Part II:
Metabolic Overload

How Modern Diets Break the Body

James Oliver

February 19, 2025

Abstract

The human metabolism evolved under conditions of scarcity—finely tuned for whole foods, intermittent fasting, and rare glucose surges. The modern diet—dominated by ultra-processed foods and relentless carbohydrate exposure—overwhelms this system, forcing it into continuous metabolic stress. The question is not why metabolic disease is rising, but why we would expect anything else.

When any system is forced beyond its tolerances, failure is inevitable. The biological consequences—obesity, type 2 diabetes, fatty liver disease, cardiovascular disorders, neurodegeneration—are not separate conditions. They are the same failure state manifesting in different tissues, at different speeds.

This paper exposes how modern diets systematically override metabolic regulation, pushing the body into a state of perpetual dysregulation. It dismantles the myth that these conditions are personal failings or genetic inevitabilities. They are not anomalies—they are engineered outcomes.

This is Part 2 of a two-part series on the modern health crisis. The companion paper, *The Chronic Crisis*, details the economic and policy failures that created this food environment. Together, these papers form a complete framework for understanding and reversing the largest preventable public health catastrophe in human history.

I. Systems Beyond Their Limits

This paper is Part 2 of a two-part series. Part 1, The Chronic Crisis, details the economic and policy failures that created this food environment. This paper focuses on the biological consequences—how modern diets push the human body into sustained metabolic failure. Together, they form a complete framework for understanding and reversing the largest preventable public health catastrophe in history.

Imagine forcing a Boeing 747 to maneuver like a fighter jet—executing tight turns, rapid climbs, and extreme G-forces. The wings would snap off—not due to a design flaw, but because the aircraft was never built for that level of stress.

Yet the wing snapping off wouldn't be the only failure. Under extreme pressure, every system in the aircraft would begin to break down. The cabin would buckle, the hydraulics would rupture, the engines would overheat and stall. These failures would not be isolated malfunctions—they would be symptoms of the same fundamental problem: the system was forced beyond its design tolerances.

The human body is no different. The metabolic system evolved under conditions of scarcity; optimized for whole foods, periodic fasting, and rare glucose surges.[1,2] The modern diet overloads this system with continuous excess energy, refined carbohydrate exposure, and ultra-processed foods.[3] The result is a system-wide collapse of metabolic regulation.

This breakdown does not result in one disease, but many: obesity[4], insulin resistance[5], fatty liver disease[6], cardiovascular disorders[7], neurodegeneration[8], cancer[9].

These conditions are not separate failures—they are different manifestations of the same underlying overload. Just as an aircraft under extreme stress does not suffer only one malfunction, the body under sustained metabolic stress does not develop just one disease. Metabolic disease is not a set of isolated conditions—it is a cascade of breakdowns across multiple systems, all driven by the same underlying overload.

So long as the modern environment remains unchanged,
metabolic disease will remain the default outcome.

For most of human history, the environment dictated health—metabolic dysfunction was rare because the conditions that cause it did not exist. Now, those conditions are constant, and so disease itself has become inevitable.

II. Metabolic Breakdown

The human metabolic system evolved in an environment where food was scarce, not abundant. Its primary function is to balance energy intake and expenditure, ensuring survival in unpredictable conditions. At the center of this regulation is insulin, which acts as the body's energy broker, directing fuel storage and utilization.

When food is available, insulin signals the body to store excess energy—similar to depositing money into a bank account. When food is scarce, stored energy is withdrawn and utilized. This balance ensured metabolic stability across evolutionary time scales.[1,9]

However, modern diets have fundamentally broken this equilibrium. Imagine a bank that only accepts deposits but never allows withdrawals. No matter how much money accumulates, it remains inaccessible. Similarly, when insulin remains chronically elevated, fat stores continue to grow while energy access is impaired.

Fat storage is not the problem. The inability to access stored energy is. The result is a metabolic system overloaded with fuel it cannot properly use, leading to dysfunction.

Mismatch Between Diet and Metabolic Function

For most of human history, food was whole, fibrous, and nutrient-dense. Carbohydrates were paired with fiber, slowing glucose absorption and maintaining stable insulin levels.[1]

That balance has been dismantled.

Modern processed foods strip away fiber, delivering unnaturally high glycemic loads that overwhelm metabolic regulation. The result? Repeated, extreme insulin spikes, keeping the body in a state of perpetual energy storage. But the problem extends beyond insulin alone.

Other critical metabolic regulators—including leptin, AMPK, and mTOR—are also disrupted by this continuous energy surplus.

- Leptin resistance blocks hunger signaling, leading to persistent overeating.
- AMPK suppression reduces mitochondrial efficiency, limiting the body's ability to burn fat for energy.
- Chronic insulin activation locks the system into storage mode, preventing metabolic flexibility.

This is not just an issue of excess calories. The entire metabolic landscape has been transformed. The human body is not malfunctioning; it is responding precisely as physics dictates when subjected to relentless metabolic stress.

The Thrifty Gene: Evolution's Strength Turned Against Us

The body's ability to store energy efficiently was a survival advantage. Today, it is a liability.

The thrifty gene hypothesis, first proposed by Neel in 1962, explains how humans evolved a strong insulin response to rapidly store energy during times of abundance.[10,9] This trait provided an evolutionary edge when food was unpredictable. However, the modern food environment has reversed the equation.

The same metabolic adaptations that once ensured survival now accelerate disease. The body, primed to store energy, is now bombarded with constant caloric excess. The result is inevitable: obesity, insulin resistance, mitochondrial dysfunction, and metabolic collapse.

The environment changed, but our biology did not. As a result, metabolic disease is no longer a rare event—it is the default state. Expressed mathematically:

$$\textbf{Modern Food Environment} + \textbf{Paleolithic Biology} = \textbf{Metabolic Disease}$$

Structural Stress: A System Destined to Collapse

A system can only endure so much strain before failure becomes inevitable.

Just as an aircraft is designed to withstand specific stress loads, the human metabolic system has defined tolerances. When subjected to continuous energy excess, excessive glucose spikes, and unrelenting insulin demand, failure is not a possibility—it is a certainty.

But the breakdown is not limited to insulin alone:

- Leptin resistance leads to uncontrolled hunger, driving further overconsumption.
- Chronic inflammation disrupts mitochondrial efficiency, reducing the body's ability to generate clean energy.
- Metabolic flexibility erodes, leaving the body unable to shift between fuel sources.

This is not a malfunctioning system—it is a system responding precisely as expected when pushed beyond its limits.

Every engineered system has a failure threshold—an exact point where function transitions to dysfunction. Bridges do not collapse the first time they experience stress. They develop microfractures, small structural instabilities that accumulate over time. Then, suddenly, they fail catastrophically.

The metabolic system is no different. The body has absorbed decades of low-level metabolic stress. Fatigue has set in. The first stress fractures—insulin resistance, weight gain, inflammation—are already present. The collapse, unless interrupted, is inevitable.

Metabolic disease is not an isolated condition—it is the predictable consequence of overwhelming the body's energy regulation mechanisms.

So long as the environment remains unchanged,
this failure state will remain the default.

III. Modern Diets and System Failure

The industrial revolution transformed food production, introducing calorically dense, fiber-poor, hyper-palatable foods designed for convenience and profit—not metabolic stability.[11]

The result? A radical shift in dietary composition:

- In 1700, ultra-processed foods were 0% of the American diet—they did not exist.
- Today, they comprise nearly 60% of all calories consumed.[12]

This is not a minor dietary adjustment. It is a fundamental reengineering of the biological

inputs that sustain human metabolism.

The Biological Reality: Inputs Define Outputs

A system's output is only as good as its inputs—this is an immutable law of all systems.

Consider a car. If 60% of its fuel composition were altered, performance would degrade. Consider a factory. If 60% of its raw materials were swapped for defective components, the final product would be compromised. The human body is no different. It is a biological system, and its outputs—metabolic health or disease—are dictated entirely by its inputs.

For 200,000 years, those inputs were whole, unprocessed foods. Today, they are predominantly ultra-processed, refined formulations. A 60% transformation in raw materials cannot yield the same biological outcome. It is a fundamental shift in metabolic programming.

We do not need to look to our ancient ancestors to see the effects of this transformation. We have real-world case studies that demonstrate it today.

Historical data and cross-cultural studies provide compelling evidence for the environmental basis of metabolic disease. Before the industrialization of food, type 2 diabetes was exceedingly rare. The condition surged only after the widespread introduction of refined carbohydrates and processed foods.[3,13]

The Pima Indians of Arizona and Australian Aborigines exhibited near-zero incidence of type 2 diabetes while adhering to traditional diets. However, following rapid dietary Westernization—characterized by ultra-processed foods—diabetes prevalence soared to among the highest recorded globally.[13]

In contrast, Pima Indians living in traditional environments in Mexico have significantly lower rates of diabetes, despite genetic similarities to their counterparts in Arizona.[?]

The message is clear: When the diet changes, the metabolic outcome changes. Always.

This principle is not unique to metabolism. It is a specialized application of a universal law: systems respond to what happens to them.

Adaptation to Inputs: A Fundamental Biological Law

All biological systems operate under the same rule: specific inputs create specific adaptations.

The human body does not decide what to adapt to—it simply responds to the stimulus it receives.

- If you lift weights, the body adapts by building muscle.
- If you train for endurance, the body adapts by improving energy efficiency.
- If you expose it to ultra-processed foods and chronic glycemic overload, it adapts by becoming insulin resistant.

This is not a malfunction. This is the body doing exactly what it was designed to do—adjusting to its environment.

- If you want to build muscle, you consume protein—because amino acids are the raw material for muscle growth.
- If you want to sustain endurance exercise, you consume carbohydrates—because glucose is the body's preferred energy source for prolonged activity.
- If you want to develop metabolic dysfunction, you consume ultra-processed food—because the body will adapt accordingly.

These principles are universally accepted because they are fundamental biological truths. The same logic applies to metabolic health. If the body is given sustained metabolic stress, it will not resist—it will adapt.

Metabolic dysfunction is not a random occurrence. It is not a disease of chance. It is the predictable biological outcome of modern dietary inputs. And if those inputs do not change, the outcomes never will.

Stage 1: Overactivation and Compensation

The metabolic system was not designed for continuous activation. Yet, modern diets, dominated by ultra-processed foods, have forced insulin into perpetual overdrive.

Frequent consumption of high-glycemic foods forces the pancreas into near-constant insulin production.[14] Insulin is meant to be an intermittent signal—a temporary lever, not a continuously pressed button. Now, that button is permanently stuck.

At the cellular level, muscle, liver, and fat cells downregulate their insulin receptors and impair post-receptor signaling—a protective adaptation to limit excessive glucose influx and prevent metabolic overload.[14] This is not adaptation. This is acceleration toward failure.

Stage 2: Insulin Resistance Takes Hold

Like an engine revving at redline for too long, the system begins to wear down. The pancreas compensates for rising insulin resistance by secreting even more insulin, trying to force glucose into resistant cells.

This is akin to pressing harder on the gas pedal when traction is lost—temporarily effective, but ultimately destructive. Meanwhile, chronic low-grade inflammation accelerates the damage. Excess visceral fat and a pro-inflammatory diet flood the body with inflammatory cytokines, interfering with insulin signaling at the receptor level.[15]

This creates a vicious cycle:

- Higher insulin levels drive more fat storage.
- More fat storage increases inflammation.
- Inflammation reduces insulin sensitivity.
- Reduced insulin sensitivity forces even higher insulin output.

The system is now past the point of self-correction—it is locked into self-destruction.

Stage 3: Beta-Cell Exhaustion – The Final Collapse

No system can run at full capacity forever. Eventually, something breaks.

Prolonged hyperinsulinemia places sustained stress on pancreatic beta-cells—the last line of defense. These cells have been compensating for insulin resistance for years, working beyond their design tolerances.

Over time, they begin to fail. The first cracks appear in the form of cellular dysfunction, loss of insulin output, and apoptosis—the metabolic equivalent of an engine seizing up from years of abuse.[16] At this stage, the system no longer functions. Metabolic disease is no longer an impending risk—it is the default state.

Structural Failure: The Metabolic Equivalent of Material Fatigue

This is not a disease—it is structural failure. The progressive breakdown of metabolic regulation mirrors the principles of mechanical fatigue in materials.

- Just as repeated mechanical stress weakens a structure by accumulating microfractures, chronic insulin overactivation weakens metabolic stability.[9]
- Just as an overloaded bridge does not collapse immediately but develops stress fractures over time, metabolic dysfunction builds silently—until final failure.
- Just as an engine pushed beyond its tolerances will overheat, seize, and die, the pancreas burns out after years of compensating for a system under constant stress.

The human body is an advanced, self-regulating system—capable of maintaining homeostasis, repairing damage, and adapting to change. But even this system has limits. We have not just tested those limits—we have exceeded them.

The collapse of metabolic regulation is not an accident. It is the inevitable consequence of a food environment that exceeds biological design tolerances.

So long as the modern environment remains unchanged,
metabolic disease will remain the default outcome.

IV. The Invisible Crisis

The human brain does not evaluate biological compatibility—it evaluates familiarity. This distinction is critical in understanding why ultra-processed food does not feel like a threat: it is deeply embedded into daily life.

- We see commercials with happy families eating ultra-processed foods.
- We walk through grocery stores where 60% of the products are ultra-processed.
- We interact with these foods every day—so they feel normal.

But normalization does not mean these foods are biologically compatible. The presence of something in society does not prove its rightness or safety. Cigarettes were normal. Lead paint was normal. Asbestos was normal. All were once unquestioned parts of daily life—until their biological consequences became undeniable. Ultra-processed food is no different.

The fact that we now have to use the term "whole foods" is self-revealing. For most of human history, food was just food—there was no need for a modifier. The need to specify "whole" only exists because much of what we consume today no longer qualifies as food in any meaningful sense. Similarly, "organic" was not a premium option; it was simply how food existed. What was once the default has become the exception, and what was once the exception has become the norm.

We do not call water "whole water." We do not call air "whole air." We simply call them what they are. The fact that we must now add a modifier to distinguish food in its natural state tells us everything we need to know.

This inversion of reality distorts perception, making an unnatural food environment feel natural simply because of its availability. And once something is perceived as normal, it bypasses rational risk assessment. The modern food environment is not a passive outcome—it is an engineered landscape designed to shape perception.

From childhood, we are conditioned to associate ultra-processed food with happiness, social gatherings, and convenience. This is not incidental—it is a deliberate strategy to override biological instincts.

The body does not care what is normal. It only responds to what is biologically compatible.

The Brain Mistakes Familiarity for Safety

Our perception of risk is deeply flawed. The human brain does not evaluate safety—it evaluates familiarity.

This creates a fundamental paradox:

- Something completely safe but unfamiliar will feel dangerous.
- Something completely unsafe but familiar will feel harmless.

Flying is statistically the safest form of transportation, yet turbulence triggers fear because it is unnatural to be in the air. Ultra-processed food is the leading driver of metabolic disease, yet it does not trigger fear—because we have been surrounded by it since birth.

Familiarity is not proof of safety. It is proof of exposure.

V. The Only Way Out

The human body is not malfunctioning. It is responding exactly as it was designed to respond to the environment it has been placed in.

The modern diet forces the metabolic system into sustained overdrive. This is not a temporary fluctuation—it is a persistent state of biochemical stress. The only way to restore metabolic stability is to stop forcing the system to operate beyond its tolerances.

There is only one solution: change the inputs.

This is not about restrictive dieting, willpower, or personal discipline. Biological systems do not function on willpower; they function on environmental signals. These signals—insulin, leptin, cortisol—dictate metabolic outcomes. If the inputs remain the same, the outcomes will remain the same. The environment must change.

Metabolic dysfunction is not an anomaly. It is not an unfortunate consequence of modern life. It is a direct and predictable outcome of a system that forces the human body to operate in a way it was never designed to.

All systems—biological, mechanical, or otherwise—fail when forced beyond their limits.

The modern food environment has done exactly that. It places relentless metabolic strain on a system built for scarcity, not surplus. The outcome is not surprising. It is inevitable.

The Chronic Crisis details the economic levers that must be pulled to realign the system. This paper lays out the biological reality: the body will not survive sustained metabolic overload.

Biological adaptation is inevitable. The only variable we control is the environment.

The only way out is to stop breaking the system. The only way to fix it is to stop forcing it to function under conditions it was never designed for.

This is not an opinion. It is a law of biological reality.

Fixing this crisis requires action at both the individual and policy levels. Realigning food incentives, reducing metabolic stress through diet, and reshaping public health priorities are not theoretical solutions—they are necessary corrections to a system that is currently designed to fail.

Ad astra per scientiam.

Key Takeaways

- **The body is not broken—modern inputs are**
  Metabolic disease is not an accident or genetic inevitability. It is the predictable result of forcing a finely tuned biological system to process inputs it was never designed for.
- **The modern diet forces the metabolic system into overload**
  Ultra-processed foods and constant carbohydrate exposure create sustained biochemical stress, disrupting energy balance and leading to systemic failure.
- **All biological systems adapt to their environment**
  The body does not choose what to adapt to—it simply responds. If forced into continuous insulin demand, it will develop insulin resistance. If subjected to chronic excess, it will store excess energy as fat.
- **Metabolic disease is not a collection of separate disorders—it is a single failure state**
  Obesity, diabetes, fatty liver disease, and cardiovascular conditions are not distinct—they are different manifestations of the same underlying overload in different tissues.
- **Normalization does not mean compatibility**
  Just because ultra-processed food is everywhere does not mean it is safe. Familiarity does not equal biological adaptation. The body does not recognize "normal"—it recognizes what is metabolically compatible.
- **The only solution is to change the inputs**
  No system can survive continuous stress without breaking. The modern diet must be restructured to align with the tolerances of human metabolism, or disease will remain the default state.

Falsification Check

As Richard Feynman famously stated:

> "It doesn't matter how beautiful your theory is, it doesn't matter how smart you are. If it doesn't agree with experiment, it's wrong."

This framework must adhere to that principle. A claim is only meaningful if it remains consistent with observable reality. The principle of falsification is the foundation of the scientific method: a theory is only valid if it withstands rigorous empirical scrutiny.

There are only two possible outcomes for any falsifiable claim:

1. **Falsification**: If a premise is contradicted by empirical observations, the framework must be revised or discarded.
2. **Provisional Acceptance**: If a premise cannot be falsified, it remains the best available explanation until such time that it can be falsified.

This framework rests on three fundamental premises. If any of them are disproven, the argument collapses.

1. **The metabolic system is forced into chronic overload by modern dietary patterns.**
   The human metabolism evolved for intermittent food intake, whole foods, and occasional glucose surges. The modern diet, characterized by ultra-processed foods and persistent carbohydrate exposure, places the body in a state of near-constant metabolic activation. If this were false, populations consuming ultra-processed foods at high levels should exhibit the same metabolic health outcomes as those consuming whole, unprocessed diets.

   Epidemiological data and cross-cultural comparisons provide further scrutiny. Groups adhering to traditional diets, such as the Pima Indians in Mexico and Okinawans in Japan, exhibit lower rates of metabolic disease despite genetic similarities to populations consuming industrialized diets.[3,13] If similar metabolic disease rates were observed in populations with minimal ultra-processed food exposure, this premise would be invalidated.
2. **The body adapts predictably to its inputs, including metabolic dysfunction.**
   Biological systems respond to the conditions they are exposed to. Just as resistance training induces muscle hypertrophy, sustained metabolic stress from ultra-processed

foods induces insulin resistance, systemic inflammation, and energy storage dysregulation. If this premise were false, chronic exposure to high-glycemic, ultra-processed foods should not predictably lead to increased rates of insulin resistance and metabolic disease.

To falsify this, one would need to demonstrate large-scale populations consuming ultra-processed diets without a correlated increase in metabolic disorders such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and fatty liver disease. If sustained metabolic stress did not lead to insulin resistance and downstream disease progression, this premise would not hold.

3. **Metabolic disease is not a collection of separate disorders, but a unified failure state.**
   Obesity, insulin resistance, fatty liver disease, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegeneration are not distinct conditions; they are different manifestations of the same underlying metabolic dysfunction. If this were false, these conditions would not be statistically correlated or appear together in individuals at higher rates than chance alone would predict.

   To falsify this, one would need to demonstrate that these diseases emerge independently, without shared risk factors or underlying mechanisms. If obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease were found to arise in completely different populations without a common metabolic precursor, this premise would be invalidated.

If any of these premises fail under empirical scrutiny, this framework must be reconsidered. If they hold, they provide a coherent explanation for the structural failures in metabolic health.

Science is not about defending ideas—it is about refining understanding. If this framework is falsified or refined, that is not a loss but a gain. I will be the first to celebrate, because it means we will have advanced our knowledge even further.

References

[1] Pontzer H. Metabolic adaptations and the evolution of human energy expenditure. Nature. 2021;592:183-91.

[2] Ungar PS. Evolution's Bite: A Story of Teeth, Diet, and Human Origins. Annual Review of Anthropology. 2020;49:75-92.

[3] Hu FB, Malik V. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages and Risk of Metabolic Syndrome and Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis. Diabetes Care. 2019;42:2164-73.

[4] Hall KD, Gluck M. Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: An inpatient randomized controlled trial. Cell Metabolism. 2021.

[5] Zhao L, Bikman B. Molecular pathways of insulin resistance: From mechanisms to therapeutics. Trends in Endocrinology Metabolism. 2020.

[6] Deol P, Steinbock G. The role of diet in modulating insulin sensitivity: Implications for metabolic health. Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care. 2022.

[7] Toth M, Ganz ML. Allostatic load and insulin resistance: The cumulative impact of chronic stress. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2021.

[8] Mattson MP, de Cabo R. Effects of intermittent fasting on health, aging, and disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2019.

[9] Hall KD, Guo J. The Energy Balance Model vs. The Carbohydrate-Insulin Model of Obesity. Annual Review of Nutrition. 2018;38:129-52.

[10] Neel JV. Diabetes Mellitus: A "Thrifty" Genotype Rendered Detrimental by "Progress"? American Journal of Human Genetics. 1962;14:353-62.

[11] Hall KD, Gluck M. Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial. Cell Metabolism. 2021;32:690-703.

[12] Association AM. What doctors wish patients knew about ultra-processed foods. AMA Public Health Rep. 2023.

[13] Taylor R, Guallar E. Remission of Type 2 Diabetes: The Role of Food Intake. BMJ. 2018;362:k3503.

[14] Zhao L, Bikman B. Molecular Pathways of Insulin Resistance: From Mechanisms to Therapeutics. Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2020;31:494-512.

[15] Toth M, Ganz ML. Allostatic Load and Insulin Resistance: The Cumulative Impact of Chronic Stress. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2021;124:105064.

[16] Schofield GM, Brinkworth GD. Intermittent Fasting, Energy Balance and Weight Loss: Implications for Diabetes Prevention. Current Diabetes Reports. 2020;20:58.

Acknowledgments

This work reflects a collaborative effort: the human author originated and refined the ideas, while generative AI systems assisted in drafting and structuring the text.

The intent of sharing these ideas is not personal recognition but to contribute to the collective advancement of human knowledge. The goal is to make these insights as accessible as possible for all, ensuring they can be freely explored, refined, and applied.

Ethical Considerations and Competing Interests

The author declares no financial, commercial, or institutional conflicts of interest related to this work. No external funding was received for the preparation of this manuscript. The research presented is based on publicly available data and does not involve human subjects, requiring no additional ethical approval.

License