*Table 3: Significant relative median power differences in early adolescence by cyberbully experience, according to EEG frequency band and scalp region. For example, relative median power difference of cybervictim – cyberbully-victim (as cybervictim vs. cyberbully-victim).*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cyber** | **Band** | **Region** | **Relative median power difference (89% CI) [%]** | **Probability of Direction** | **p value** |
| cybervictim vs. cyberbully-victim | Beta | Right temporal | -11.9 (-20.0, -3.2) | 98.35 | <.05 |
| cybervictim vs. cyberbully | Delta | Right temporal | -18.5 (-29.9, -5.4) | 98.48 | <.05 |
| cybervictim vs. cyberbully | Delta | Cz | -21.4 (-32.6, -8.6) | 99.42 | <.05 |
| cybervictim vs. cyberbully | Theta | Cz | -22.1 (-33.4, -8.5) | 99.27 | <.05 |
| cybervictim vs. cyberbully | Beta | Cz | -16.7 (-28.0, -3.6) | 97.64 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. cyberbully | Delta | Right temporal | -18.5 (-30.7, -4.0) | 97.59 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. cyberbully | Delta | Left temporal | -19.9 (-32.3, -5.5) | 98.41 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. cyberbully | Delta | Fz | -21.6 (-33.5, -7.5) | 99.02 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. cyberbully | Delta | Cz | -30.1 (-40.9, -17.4) | 99.98 | <.001 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. cyberbully | Theta | Cz | -29.7 (-40.8, -16.7) | 99.94 | <.01 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. cyberbully | Beta | Cz | -18.5 (-30.4, -4.7) | 98.16 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. no experience | Delta | Right posterior | -12.5 (-20.8, -3.3) | 98.36 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. no experience | Delta | Cz | -14.5 (-23.0, -5.4) | 99.29 | <.05 |
| cyberbully-victim vs. no experience | Theta | Cz | -14.7 (-23.0, -5.4) | 99.19 | <.05 |
| cyberbully vs. no experience | Delta | Right temporal | 20.7 (4.6, 39.1) | 98.32 | <.05 |
| cyberbully vs. no experience | Delta | Cz | 22.3 (6.0, 41.0) | 98.76 | <.05 |
| cyberbully vs. no experience | Theta | Cz | 21.6 (4.3, 41.2) | 97.9 | <.05 |