

An Incomprehensible Cosmic Impact at the Mid
Pleistocene Transition

Paper No. 81-1, 2019 GSA Annual Meeting Phoenix,
AZ 22-25 September, 2019

Searching for the Missing Crater Using
Australasian Tektite Suborbital Analysis
and Carolina Bays' Major Axes
Triangulation

Michael E. Davias, Thomas H. S. Harris

Abstract

Australasian (AA) tektites are distal ejecta of a cosmic impact into terrestrial sediments 788.1 ± 2.8 ka. Protracted explorations within the strewn field, as preferred by consensus opinion, have yielded neither an astrobleme nor a proximal imprint. In 3 lesser strewn fields correlated with progenitor astroblemes, tektites are strewn asymmetrically and their total masses and minimum loft distances scale with projectile kinetic energy (KE) partitioning yield. Pursuing an a priori astrobleme location within the uniquely expansive AA strewn field ignores such findings. Absent identification of proximal ejecta in the strewn field, workers are now inferring that indochinite tektites are proximal, dismissing their known devolatilization, weightless vacuum quench and their carefully derived re-entry speeds, $\geq 80\%$ of Earth escape. A defensible guess 40 years ago, but promoting an a priori astrobleme in Indochina is now impeding progress.

Ironically, a cosmic link to the Carolina bays' genesis is considered soundly falsified by the same absence of a correlated astrobleme. We have measured $\sim 50,000$ of these shallow, oriented, ovoid basins, located around an annulus focused on Saginaw Bay, Michigan. We posit the ovoid planforms to be surficial manifestations of cavitation voids within an incomprehensible geophysical mass flow of volatiles and entrained target clastics.

Unifying both missing astroblemes, we propose an incomprehensible cosmic event on a hemisphere diametrically opposed to the AA distal tektite strewn field. We invoke a highly oblique, perhaps tangential, hypervelocity projectile ricocheting off the Earth's limb along an extended footprint. Sub-horizontal shock to thick MIS 20 ice sheet overburden triggered endogenic comminution, as stored pressure potential within the substrate was released by phase change of pore water to steam, provisioning fluidized medial ejecta outflow for Carolina bay emplacement. Shocked ice plume expansion augmented tektite velocities, and dissipated significant partitioned KE, preventing another Chicxulub-style global conflagration. The KE partitioning process conspired with intervening ice age transgressions to dislocate proximal ejecta and obfuscate the cosmic signature.


AA tektite Suborbital Analysis with appropriate dynamical accounting supports a putative antipodal Saginaw impact site, as does a recent EIGEN 6C4 gravity field assessment. The hypothesis would be falsified if $^{26}\text{Al}/^{10}\text{Be}$ burial dating of terraces under Carolina bays disallows bay deposition circa 788 ka.

Note: This talk transcription accompanies the PDF file found at the GSA site: <https://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2019AM/webprogram/Paper332326.html>

Carolina Bays

"The largest meteorites known to have reached the earth measure less than a score of feet in maximum diameter." (D. Johnson, in *Science In Progress*, edited by G. A. Baitzell, Yale University Press, 1940 317 pp)

Words written by the President of Columbia University's Geology Department, in a 1940 paper dismissing a cosmic impact role in the geomorphology of thousands of oriented ovoid depressions revealed in 1930 aerial photos.




Cosmic Impact morphology for these “Carolina bays” is considered falsified by many additional observations, such as the existence of **poorly** correlated periglacial features in the North American Arctic coast.

These are **not** similar! The bays adhere robustly to archetype planforms, as visualized in high resolution topography maps.

My Survey elucidates 50,000 bays, searchable from our web site at Cintos.org


Overlays capture bay metrics, which inform that bays differ from their neighbors only in eccentricity and scale.

This IDW map documents a “systematic by latitude” rotation of bay major axis.



Transect maps illustrate the visualization benefits of applying a 20 X exaggeration to the elevation values. This landscape is virtually flat to the human eye. Sited on a passive continental margin it is also virtually flat to the forces of fluvial erosion, and a substantial extent of this cretaceous-era coastal plain remains un dissected to this day.

So when large quantities of bays are pirated and exhibit extensive internal dissection, we suggest it supports a great antiquity for their genesis.




I interpret swaths of identical simple basins as support for a catastrophic event; but they also **falsify**, since bay floor-to-rim relief is too trivial to support excavation by direct cosmic impact, or even secondary impacts, such as Zamora's ice boulders. Instead, we posit that bays are artifacts of cavitation voids within a geophysical mass flow.

Our inspiration was an observation by Gambles, Daniels & Wheeler (1977, Primary And Secondary Rims Of Carolina Bays, Southeastern Geology, V18 No 4

"The bays examined ... are clearly surficial features without subsurface expression. This suggests that the primary depression, regardless of its original shape, formed as a part of the final phase of the process of deposition of the surficial sediments"

Bays shown to be overprinting lower elevation bays are offered as evidence of superposition by sequential flow sheets.



Ultimately, a cosmic connection is falsified by the **astrophysicists** because no incomprehensible impact occurred during the Pleistocene. Right???

Mid Pleistocene Transition

The Mid Pleistocene Transition (**MPT**) is centered on the most recent geomagnetic reversal. The Earth's climate switched from 41,000 years to 100,000 year glacial cycles, Java Man disappeared from the Sangiran Dome. There was a foraminifera extinction event.

AND unassailably, there **was** an incomprehensible cosmic impact!

MPT Australasian Tektite Strewn Field

An incomprehensible cosmic impact evidenced by the extensive Australasian tektite strewn field, distributed from Antarctica to Madagascar to Indochina to Australia. 30 to 60 Billion tons of amorphous glass gems, up to kilograms in size, have been prized as talismans for millennia.



A cosmic impact into a terrestrial non-marine sedimentary target is the accepted provenance of these tektites, but only after a contentious debate considering a lunar source, because they are 1,000 times dryer than obsidian and internal vesicles record high vacuum. Astonishingly, this astrobleme **has not been located**.

20 years ago Joe McCall made an observation, true to this day (2001, Tektites in the Geological Record):

“Over the past 30 years immense progress has been made in understanding tektites but rather than providing elucidation, the large amount of research on the Australasian tektite Strewn Field seems to have multiplied the constraints to be surmounted.”

A consonant quote for the bay enigma, still true after 77 years: (D. Johnson , 1942, The Origin of the Carolina Bays, Columbia University Press):


“No one has yet invented an explanation which will fully account for all the facts observed.”

A 1978 conference paper offered the Copernicus crater as a proxy, where tektites extend radially from the impact. Today, virtually all research is predicated on an **a priori** impact located within Indochina. (P. H. Stauffer, 1978, Anatomy Of The Australasian Tektite Strewnfield And The Probable Site Of Its Source Crater).

A priori:

.... existing in the mind prior to and independent of experience

After 50 years, it is time to abandon the a priori crater gambit and search **with** the benefit of experience we possess. Of ~180 Impact structures confirmed on Earth, only 3 are associated with a strewn field of macro tektites. MPT strewn field is far larger than those three combined, but is lacking an astrobleme.



Spotlight anyone?

If we shine a spotlight on the Ivory Coast tektites, **would** we be directed to the 11 km Bosumtwi Impact, 400 km away?

Moldavites are in Bohemia. Research for decades did not reveal the 18 km Ries Impact 400 km to the West. If Shoemaker had not visited St. George's church in Nördlingen, we may still be looking for it!

The spotlight had been on Bedia sites and Georgiites long before the 80 km Chesapeake Bay structure was identified 1,000 to 2,000 km to the North.

No tektites have been found proximal to an impact structure; those found inform an asymmetric distribution, at distances scaling with crater size.

Impact specialists dismiss these ground truths as “serendipity”, and insist that normal tektite distributions are continuous from the crater rim in all directions.

Muong Nong Tektites – Layered Structure

... and they offer Muong Nong Layered Tektites as justification.

Consensus has accepted that the Muong Nongs represent proximal ejecta; emphatic that presence in Indochina supports their **a priori** crater location. They are found across Indochina as shown on Whymark's map, so a Proximal designation seems baseless. True distal splash-form tektites are found intermixed throughout.


Let us consider the irreconcilable nature of what it means to be a tektite - which **no one doubts** the Muong Nongs are - they are glass; solid amorphous glass; glass with extremely low water content - that's what makes them tektites verses the Libyan Desert variety, or melt pools in craters. The geomorphology of hyper-dry

glass holds that it was exposed in the vacuum and weightless of space long enough to be de-volatilized while molten.

While the MPT field displays more variability than any of the others, it is also 5 orders of magnitude larger in mass and we should expect more variability from a larger footprint of engaged strata!

The term “distal” is the polar opposite of proximal, which refers to regolith found within three crater radii. Distal splash-form tektites are found intermixed across this entire area.

Australasian Strewnfield: Indochinite Muong Nong-type layered tektites or impact glasses.



Regardless of this co-mingling, there is the basic, irreconcilable nature of what it means to be a tektite, which **no one doubts** the Muong Nongs are. They are glass. Solid amorphous glass. Glass with extremely low water content - that's what makes them tektites verses the more common impact glass such as the Libyan Desert variety, or melt pools in craters. The geomorphology of hyper-dry glass holds that it was exposed while still melted and held together by surface tension in the vacuum and weightless ness of space long enough to be de-volatilized.

Failing on land, the search has moved to the South China Sea. We feel evidence from cores and gravity surveys is not supportive.

...and who is gong to tell this well intentioned fella that the pony is not in that particular deposit?

Alternative Impact sites outside of Indochina

Sites outside of Indochina have been investigated.

Lin offered that:

*“If the explosive comet-impact model is applied to the explanation of Australasian tektites [Chapman, 1964], one may postulate **a point of impact far removed from the Australasian region**. The evidence of **impact crater must then be sought on other continents**. ”*

Urey suggested:

“The residual crater may be very difficult to identify; but it might well be looked for while keeping some flexible ideas as to what its properties may be.”

Saginaw Bay

“History does not repeat itself, but it sure does rhyme.”

To rhyme with the other three, we scaled up the geographical distribution of those verified pairings, and invoked a location **dependent** on experience, as previously deduced using a Carolina bay major axis triangulation network (2010 GSA Annual Meeting Paper # 60-12). It considered the physics of ejecta transport over a rotating planet. Novel Idea. In 2015, Tim and I presented Saginaw as source of Australasian Tektites. (2015 GSA North Central Meeting, Paper # 3-1)

A new paper by Klokočník applies novel Gravity Aspects to identify impact characteristics within this footprint. (Klokočník, et al, Journal of Great Lakes Research 45 (2019) 12–20)


Their findings were tentative:

“... combed strike angles ... disclose a trace of high pressure to the SE / S / SW of the Bay and may be due to an impacting body.”

Cross' basin map shows the bedrock exposed today on Michigan's Lower Peninsula. The "Jurassic Red Beds" are found scattered across the center.

It is accepted that the Michigan, Huron and Erie glacial lobes flowed around the erosion-resistant Mississippian and Pennsylvanian bedrock at the center, while eroding older, softer shale.


But Michigan has a Thumb, an anomalous incursion into the basin attributed to the up-hill erosive actions of a glacial lobe.



By invoking a **grazing regime** impact into MIS 20 continental ice, we accommodate an attenuated cratering signature modeled after Schultz & Stickle’s “Lost Impacts”.

Considering 2 km of ice and 500 m of terrestrial strata engaged, the impact traces a 400 km “trench” across the basin and blows an Incomprehensible ionized fireball above the atmosphere.

Here are two different Mars craters as proxies, both considered highly oblique impacts.





Incomprehensible Impact debris distribution

The SL-9 “string of pearls” created impacts which were previously incomprehensible, yielding unforeseen consequences:

“Astronomers indeed observed the fireballs and plumes predicted by the models... The actual event, however, produced a much richer array of consequences than anyone had anticipated.” - M. Boslough & D. Crawford

One consequence was a ring of ejecta slowly expanding for hours, while rotating due to Coriolis forces driven by Jupiter’s rotation. The inner crescent edge slid 6,000 km from the impact site. The debris was determined to be **skidding** across a super-heated atmospheric layer. The same dynamic has been applied to explain the transport of unshocked minerals from the K-P impact all the way to New Zealand.



Saginaw Outflow

Our posited impact would have excised thousands of cubic kilometers of Ice. Kenikmann's data shows the instantaneous release of such overburden from the basin's hydrated sediments might provide enhanced regolith ejection and a larger, shallower crater:

"We suggest that in addition to strength-weakening due to the presence of fluids, vaporization of water upon pressure release provides an additional explosive potential that superimposes the impact-induced flow field."

*"Cratering efficiency, ejection velocities, and spall volume are enhanced if the pore space of the sandstone is filled with water. In addition, the crater morphologies differ substantially from wet to dry targets, i.e., **craters in wet targets are larger, but shallower.**"*

We propose the skidding mechanism conveyed impact debris from Saginaw outward as waves of geophysical mass flows. Carolina bays and Rainwater basins are formed along the 1000 km radius ring.



Laurentide ice from MIS 20 mediated the event, but since the event, repetitive continental ice sheet transgression every 100,000 years have scrubbed the impact structure clean of evidence.

Forensic Analysis

Tektite chemistry offers clues that Michigan Basin sediments were the MPT impact target. According to Barnes, 1990, *Tektite research 1936-1990* (Barringer Award paper), MPS Vol 25

“All of the tektites analyzed have rare-earth-element compositions that exactly match, within the limits of error, the rare-earth-element values in the North American Shale Composite.”

Joel Blum performed an isotopic examination of Australasian Tektite provenance, and deduced the parent materials were lithified during the Jurassic from sediments eroded out of Proterozoic crustal terrain. (J.D. Blum, 1992, Neodymium and strontium isotopic study of Australasian tektites..)

“A correlation of Rb/Sr fractionation with Sr model ages indicates that the last major Rb/Sr fractionation event experienced by the target materials occurred 175 ± 15 Ma ago. We interpret this age as the time of deposition of sedimentary target rocks and consider the compositional layering observed in Muong Nong-type tektites to reflect compositional variability inherited from Jurassic sediments. Depleted mantle Nd model ages fall within the narrow range of 1490-1620 Ma, indicating that the source material was derived dominantly from a Proterozoic crustal terrain”

The former requirement is met by the Red Beds.

And the latter by the cratons adjoining the Michigan Basin.

Suborbital Analysis for the MPT Impact


Here is video from Peter Schultz's experiments with oblique impacts. They demonstrate that a plume erupts nearly vertically from the impact site, with a slight bias down range.



Our hypothesis that the Australasian Tektite may have traveled interhemispheric distances is taken directly from this finding: for a tektite to re-enter the atmosphere at 10 kilometers per second, it must be launched away from the surface at that velocity. On near-vertical trajectory, the loft time is measured in hours – five to ten should be expected. During such a loft, the rotation of the Earth would bring the fall at an antipodal location – halfway around the earth – in the case of the Australasian strewn field, that would put it into the Northern Hemisphere in the Americas. When Lin tackled the problem back in the 1990's, he suggested a Scandinavian location.

Button Flange

Darwin was introduced to this “Button Flange” talisman during his visit to Australia in the 1830’s.



130 years later, Dean Chapman demonstrated how these ringlets and flanges were created during aerodynamic ablation of a spherical glass body, requiring the Kinetic Energy of at least 80% of Earth Escape. But Dr. Chapman’s exquisite work held a critical error of omission – he and his associates never considered the Earth’s rotation in their trajectory physics.




Fig. 4. Half spallation of aerothermal stress shell.

A button flange tectite was recovered from the floor of the central Indian Ocean, 7,000 km west of Darwin’s example. Chapman and Glass discussed the challenges of matching both these button flange locations to an Indochina impact, but never invoked the math to account for Earth’s rotation.

Dobrovolski



Dobrovolskis wrote an analytical paper in 1981 on the ballistics of ejecta over a spinning planet, showing **the curves** ejecta may **fall upon** due to that rotation. It was limited in scope, so Thomas expanded the calculations to produce virtual Earth maps from any a priori impact location for solution sets of all possible values of elevation, azimuth and Kinetic Energy of launch.

These visualizations allow comparing "Equivalent Launch Fronts" by moving up and down, the "Dobrovolskis Arc", and examining the similarities or differences of the solution set presented by each arc-normal.

The Australasian strewn field, with its variety of isotopic trends, can be satisfied by a distribution from North America.

Conversely, reaching both the button flange sites at the indicated reentry velocities cannot be resolved from Indochina.

Efforts to publish these processes and findings are meeting with strong resistance due to entrenched consensus that fails to explain many observed realities.



Falsification – Dating the Regolith Impulse

If there were any merit to our incomprehensible hypothesis, then the burial dating of antecedent terrain in the US by anomalous blankets of Post Miocene surficial sediments would be constrained to the Mid Pleistocene.

We recognize this is a far from simple identification, requiring the application of Be_{10} – Al_{26} dating analysis, which can reach back 5 Million years.

Supportive findings thus far:

- Balco noted anomalous “regolith impulse” in glacial tills at ~800,000 years ago
- Anthony noted an extensive Appalachian drainage basin aggradation signal at ~ 800,000 years ago.
- Del Vecchio identified a sudden onset of regolith circa 750,000 years ago in a Central Appalachia catchment basin previously only accumulating saprolite.

Full disclosure: These researchers offer perfectly acceptable gradualist explanations for those anomalies.

Summary

We propose a Highly oblique grazing regime impact occurred at the Mid Pleistocene Transition 788 ka, which struck into the deep MIS 20 North American continental ice sheet, providing for “missing impact”.

As a result, Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron is excised as impact structure. Multiple successive ice sheet transgressions over the past 800,000 years erased the shallow impact evidence.

Proximal ejecta created “glacial regolith” on top of ice sheet, which was subsequently swept south by ice sheet transgressions.

Medial ejecta created Carolina bays and Rainwater Basins, interpreted as artifacts in sheets of geophysical mass flows. Note that we reject the concept that these could be primary or secondary impact scars, owing to their extremely shallow depth and with no indications that antecedent surfaces were disturbed.

The impact event created Australasian Tektite Strewn Field as Distal Ejecta, lofted transcontinental distances to an antipodal region.

Proper evaluation of incomprehensible impacts mandate physics of ejecta transported over a rotating Earth, not a stationary one

In closing,

The failure to elucidate the Origins of both the Australasian Strewn Field and the Carolina Bays demands that new light be shown upon the evidence, without the banishment so often applied to things that science cannot comprehend.