait for future agricultural sustainability occurs in orders throughout the  
585 angiosperms. *Plant and Soil* **418**, 115–128.

586 Burrridge J., Jochua C.N., Bucksch A. & Lynch J.P. (2016) Legume shovelomics: High-  
587 throughput phenotyping of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and cowpea  
588 (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp, *unguiculata*) root architecture in the field. *Field Crops*  
589 *Research* **192**, 21–32.

590 Burrridge J.D., Findeis J.L., Jochua C.N., Miguel M.A., Mubichi-Kut F.M., Quinhentos  
591 M.L., ... Lynch J.P. (2019) A case study on the efficacy of root phenotypic selection  
592 for edaphic stress tolerance in low-input agriculture: Common bean breeding in  
593 Mozambique. *Field Crops Research* **244**, 107612.

594 Burrridge J.D., Rangarajan H. & Lynch J.P. (2020) Comparative phenomics of annual  
595 grain legume root architecture. *Crop Science* **60**, 2574–2593.

596 Burton A.L., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2013) Phenotypic diversity of root anatomical  
597 and architectural traits in *Zea* species. *Crop Science* **53**, 1042–1055.

598 Carrijo D.R., Lundy M.E. & Linquist B.A. (2017) Rice yields and water use under  
599 alternate wetting and drying irrigation: A meta-analysis. *Field Crops Research* **203**,  
600 173–180.

601 Catolos M., Sandhu N., Dixit S., Shamsudin N.A.A., Naredo M.E.B., McNally K.L., ...  
602 Kumar A. (2017) Genetic loci governing grain yield and root development under  
603 variable rice cultivation conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**, 1–17.

604 Chareesri A., De Deyn G.B., Sergeeva L., Polthanee A. & Kuyper T.W. (2020)  
605 Increased arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization reduces yield loss of rice  
606 (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought. *Mycorrhiza* **30**, 315–328.

607 Chimungu J.G., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2014) Reduced root cortical cell file number  
608 improves drought tolerance in maize. *Plant Physiology* **166**, 1943–1955.

609 Chimungu J.G., Maliro M.F.A., Nalivata P.C., Kanyama-Phiri G., Brown K.M. & Lynch  
610 J.P. (2015) Utility of root cortical aerenchyma under water limited conditions in  
611 tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Field Crops Research* **171**, 86–98.

612 Diedhiou A.G., Mbaye F.K., Mbodj D., Faye M.N., Pignoly S., Ndoeye I., ... Champion A.  
613 (2016) Field trials reveal ecotype-specific responses to mycorrhizal inoculation in  
614 rice. *PLoS ONE* **11**, 1–17.

615 Djaman K., Mel V., Ametonou F., El-Namaky R., Diallo M. & Koudahe K. (2018) Effect  
616 of nitrogen fertilizer dose and application timing on yield and nitrogen use efficiency  
617 of irrigated hybrid rice under semi-arid conditions. *Journal of Agricultural Science*  
618 *and Food Research* **9**, 1–7.

619 Duell R.W. & Peacock G.R. (1985) Rhizosheaths on mesophytic grasses 1. *Crop*  
620 *Science* **25**, 880–883.

621 Duque L.O. & Villordon A. (2019) Root branching and nutrient efficiency: Status and  
622 way forward in root and tuber crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**, 1–8.

623 ECOWAS Commission (2019) Directorate of agriculture and rural development, The  
624 ECOWAS Rice Factbook 1st Edition.

625 ELD Initiative & UNEP (2015) The economics of land degradation in Africa: Benefits of  
626 action outweigh the costs. Available from [www.eld-initiative.org](http://www.eld-initiative.org)

627 Eswaran H., Lai R. & Reich P. (2001) Land degradation: An overview. In: Bridges, E.M.,  
628 Hannam, I.D., Oldeman, L.R., Pening de Vries, F.W.T., Scherr, S.J., Sompatpanit,  
629 S. (eds.), Responses to Land Degradation. Proceedings of 2nd International  
630 Conference on Land Degradation and Desertification, Khon Kaen, Thailand. Oxford  
631 Press, New Delhi, India.

- 632 van Etten J., de Sousa K., Aguilar A., Barrios M., Coto A., Dell'Acqua M., ... Steinke J.  
633 (2019) Crop variety management for climate adaptation supported by citizen  
634 science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*  
635 *America* **116**, 4194–4199.
- 636 FAOSTAT (2021) Statistical division of the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)  
637 Available at: <http://faostat.fao.org/>
- 638 Faye A., Sine B., Chopart J.-L., Grondin A., Lucas M., Diedhiou A.G., ... Laplaze L.  
639 (2019) Development of a model estimating root length density from root impacts on  
640 a soil profile in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br). Application to measure  
641 root system response to water stress in field conditions. *Plos One* **14**, e0214182.
- 642 Fess T.L., Kotcon J.B. & Benedito V.A. (2011) Crop breeding for low input agriculture: A  
643 sustainable response to feed a growing world population. *Sustainability* **3**, 1742–  
644 1772.
- 645 Gamuyao R., Chin J.H., Pariasca-Tanaka J., Pesaresi P., Catausan S., Dalid C., ...  
646 Heuer S. (2012) The protein kinase Pstol1 from traditional rice confers tolerance of  
647 phosphorus deficiency. *Nature* **488**, 535–539.
- 648 Gao Y. & Lynch J.P. (2016) Reduced crown root number improves water acquisition  
649 under water deficit stress in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany*  
650 **67**, 4545–4557.
- 651 Gemenet D.C., Leiser W.L., Beggi F., Herrmann L.H., Vadez V., Rattunde H.F.W., ...  
652 Haussmann B.I.G. (2016) Overcoming phosphorus deficiency overcoming  
653 phosphorus deficiency in West African pearl millet and sorghum production  
654 systems: Promising options for crop improvement. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**, 1–  
655 10.
- 656 Grondin A., Mauleon R., Vadez V. & Henry A. (2016) Root aquaporins contribute to  
657 whole plant water fluxes under drought stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant, Cell*  
658 *and Environment* **39**, 347–65.
- 659 Hanlon M.T., Ray S., Saengwilai P., Luthe D., Lynch J.P. & Brown K.M. (2018) Buffered  
660 delivery of phosphate to Arabidopsis alters responses to low phosphate. *Journal of*  
661 *Experimental Botany* **69**, 1207–1219.
- 662 Henry A., Cal A.J., Batoto T.C., Torres R.O. & Serraj R. (2012) Root attributes affecting  
663 water uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa*) under drought. *Journal of Experimental Botany*  
664 **63**, 4751–4763.
- 665 Henry A., Chaves N.F., Kleinman P.J.A. & Lynch J.P. (2010) Will nutrient-efficient  
666 genotypes mine the soil? Effects of genetic differences in root architecture in

667 common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) on soil phosphorus depletion in a low-input  
668 agro-ecosystem in Central America. *Field Crops Research* **115**, 67–78.

669 Henry A., Kleinman P.J.A. & Lynch J.P. (2009) Phosphorus runoff from a phosphorus  
670 deficient soil under common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and soybean (*Glycine*  
671 *max* L.) genotypes with contrasting root architecture. *Plant and Soil* **317**, 1–16.

672 Ho M.D., Rosas J.C., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2005) Root architectural tradeoffs for  
673 water and phosphorus acquisition. *Functional Plant Biology* **32**, 737–748.

674 Huang R., Li Z., Mao C., Zhang H., Sun Z., Li H., ... Duanmu D. (2020) Natural variation  
675 at *OsCERK1* regulates arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in rice. *New Phytologist*  
676 **225**, 1762–1776.

677 Humphries S., Rosas J.C., Gómez M., Jiménez J., Sierra F., Gallardo O., ... Barahona  
678 M. (2015) Synergies at the interface of farmer-scientist partnerships: Agricultural  
679 innovation through participatory research and plant breeding in Honduras.  
680 *Agriculture and Food Security* **4**, 1–17.

681 Jaffuel S., vom Brocke K., Hamadou T.V.C., Dardou A., Vidal A. & Trouche G. (2016)  
682 Anatomical features of an African sorghum landrace adapted to flooded conditions.  
683 *Australian Journal of Crop Science* **10**, 1489–1495.

684 Jagadish S.V.K., Murty M.V.R. & Quick W.P. (2015) Rice responses to rising  
685 temperatures - challenges, perspectives and future directions. *Plant, Cell and*  
686 *Environment* **38**, 1686–1698.

687 Jaramillo R.E., Nord E.A., Chimungu J.G., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2013) Root cortical  
688 burden influences drought tolerance in maize. *Annals of Botany* **112**, 429–437.

689 Jochua C.N., Strock C.F. & Lynch J.P. (2020) Root phenotypic diversity in common  
690 bean reveals contrasting strategies for soil resource acquisition among gene pools  
691 and races. *Crop Science* **60**, 3261–3277.

692 Johnson M., Hazell P. & Gulati A. (2003) The role of intermediate factor markets in  
693 Asia's Green Revolution: Lessons for Africa? *American Journal of Agricultural*  
694 *Economics* **85**, 1211–1216.

695 Jones A., Breuning-Madsen H., Brossard M., Dampha A., Deckers J., Dewitte O., ...  
696 Zougmore R., eds. (2013) Soil Atlas of Africa. Luxembourg: European Commission.

697 Joshi D., Singh V., van Oosterom E., Mace E., Jordan D. & Hammer G. (2016) Genetic  
698 manipulation of root system architecture to improve drought adaptation in Sorghum.  
699 In: Rakshit S., Wang Y.H. (eds) The Sorghum Genome. Compendium of Plant  
700 Genomes. Springer.



701 Joshi D.C., Singh V., Hunt C., Mace E., van Oosterom E., Sulman R., ... Hammer G.  
 702 (2017) Development of a phenotyping platform for high throughput screening of  
 703 nodal root angle in sorghum. *Plant Methods* **13**, 1–12.

704 Kadam N.N., Yin X., Bindraban P.S., Struik P.C. & Jagadish K.S.V. (2015) Does  
 705 morphological and anatomical plasticity during the vegetative stage make wheat  
 706 more tolerant of water deficit stress than rice? *Plant Physiology* **167**, 1389–1401.

707 Kholová J., Hash C.T., Kumar P.L., Yadav R.S., Kocová M. & Vadez V. (2010) Terminal  
 708 drought-tolerant pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] have high leaf ABA  
 709 and limit transpiration at high vapour pressure deficit. *Journal of experimental*  
 710 *botany* **61**, 1431–40.

711 Klein S.P., Schneider H.M., Perkins A.C., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2020) Multiple  
 712 integrated root phenotypes are associated with improved drought tolerance. *Plant*  
 713 *Physiology* **183**, 1011–1025.

714 Kreye C., Bouman B.A.M., Reversat G., Fernandez L., Vera Cruz C., Elazegui F., ...  
 715 Llorca L. (2009) Biotic and abiotic causes of yield failure in tropical aerobic rice.  
 716 *Field Crops Research* **112**, 97–106.

717 de la Fuente Cantó C., Simonin M., King E., Moulin L., Bennett M.J., Castrillo G. &  
 718 Laplaze L. (2020) An extended root phenotype: the rhizosphere, its formation and  
 719 impacts on plant fitness. *Plant Journal* **103**, 951–964.

720 Lefebvre B. (2020) An opportunity to breed rice for improved benefits from the  
 721 arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis? *New Phytologist* **225**, 1404–1406.

722 Li Z., Li Z., Letuma P., Zhao H., Zhang Z., Lin W., ... Lin W. (2018) A positive response  
 723 of rice rhizosphere to alternate moderate wetting and drying irrigation at grain filling  
 724 stage. *Agricultural Water Management* **207**, 26–36.

725 Linquist B.A., Anders M.M., Adviento-Borbe M.A.A., Chaney R.L., Nalley L.L., da Rosa  
 726 E.F.F. & van Kessel C. (2015) Reducing greenhouse gas emissions, water use,  
 727 and grain arsenic levels in rice systems. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 407–417.

728 Lynch J.P. (2007) Roots of the second green revolution. *Australian Journal of Botany*  
 729 **55**, 493–512.

730 Lynch J.P. (2011) Root phenes for enhanced soil exploration and phosphorus  
 731 acquisition: Tools for future crops. *Plant Physiology* **156**, 1041–1049.

732 Lynch J.P. (2013) Steep, cheap and deep: An ideotype to optimize water and N  
 733 acquisition by maize root systems. *Annals of Botany* **112**, 347–357.

734 Lynch J.P. (2018) Rightsizing root phenotypes for drought resistance. *Journal of*  
735 *Experimental Botany* **69**, 3279–3292.

736 Lynch J.P. (2019) Root phenotypes for improved nutrient capture: an underexploited  
737 opportunity for global agriculture. *New Phytologist* **223**, 548–564.

738 Lynch J.P. & Ho M.D. (2005) Rhizoeconomics: Carbon costs of phosphorus acquisition.  
739 *Plant and Soil* **269**, 45–56.

740 Lynch J.P., Strock C.F., Schneider H.M., Sidhu J.S., Ajmera I., Galindo-Castañeda T.,  
741 ... Hanlon M.T. (2021) *Root anatomy and soil resource capture*. Plant Soil,  
742 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05010-y>

743 Ma Z., Walk T.C., Marcus A. & Lynch J.P. (2001) Morphological synergism in root hair  
744 length, density, initiation and geometry for phosphorus acquisition in *Arabidopsis*  
745 *thaliana*: A modeling approach. *Plant and Soil* **236**, 221–235.

746 Mace E.S., Singh V., van Oosterom E.J., Hammer G.L., Hunt C.H. & Jordan D.R.  
747 (2012) QTL for nodal root angle in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) co-locate  
748 with QTL for traits associated with drought adaptation. *Theoretical and Applied*  
749 *Genetics* **124**, 97–109.

750 Matlon P.J. (1990) Improving productivity in sorghum and pearl millet in semi-arid  
751 Africa. *Food Research Institute Studies* **22**, 1–43.

752 Matsunami M., Matsunami T. & Kokubun M. (2009) Growth and yield of new rice for  
753 africa (NERICAs) under different ecosystems and nitrogen levels. *Plant Production*  
754 *Science* **12**, 381–389.

755 Matsuo N. & Mochizuki T. (2009) Growth and yield of six rice cultivars under three  
756 water-saving cultivations. *Plant Production Science* **12**, 514–525.

757 Mbodj D., Effa-Effa B., Kane A., Manneh B., Gantet P., Laplaze L., ... Grondin A. (2018)  
758 Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in rice: Establishment, environmental control and  
759 impact on plant growth and resistance to abiotic stresses. *Rhizosphere* **8**, 12–26.

760 Meena R.K., Bhusal N., Kumar K., Jain R. & Jain S. (2019) Intervention of molecular  
761 breeding in water saving rice production system: aerobic rice. *3 Biotech* **9**, 1–12.

762 Miao R., Yuan W., Wang Y., Garcia-Maquilon I., Dang X., Li Y., ... Xu W. (2021) Low  
763 ABA concentration promotes root growth and hydrotropism through relief of ABA  
764 INSENSITIVE 1-mediated inhibition of plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase 2. *Science*  
765 *Advances* **7**, 1–15.

- 766 Miguel M.A., Postma J.A. & Lynch J.P. (2015) Phenological synergism between root hair  
767 length and basal root growth angle for phosphorus Acquisition. *Plant Physiology*  
768 **167**, 1430–1439.
- 769 Miguel M.A., Widrig A., Vieira R.F., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2013) Basal root whorl  
770 number: A modulator of phosphorus acquisition in common bean (*Phaseolus*  
771 *vulgaris*). *Annals of Botany* **112**, 973–982.
- 772 Ndour A., Pradal C. & Lucas M. (2017) Virtual plants need water too: Functional-  
773 structural root system models in the context of drought tolerance breeding.  
774 *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**, 1577.
- 775 Ndour P.M.S., Barry C.M., Tine D., De la Fuente Cantó C., Gueye M., Barakat M., ...  
776 Cournac L. (2021) Pearl millet genotype impacts microbial diversity and enzymatic  
777 activities in relation to root-adhering soil aggregation. *Plant and Soil* **464**, 109–129
- 778 Ndour P.M.S., Gueye M., Barakat M., Ortet P., Bertrand-Huleux M., Pablo A.-L., ...  
779 Cournac L. (2017) Pearl millet genetic traits shape rhizobacterial diversity and  
780 modulate rhizosphere aggregation. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**, 1–14.
- 781 Ndour P.M.S., Heulin T., Achouak W., Laplace L. & Cournac L. (2020) The rhizosheath:  
782 from desert plants adaptation to crop breeding. *Plant and Soil* **456**, 1–13.
- 783 Nie L., Peng S., Chen M., Shah F., Huang J., Cui K. & Xiang J. (2012) Aerobic rice for  
784 water-saving agriculture. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **32**,  
785 411–418.
- 786 Olsson L., Barbosa H., Bhadwal S., Cowie A., Delusca K., Flores-Renteria D., ...  
787 Stringer L. (2019) Land Degradation. *Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special*  
788 *report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land*  
789 *management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems*,  
790 345–436.
- 791 van Oort P.A.J. & Zwart S.J. (2018) Impacts of climate change on rice production in  
792 Africa and causes of simulated yield changes. *Global Change Biology* **24**, 1029–  
793 1045.
- 794 Van Oosterom E.J., Yang Z., Zhang F., Deifel K.S., Cooper M., Messina C.D. &  
795 Hammer G.L. (2016) Hybrid variation for root system efficiency in maize: Potential  
796 links to drought adaptation. *Functional Plant Biology* **43**, 502–511.
- 797 Paliwal R., Abberton M., Faloye B. & Olaniyi O. (2020) Developing the role of legumes  
798 in West Africa under climate change. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **56**, 242–258.

799 Passot S., Gnacko F., Moukouanga D., Lucas M., Guyomarc'h S., Ortega B.M., ...  
800 Laplaze L. (2016) Characterization of pearl millet root architecture and anatomy  
801 reveals three types of lateral roots. *Frontiers in plant science* **7**, 829.

802 Passot S., Moreno-Ortega B., Moukouanga D., Balsera C., Guyomarc'h S., Lucas M., ...  
803 Guédon Y. (2018) A new phenotyping pipeline reveals three types of lateral roots  
804 and a random branching pattern in two cereals. *Plant Physiology* **177**, 896-9.

805 Pearson C.J., Norman D.W., Dixon J. (1995) Sustainable dryland cropping in relation to  
806 soil productivity. *FAO Soils Bulletin* **72**, 157.

807 Peng S., Bouman B., Visperas R.M., Castañeda A., Nie L. & Park H.K. (2006)  
808 Comparison between aerobic and flooded rice in the tropics: Agronomic  
809 performance in an eight-season experiment. *Field Crops Research* **96**, 252–259.

810 Pingali P.L. (2012) Green revolution: Impacts, limits, and the path ahead. *Proceedings*  
811 *of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 12302–  
812 12308.

813 Promkhambut A., Polthanee A., Akkasaeng C. & Younger A. (2011) Growth, yield and  
814 aerenchyma formation of sweet and multipurpose sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.  
815 Moench) as affected by flooding at different growth stages. *Australian Journal of*  
816 *Crop Science* **5**, 954–965.

817 Rebouillat J., Dievart A., Verdeil J.L., Escoute J., Giese G., Breitler J.C., ... Périn C.  
818 (2009) Molecular genetics of rice root development. *Rice* **2**, 15–34.

819 Reynolds M., Atkin O.K., Bennett M., Cooper M., Dodd I.C., Foulkes M.J., ... Wittich  
820 P.E. (2021) Addressing research bottlenecks to crop productivity. *Trends in plant*  
821 *science* **26**, 607–630.

822 Rostamza M., Richards R.A. & Watt M. (2013) Response of millet and sorghum to a  
823 varying water supply around the primary and nodal roots. *Annals of Botany* **112**,  
824 439–446.

825 Ryan S.F., Adamson N.L., Aktipis A., Andersen L.K., Austin R., Barnes L., ... Dunn R.R.  
826 (2018) The role of citizen science in addressing grand challenges in food and  
827 agriculture research. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **285**,  
828 20181977.

829 Salih A.A., Ali I.A., Lux A., Luxova M., Cohen Y., Sugimoto Y. & Inanaga S. (1999)  
830 Rooting, water uptake, and xylem structure adaptation to drought of two sorghum  
831 cultivars. *Crop Science* **39**, 168–173.

832 Sandhu N., Anitha Raman K., Torres R.O., Audebert A., Dardou A., Kumar A. & Henry  
833 A. (2016) Rice root architectural plasticity traits and genetic regions for adaptability  
834 to variable cultivation and stress conditions. *Plant Physiology* **171**, 2562–2576.

835 Sandhu N., Dixit S., Swamy B.P.M., Raman A., Kumar S., Singh S.P., ... Kumar A.  
836 (2019) Marker assisted breeding to develop multiple stress tolerant varieties for  
837 flood and drought prone areas. *Rice* **12**, 8.

838 Sandhu N., Subedi S.R., Yadaw R.B., Chaudhary B., Prasai H., Iftekharruddaula K., ...  
839 Kumar A. (2017) Root traits enhancing rice grain yield under alternate wetting and  
840 drying condition. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **31**, 1879.

841 Sasaki Y., Hosen Y., Peng S., Nie L., Rodriguez R., Agbisit R., ... Bouman B.A.M.  
842 (2010) Do abiotic factors cause a gradual yield decline under continuous aerobic  
843 rice cultivation? A pot experiment with affected field soils. *Soil Science and Plant*  
844 *Nutrition* **56**, 476–482.

845 Schneider H.M. & Lynch J.P. (2020) Should root plasticity be a crop breeding target?  
846 *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**, 1–16.

847 Sebastian J., Yee M.-C., Goudinho Viana W., Rellán-Álvarez R., Feldman M., Priest  
848 H.D., ... Dinnyeny J.R. (2016) Grasses suppress shoot-borne roots to conserve  
849 water during drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**,  
850 8861–8866.

851 Sheahan M. & Barrett C.B. (2017) Ten striking facts about agricultural input use in Sub-  
852 Saharan Africa. *Food Policy* **67**, 12–25.

853 Singh V., van Oosterom E.J., Jordan D.R., Messina C.D., Cooper M. & Hammer G.L.  
854 (2010) Morphological and architectural development of root systems in sorghum  
855 and maize. *Plant and Soil* **333**, 287–299.

856 Strock C.F., Burrige J., Massas A.S.F., Beaver J., Beebe S., Camilo S.A., ... Lynch  
857 J.P. (2019) Seedling root architecture and its relationship with seed yield across  
858 diverse environments in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Field Crops Research* **237**, 53–64.

859 Strock C.F., Burrige J.D., Niemiec M.D., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2020) Root  
860 metaxylem and architecture phenotypes integrate to regulate water use under  
861 drought stress. *Plant Cell and Environment* **44**, 49–67.

862 Sultan B., Defrance D. & Iizumi T. (2019) Evidence of crop production losses in West  
863 Africa due to historical global warming in two crop models. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 1–  
864 15.

- 865 Tan X., Shao D., Liu H., Yang F., Xiao C. & Yang H. (2013) Effects of alternate wetting  
866 and drying irrigation on percolation and nitrogen leaching in paddy fields. *Paddy*  
867 *and Water Environment* **11**, 381–395.
- 868 Vadez V. (2014) Root hydraulics: The forgotten side of roots in drought adaptation.  
869 *Field Crops Research* **165**, 15–24.
- 870 Vadez V., Kholova J., Medina S., Kakkera A. & Anderberg H. (2014) Transpiration  
871 efficiency: New insights into an old story. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**, 6141–  
872 6153.
- 873 Vadez V., Kholová J., Yadav R.S. & Hash C.T. (2013) Small temporal differences in  
874 water uptake among varieties of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.) are  
875 critical for grain yield under terminal drought. *Plant and Soil* **371**, 447–462.
- 876 Vallino M., Fiorilli V. & Bonfante P. (2014) Rice flooding negatively impacts root  
877 branching and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization, but not fungal viability. *Plant,*  
878 *Cell and Environment* **37**, 557–572.
- 879 Vanlauwe B., Hungria M., Kanampiu F. & Giller K.E. (2019) The role of legumes in the  
880 sustainable intensification of African smallholder agriculture: Lessons learnt and  
881 challenges for the future. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **284**, 106583.
- 882 Varney G.T., Canny M.J., Wang X.L. & Mccully M.E. (1991) The branch roots of *Zea*. I.  
883 First order branches, their number, sizes and division into classes. *Annals of*  
884 *Botany* **67**, 357–364.
- 885 Vieira R.F., Jochua C.N. & Lynch J.P. (2007) Method for evaluation of root hairs of  
886 common bean genotypes. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira* **42**, 1365–1368.
- 887 Wang Y., Zhao X., Wang L., Zhao P.H., Zhu W. Bin & Wang S.Q. (2016a) Phosphorus  
888 fertilization to the wheat-growing season only in a rice–wheat rotation in the Taihu  
889 Lake region of China. *Field Crops Research* **198**, 32–39.
- 890 Wang Z., Zhang W., Beebout S.S., Zhang H., Liu L., Yang J. & Zhang J. (2016b) Grain  
891 yield, water and nitrogen use efficiencies of rice as influenced by irrigation regimes  
892 and their interaction with nitrogen rates. *Field Crops Research* **193**, 54–69.
- 893 Wortmann C.S., Kirkby R.A., Eledu C.A. & Allen D.J. (1998) *Atlas of common bean*  
894 *(Phaseolus vulgaris L.) production in Africa*, CIAT publication. CIAT publication,  
895 Cali, Colombia.
- 896 Yan X., Liao H., Beebe S.E., Blair M.W. & Lynch J.P. (2004) QTL mapping of root hair  
897 and acid exudation traits and their relationship to phosphorus uptake in common  
898 bean. *Plant and Soil* **265**, 17–29.

899 York L.M., Carminati A., Mooney S.J., Ritz K. & Bennett M.J. (2016) The holistic  
900 rhizosphere: Integrating zones, processes, and semantics in the soil influenced by  
901 roots. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**, 3629–3643.

902 You L., Wood S., Wood-Sichra U. & Wu W. (2014) Generating global crop distribution  
903 maps: From census to grid. *Agricultural Systems* **127**, 53–60.

904 Zhan A., Schneider H. & Lynch J.P. (2015) Reduced lateral root branching density  
905 improves drought tolerance in maize. *Plant Physiology* **168**, 1603–1615.

906 Zhang S., Guo X., Yun W., Xia Y., You Z. & Rillig M.C. (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhiza  
907 contributes to the control of phosphorus loss in paddy fields. *Plant and Soil* **447**,  
908 623–636.

909 Zheng Z., Hey S., Jubery T., Liu H., Yang Y., Coffey L., ... Schnable P.S. (2020) Shared  
910 Genetic control of root system architecture between *Zea mays* and *Sorghum*  
911 *bicolor*. *Plant Physiology* **182**, 977–991.

912 Zhu J., Brown K.M. & Lynch J.P. (2010) Root cortical aerenchyma improves the drought  
913 tolerance of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant, Cell and Environment* **33**, 740–749.

914 Zierer W., Rüschel D., Sonnewald U. & Sonnewald S. (2021) Tuber and tuberous root  
915 development. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **72**, 551–580  
916

**Table 1.** Summary of yield and income impact of P efficient varieties. Fixed costs of production mean twice as much yield has more than twice as much impact on income. Data from documents submitted to Mozambican Seed Release committee.

	Local variety	P-efficient variety
Yield, no P fert	737 kg / ha	1412 kg / ha
Yield, with P fert	1484 kg / ha	2844 kg / ha
Income gain, no P fert	-	249%
Income gain, with P fert	-	148%